## Please note: These transcripts are not individually approved and reviewed for accuracy.

# BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF THE:	
REGULAR MONTHLY BUSINESS MEETING	) ) )
DATE AND TIME:	WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1998 9:30 A.M. THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1998 9:30 A.M.
PLACE:	BOARD HEARING ROOM 8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 85826
REPORTER:	ANNE MORRIS

CERTIFICATE NO. 7236

#### A P P E A R A N C E S

- MR. DANIEL G. PENNINGTON, CHAIRMAN
- MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, MEMBER
- MR. DAN EATON, MEMBER
- MR. STEVEN R. JONES, MEMBER
- MR. STEPHEN M. RHOADS, MEMBER

3

### I N D E X

	PAGE
CALL TO ORDER	5
EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS	5
REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS	8
AGENDA ITEM NO. 2: CONSIDERATION OF A	
NEW STANDARDIZED COMPOSTING PERMIT FOR THE LAGUNA SUBREGIONAL COMPOST FACILITY,	
SONOMA COUNTY	36
AGENDA ITEM NO. 3: CONSIDERATION OF A	
REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR	
THE NAPA GARBAGE SERVICE COMPOSTING FACILITY, NAPA COUNTY	40
FACILITI, NAPA COUNTI	40
AGENDA ITEM NO. 4: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE NORTH AREA RECOVERY STATION,	
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	46
AGENDA ITEM NO. 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF IMPERIAL, IMPERIAL COUNTY	53
AGENDA ITEM NO. 6: CONSIDERATION OF	
STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF	
THE AMENDED COUNTYWIDE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY	<b>-</b> -
ELEMENT FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	56
AGENDA ITEM NO. 7: CONSIDERATION OF	
STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF	
THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN AND THE COUNTYWIDE	
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR	
PLACER COUNTY	59
AGENDA ITEM NO. 8: CONSIDERATION OF	
STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CHANGE THE	
BASE-YEAR TO 1997 FOR THE PREVIOUSLY	
APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR UNINCORPORATED TULARE COUNTY	63
PERMITTING TON ONTINCOMECINATED TOPANE COUNTY	0.3

## Please note: These transcripts are not individually approved and reviewed for accuracy.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 9: CONSIDERATION OF	
STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE	
BASE-YEAR GENERATION AMOUNT FOR THE	
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION	
AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF	
	88
THINGOD, LOD THIGHHAD COUNTY	00
AGENDA ITEM NO. 10: CONSIDERATION OF	
STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE	
BASE-YEAR GENERATION FOR THE PREVIOUSLY	
APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING	
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH,	
·	92
LOS ANGELES COUNTI	9 4
ACTION THEM NO. 10. HEDDANE ON ODERNING	
AGENDA ITEM NO. 12: UPDATE ON GREENING	
TEAM PLAN FOR THE FOCUSSED REDUCTION OF	<u> </u>
COMPO STABLE ORGANIC MATERIALS	94
1 CT 1 TT 1 TT 1 TO 1 1 2 . CONGT T T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1	
AGENDA ITEM NO. 13: CONSIDERATION OF	
APPROVAL OF AMENDED SCOPE OF WORK AND	
AWARD OF AMENDED CONTRACT WITH CITYGATE	
ASSOCIATES FOR THE GRASSCYCLING VIDEO 1	12
3 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
AGENDA ITEM NO. 14: PUBLIC HEARING	
AND CONSIDERATION OF ENFORCEMENT ACTION	
AGAINST NEWSPRINT CONSUMER PUBLISHERS'	
PRINTING SERVICE, INC., DOING BUSINESS	
AS DAY AND NIGHT, FOR FAILURE TO FILE	
A 1997 NEWSPRINT CONSUMER CERTIFICATION	18
AD TOURNATURE FOR THE DAVIS	2.4
ADJOURNMENT FOR THE DAYS	34
CALL TO ORDER1	35
CALL TO ORDER 1	33
EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS 1	35
EX FARTE COMMUNICATIONS	55
AGENDA ITEM NO. 11: CONSIDERATION OF	
STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CHANGE THE	
BASE-YEAR TO 1995 FOR THE PREVIOUSLY	
APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING	
ELEMENT FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF	
BUTTE COUNTY 1	20
BUILE COUNTY :	30
AGENDA ITEM A: RECONSIDERATION OF	
APPROVAL OF A NEW SOLID WASTE FACILITY	
PERMIT FOR THE HUMBOLDT COUNTY WASTE	
MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY TEMPORARY TRANSFER	
	45
STATION, HUMBOLDT COUNTY 1	± 0

1	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
2	WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1998, 9:40 A.M.
3	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: GOOD MORNING AND
4 1	WELCOME TO THE NOVEMBER 18TH MEETING OF THE
5 (	CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD.
6 1	WOULD THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL,
7 1	PLEASE?
8	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
9	MEMBER CHESBRO: HERE.
10	THE SECRETARY: EATON?
11	MEMBER EATON: HERE.
12	THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
13	HE'S ABSENT.
14	JONES?
15	MEMBER JONES: HERE.
16	THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
17	MEMBER RHOADS: HERE.
18	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
19	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: HERE.
20	WE HAVE A QUORUM. AS YOU JUST HEARD,
21	MR. FRAZEE IS ABSENT, SO WE WON'T CALL HIM
22	DURING THE ROLL CALLS TODAY.
23	WE WILL START WITH MR. EATON ON EX
24	PARTES.

MEMBER EATON: YES. I JUST HAVE TWO

25

- 1 TO REPORT. LATE YESTERDAY AFTERNOON IN ORAL
- 2 CONVERSATIONS WITH PATTY GARBARINO OF MARIN
- 3 RECYCLING CENTER AND ROD GOULD, CITY MANAGER
- 4 FOR THE CITY OF SAN RAFAEL, REGARDING MARIN
- 5 COUNTY WASTE ISSUES.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. JONES.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, MINE ARE
- 8 UP TO DATE.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. RHOADS?
- 10 MEMBER RHOADS: MINE ARE UP TO DATE
- 11 BUT -- MAYBE THEY ARE NOT. THE LAST COUPLE OF
- 12 DAYS I HAD THE PLEASURE OF GOING DOWN WITH
- 13 STAFF, CATHERINE CARDOZO, CARA MORGAN, TERRY
- 14 BRENNAN, TO SOME OF THE TARGETED CITIES THAT WE
- 15 HAD IN SANTA CRUZ AND MONTEREY TO SEE WHAT AID
- 16 WE CAN GIVE THEM, AND I PROBABLY SHOULD REPORT
- 17 THE PEOPLE THAT ATTENDED THOSE MEETINGS, AND I
- 18 WILL DO THAT LATER. THERE IS NOTHING THAT WAS
- 19 DISCUSSED AT THOSE MEETINGS THAT HAD ANYTHING
- 20 TO DO WITH TODAY'S AGENDA.
- 21 I JUST WANT TO CONGRATULATE THE
- 22 BOARD ON THEIR STRATEGIC PLAN FOR RECOGNIZING
- 23 THE NEED OF LOCAL AGENCIES AND THE NEED FOR THE
- 24 BOARD TO GIVE THEM HELP IN REACHING THE 50
- 25 PERCENT GOAL.

- 1 AND THE CITIES OF GONZALES, THE
- 2 CITIES OF GREENFIELD, KING CITY, SAND CITY, AND
- 3 REPRESENTATIVES FROM MONTEREY COUNTY AND SANTA
- 4 CRUZ COUNTY WERE VERY, VERY APPRECIATIVE OF THE
- 5 EFFORTS THAT STAFF WAS MAKING TO GIVE THEM THAT
- 6 AID IN MEETING THEIR GOALS. AND THAT'S ALL I
- 7 HAVE TO REPORT.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 9 THANK YOU.
- 10 MR. CHESBRO.
- 11 MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, I HAVE QUITE A
- 12 LIST HERE. LET'S SEE. JEFFREY KNAPP OF THE
- 13 UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE OF HUMBOLDT COUNTY
- 14 ON THE HUMBOLDT TRANSFER STATION, DUANE GOFORTH
- 15 OF GOFORTH AND [INAUDIBLE] ON THE HUMBOLDT
- 16 TRANSFER STATION, JERRY JAMBUCHIAN [PH.]
- 17 REGARDING THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE ON [INAUDIBLE]
- 18 ISSUES, NANCY DIAMOND OF GAINER AND DIAMOND
- 19 REPRESENTING THE CITY OF ARCATA ON THE HUMBOLDT
- 20 TRANSFER STATION, EVAN EDGAR REPRESENTING CRC
- 21 REGARDING THE TULARE COUNTY ISSUE THAT'S ON THE
- 22 AGENDA TODAY, WHICH IS NUMBER 8, I BELIEVE,
- 23 RACHEL HOOPER OF SHUTE, MIHALY AND WEINBERGER
- 24 ON THE HUMBOLDT COUNTY PERMIT, WHICH IS
- 25 TOMORROW, AND STAN -- DID I ALREADY SAY STAN

- 1 HENDERSON? NO. STAN HENDERSON OF THE UNITED
- 2 NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE OF HUMBOLDT COUNTY AGAIN
- 3 REGARDING THE HUMBOLDT COUNTY TRANSFER STATION
- 4 PERMIT. THAT'S IT.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 6 THANK YOU.
- 7 LET'S SEE. I HAVE A LETTER FROM
- 8 EVAN EDGAR ON THE TULARE COUNTY BASE-YEAR
- 9 ADJUSTMENT. I HAVE LETTERS FROM NANCY DIAMOND
- 10 OF GAINER AND DIAMOND, CITY ATTORNEY, THE CITY
- 11 OF ARCATA, AND FROM KEVIN HOOVER, EDITOR OF THE
- 12 ARCATA EYE, AND THE UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD
- 13 ALLIANCE OF HUMBOLDT. I ALSO HAD A
- 14 CONVERSATION WITH NANCY DIAMOND. AND I THINK
- 15 THAT COVERS IT.
- 16 ALSO, IF THERE IS ANY SPEAKERS WHO
- 17 WISH TO ADDRESS ANY ITEM ON THE BOARD THIS
- 18 MORNING, THERE ARE SPEAKER SLIPS ON THE TABLE
- 19 IN THE BACK, AND IF YOU WILL FILL THEM OUT AND
- 20 GET THEM TO MS. KELLY HERE WE WILL MAKE SURE
- 21 THAT YOU ARE HEARD FROM ON THOSE ITEMS YOU WISH
- 22 TO ADDRESS.
- 23 A COUPLE OF ANNOUNCEMENTS. AGENDA
- 24 ITEM NO. 1 HAS BEEN PULLED FROM TODAY'S AGENDA.
- 25 THE CONTINUING BUSINESS ITEM CONCERNING THE

- 1 HUMBOLDT COUNTY TRANSFER STATION WILL BE HELD
- 2 AT 9:30 TOMORROW, NOVEMBER 19TH.
- 3 AGENDA ITEM 11, THE BASE-YEAR
- 4 ADJUSTMENT FOR BUTTE COUNTY WILL ALSO BE HEARD
- 5 TOMORROW ON NOVEMBER 19TH.
- 6 NOW IT'S MY PLEASURE TO DO A
- 7 LITTLE CEREMONY HERE.
- 8 MR. CHESBRO, SENATOR ELECT
- 9 CHESBRO, WHY DON'T YOU COME DOWN TOO.
- 10 MEMBER CHESBRO: I HAVE HANDED OUT A
- 11 FEW OF THOSE, BUT I HAVEN'T BEEN A RECIPIENT
- 12 BEFORE, SO I DON'T QUITE KNOW WHAT TO DO.
- 13 MEMBER EATON: WHERE IS THE
- 14 PHOTOGRAPHER? YOU NEVER KNOW WHEN YOU NEED A
- 15 PICTURE.
- 16 MALE VOICE: I'M SURE HIS CAMERA IS
- 17 UPSTAIRS. IF YOU JUST DELAY THIS FOR A COUPLE
- 18 OF MINUTES
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THAT'S ALL
- 20 RIGHT. WE WILL --
- 21 MEMBER CHESBRO: STAGE IT LATER.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: -- WE WILL STAGE
- 23 IT LATER.
- 24 AS MOST OF YOU KNOW, MR. CHESBRO
- 25 HAS BEEN ELECTED TO THE STATE SENATE AND WILL

- 1 BE LEAVING US OFFICIALLY IN THE NEXT DAY OR TWO
- 2 AND GOING DOWN AND JOINING THE CAPITOL CROWD IN
- 3 THE SENATE.
- 4 HE HAS BEEN HERE A LONG TIME.
- 5 NINE YEARS, I BELIEVE. AND HE IS OUR SENIOR
- 6 MEMBER, AND HE HAS HAD A GREAT INFLUENCE ON THE
- 7 COURSE OF THE BOARD AND THE SHAPING OF THE
- 8 BOARD, AND HE WAS HERE FROM THE VERY BEGINNING
- 9 AND PUT THE BOARD TOGETHER, AND SO WE HAVE A
- 10 PLAQUE HERE, A RESOLUTION, AND I WILL READ THAT
- 11 TO HIM.
- 12 MEMBER CHESBRO: WAIT A MINUTE. DID
- 13 YOU GET MY SIGNATURE ON IT?
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: NO. YOU ARE
- 15 THROUGH SIGNING THESE THINGS. YOU HAVE GOT
- 16 BIGGER ONES TO SIGN NOW.
- 17 WHEREAS THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED
- 18 WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD IS RESPONSIBLE FOR
- 19 IMPLEMENTING AB939, THE INTEGRATED WASTE
- 20 MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1989, WHICH MANDATES THAT
- 21 CALIFORNIA REDUCE 50 PERCENT OF THE WASTE GOING
- 22 INTO LANDFILLS BY THE YEAR 2000;
- 23 AND WHEREAS WESLEY CHESBRO, AS A
- 24 LEADER IN THE PASSAGE OF AB939 HAS TIRELESSLY
- 25 ADVOCATED RECYCLING, WASTE PREVENTION, AND

- 1 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND WAS A DRIVING
- 2 FORCE BEHIND THE PROGRAMS AND POLICIES THAT
- 3 RESULTED IN CALIFORNIA DOUBLING ITS DIVERSION
- 4 RATE DURING THE EIGHT YEARS HE SPENT ON THE
- 5 BOARD;
- 6 AND WHEREAS WESLEY CHESBRO, THE
- 7 WASTE BOARD'S LONGEST SERVING MEMBER, SERVED AS
- 8 THE BOARD VICE CHAIRMAN, CHAIRED THE LOCAL
- 9 ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE, AND SERVED
- 10 ON THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE, THE
- 11 LEGISLATION AND PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMITTEE, AND
- 12 ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE. IN THESE CAPACITIES
- 13 AMONG OTHER ACCOMPLISHMENTS HE HAS ACTIVELY
- 14 REPRESENTED LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN STATEWIDE
- 15 MANAGEMENT ISSUES, HELPING 575 LOCAL
- 16 GOVERNMENTS TO PREPARE AND IMPLEMENT THEIR
- 17 RECYCLING PLANS;
- 18 AND WHEREAS WESLEY CHESBRO HELPED
- 19 CREATE AND IMPLEMENT A MARKETING DEVELOPMENT
- 20 PROGRAM THAT PROVIDED CALIFORNIA RECYCLING
- 21 BUSINESSES WITH NEARLY 50 MILLION IN CAPITAL
- 22 WHILE CREATING MORE THAN 600 NEW JOBS;
- 23 AND WHEREAS WESLEY CHESBRO,
- 24 THROUGHOUT HIS PROFESSIONAL ENDEAVORS, HAS BEEN
- 25 A GUARDIAN OF THE ENVIRONMENT, HOLDING NUMEROUS

- 1 POSTS, INCLUDING ARCATA CITY COUNCILMAN,
- 2 HUMBOLDT COUNTY SUPERVISOR, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
- 3 OF THE NORTH COAST ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER,
- 4 FOUNDER OF THE ARCATA RECYCLING CENTER, AND
- 5 CHAIRMAN OF THE MATERIALS FOR THE FUTURE;
- 6 AND WHEREAS WESLEY CHESBRO IS
- 7 RESIGNING ON DECEMBER 4, 1998, TO CONTINUE HIS
- 8 LONGSTANDING SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC OF
- 9 CALIFORNIA AS A STATE SENATOR, NOW THEREFORE BE
- 10 IT RESOLVED THAT THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED
- 11 WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD TAKES GREAT PLEASURE IN
- 12 COMMENDING WESLEY CHESBRO FOR HIS EXEMPLARY
- 13 CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE BOARD AND FOR HIS
- 14 EXCELLENCE IN ENVIRONMENTAL AND LOCAL
- 15 GOVERNMENT LEADERSHIP AND WISHES HIM WELL IN
- 16 THE FUTURE.
- 17 WESLEY.
- 18 (APPLAUSE)
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I WOULD ALSO
- 20 LIKE TO ADD THAT IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE FOR ME TO
- 21 SERVE WITH WESLEY. I CAME HERE THREE AND A
- 22 HALF YEARS AGO, AND HE HAS TAUGHT ME A LOT. HE
- 23 HAS SHOWN ME A LOT OF POLITICAL SAVOIR FAIRE,
- 24 AND IT'S CERTAINLY BEEN A PLEASURE, AND WE
- 25 CERTAINLY LOOK FORWARD TO YOUR LEADERSHIP IN

- 1 THE SENATE.
- 2 MEMBER CHESBRO: THANKS VERY MUCH.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 4 (APPLAUSE)
- 5 MEMBER CHESBRO: I LEFT MY NOTES UP
- 6 THERE, SO I'M GOING TO GO UP THERE AND SAY WHAT
- 7 I HAVE TO SAY FROM UP HERE.
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: WE DO HAVE THE
- 9 PHOTOGRAPHER HERE IF WE WANT TO TAKE
- 10 PHOTOGRAPHS.
- 11 MEMBER CHESBRO: DO YOU WANT TO DO
- 12 THAT?
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SURE.
- 14 (PHOTOGRAPH TAKEN)
- 15 MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, IT'S FUNNY, YOU
- 16 KNOW. YOU HAND OUT THESE THINGS AND DO THESE
- 17 ACTIONS ON THIS SIDE OF THE DAIS, AND YOU SORT
- 18 OF TAKE THEM FOR GRANTED AND DON'T THINK THAT
- 19 THEY NECESSARILY MEAN THAT MUCH BECAUSE YOU DO
- 20 SO MANY OF THEM, AND THEN WHEN SOMEBODY
- 21 ACTUALLY HANDS IT TO YOU, YOU KNOW, THERE IS A
- 22 PART OF ME THAT'S STILL, YOU KNOW, INNOCENT
- 23 ENOUGH TO BE TOUCHED. AND I AM TOUCHED. IT
- 24 REALLY MEANS A LOT TO ME TO BE RECOGNIZED BY MY
- 25 COLLEAGUES, SO I APPRECIATE IT.

- 1 IT HAS BEEN A GREAT EIGHT AND A
- 2 HALF YEARS. AS YOU MENTIONED, I THINK I WAS
- 3 THE SECOND BOARD MEMBER, AND I THINK SAM
- 4 EGIGIAN BEAT ME BY ABOUT A MONTH. HE WAS THE
- 5 FIRST APPOINTMENT, AND I WAS THE SECOND, AND
- 6 KATHY NEAL FOLLOWED A WEEK OR TWO AFTER I WAS
- 7 APPOINTED.
- 8 AND I'M VERY GRATEFUL FOR THE
- 9 CHANCE TO HAVE SERVED AND TO WORK ON SOMETHING
- 10 THAT I REALLY CARE SO DEEPLY ABOUT, AS I THINK
- 11 YOU ALL KNOW WHO HAVE WORKED WITH ME. I'M
- 12 PRETTY PASSIONATE ABOUT THIS STUFF, SOMETIMES
- 13 TO THE POINT OF PROBABLY BEING OBNOXIOUS.
- 14 I'M VERY THANKFUL THAT SOMEONE WHO
- 15 IS ESSENTIALLY A HUMBOLDT COUNTY SUPERVISOR
- 16 FROM A TINY COUNTY IN ONE CORNER OF THE STATE
- 17 WAS GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY BY DAVID ROBERTI AND
- 18 THEN ALMOST MIRACULOUSLY REAPPOINTED BY BILL
- 19 LOCKYER TO SERVE ON THIS BOARD.
- 20 I THINK BY ALL ACCOUNTS IN BOTH
- 21 CASES THERE WERE A LOT OF EXPECTATIONS THAT I
- 22 WAS NOT GOING TO BE SUCCESSFUL AND I WASN'T
- 23 GOING TO BE APPOINTED TO THE BOARD, SO I FEEL
- 24 REALLY HONORED BY HAVING HAD THAT OPPORTUNITY.
- 25 I WOULD LIKE TO THANK EACH OF YOU,

- 1 EVEN THE ONE OF YOU THAT I HAVE ONLY SERVED
- 2 WITH FOR A FEW DAYS HERE, FOR THE OPPORTUNITY
- 3 TO WORK CLOSELY WITH YOU AND DEVELOP A
- 4 FRIENDSHIP AND RELATIONSHIP WITH EACH OF YOU.
- 5 I WANT TO MENTION SOME OF THE PAST
- 6 BOARD MEMBERS WHO I SERVED WITH WHO I THINK
- 7 MADE SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTIONS AS WELL. JESSE
- 8 HUFF, WHO CAME HERE I THINK ORIGINALLY THINKING
- 9 THAT THIS WAS A LANDING SPOT AFTER A VERY TOUGH
- 10 JOB AS THE GOVERNOR'S FINANCE DIRECTOR. IT
- 11 TOOK HIM A LITTLE WHILE, AS SOME OF US
- 12 REMEMBER, BUT ONCE HE ENGAGED I THINK HE REALLY
- 13 SET THE PACE AND SET THE FRAMEWORK FOR TO THIS
- 14 DAY WHAT THE BOARD IS DOING.
- 15 I DIDN'T VOTE FOR HIM FOR CHAIR,
- 16 BUT THE DAY HE LEFT I SAID THAT, YOU KNOW, IF
- 17 THE OPPORTUNITY WERE AVAILABLE TO GO BACK AND
- 18 ELECT HIM AS CHAIR, I WOULD HAVE DONE SO,
- 19 BECAUSE I WAS A GREAT ADMIRER OF WHAT HE
- 20 ACHIEVED FOR THE BOARD.
- 21 SAM EGIGIAN HAD A SINGLE-MINDED
- 22 AND PURPOSEFUL APPROACH TO THE JOB, WHICH I
- 23 THINK REALLY HELPED THE CONSTITUENCY HE WAS
- 24 APPOINTED TO REPRESENT BE WELL REPRESENTED
- 25 HERE, AND IT'S BEEN ABLY FOLLOWED THROUGH ON BY

- 1 MR. JONES, BUT I REALLY ENJOYED WORKING WITH
- 2 SAM.
- 3 KATHY NEAL WAS ALSO AN EXTREMELY
- 4 TENACIOUS AND STRONG MEMBER OF THIS BOARD, AND
- 5 BOTH SAM AND KATHY AND I HAVE CONTINUED TO HAVE
- 6 REGULAR CONTACT WITH, AND I HOPE THAT THE SAME
- 7 KIND OF FRIENDSHIPS I DEVELOPED WITH THEM I
- 8 WILL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE WITH EACH OF YOU.
- 9 AND FINALLY PAUL RELISH, WHO I
- 10 THINK AGAIN PICKED CERTAIN AREAS THAT HE FELT
- 11 VERY STRONGLY ABOUT AND VERY TENACIOUSLY STUCK
- 12 TO THEM AND I THINK MADE A GREAT CONTRIBUTION
- 13 TO WHAT HAS GONE ON HERE.
- 14 I WANT TO MENTION -- I HAVE GONE
- 15 THROUGH A NUMBER OF STAFF PEOPLE OVER ALMOST
- 16 NINE YEARS' TIME, BUT I WANT TO MENTION THE
- 17 CURRENT FOLKS WHO HAVE WORKED FOR ME AND ARE
- 18 WORKING FOR ME WHO HAVE DONE I THINK A TERRIFIC
- 19 JOB.
- 20 BOB FREDENBERG, WHO IS SITTING
- 21 BACK BEHIND ME HERE, I'M VERY GRATEFUL TO, AND
- 22 HE IS GOING TO BE GOING DOWN TO RETURNING TO
- 23 THE CAPITOL WITH ME AND BE ON MY STAFF. I'M
- 24 SURE THAT EVERYONE HERE WHO HAS WORKED ON
- 25 LEGISLATION KNOWS HOW EFFECTIVE BOB IS IN THE

- 1 LEGISLATIVE PROCESS AS WELL AS THE WORK THAT HE
- 2 HAS DONE HERE.
- 3 TRACY PERRY TOOK A LEAVE OF
- 4 ABSENCE AND MANAGED MY CAMPAIGN AND IS GOING TO
- 5 BE MY CHIEF OF STAFF DOWNTOWN AND HAS JUST
- 6 GROWN TREMENDOUSLY FROM A YOUNG, FAIRLY
- 7 INEXPERIENCED PERSON TO I THINK JUST AN
- 8 ABSOLUTELY TOP-NOTCH PERSON, BOTH FROM A POLICY
- 9 AND A POLITICAL STANDPOINT, AND I FEEL VERY
- 10 FORTUNATE THAT SHE HAS BEEN WILLING TO HELP ME
- 11 IN ALL THREE STAGES OF MY WORK.
- 12 I ALSO WANT TO MENTION KATHY
- 13 MARSH, WHO HAS JUST BEEN A REALLY, REALLY
- 14 WONDERFUL, LOYAL, PATIENT, TOLERANT PERSON
- 15 THROUGH ALL OF THE AMBIGUITIES AND CHANGES THAT
- 16 HAVE GONE ON AS A RESULT OF MY DECIDING TO DO
- 17 THIS. I THINK IT'S A HARD JOB FOR ANYBODY TO
- 18 WORK FOR PEOPLE IN THESE POSITIONS IN THAT
- 19 CAPACITY, AND KATHY HAS JUST DONE A REALLY
- 20 GREAT JOB, AND I WANT TO THANK HER PERSONALLY.
- 21 AND THEN WHILE TRACY WAS OFF
- 22 HELPING ME WITH THE CAMPAIGN, BENDAN BLUE
- 23 STEPPED IN AND DID A VERY GOOD JOB OF HELPING
- 24 KEEP THE OFFICE IN PLACE. AND I HAVE TRIED TO
- 25 STAY INFORMED WHILE I HAVE BEEN GONE, AND SO

- 1 BOTH BENDAN AND BOB HAVE KEPT TRACK OF THINGS
- 2 HERE AT THE BOARD FOR ME AND KEPT ME REGULARLY
- 3 INFORMED SO THAT I COULD ARRIVE BACK FOR MY ONE
- 4 MEETING WITHOUT BEING TOO FAR BEHIND ON THE
- 5 CURVE.
- 6 THE BOARD STAFF IS THE KEY TO THE
- 7 PROGRESS AND THE SUCCESS THAT WE HAVE MADE. WE
- 8 HAVE AN UNPARALLELED, PHENOMENAL STAFF HERE AT
- 9 THIS BOARD, AND I WANT TO COMPLIMENT ALL OF
- 10 YOU, AND I HOPE THAT OTHERS IN THE BUILDING AND
- 11 ACROSS THE FIELD HERE ARE LISTENING SO THAT
- 12 THEY GET THE MESSAGE.
- 13 I HOPE THAT EACH OF YOU GO BACK
- 14 TO -- WHO ARE ON THE STAFF GO BACK TO YOUR
- 15 DIVISION AND LET PEOPLE KNOW WHAT I SAID
- 16 BECAUSE -- I HAVE SAID IT BEFORE. THIS IS NOT
- 17 THE FIRST TIME. I AM A GREAT ADMIRER OF THE
- 18 QUALITY OF THE STAFF THAT IS HERE AT THE BOARD
- 19 AND FEEL THAT FOR ALL OF THE BRAGGING ON THE
- 20 CAMPAIGN TRAIL AND UNDER OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES I
- 21 HAVE MADE ABOUT THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF THIS BOARD,
- 22 THAT REALLY IT'S THE WORK OF THE STAFF THAT HAS
- 23 MADE THAT POSSIBLE.
- 24 IT'S DANGEROUS TO SINGLE OUT ANY
- 25 PROGRAM OR DIVISION, BUT I'M GOING TO ANYWAY.

- 1 IT'S NOT A REFLECTION ON ANYBODY ELSE BEING
- 2 LESS VALUABLE, BUT I HAVE HAPPENED TO HAVE
- 3 WORKED IN THE AREA OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND
- 4 PLANNING MUCH MORE CLOSELY, AND SO I HAVE HAD
- 5 VERY, VERY CLOSE WORKING RELATIONSHIP.
- 6 JUDY FRIEDMAN AND THE STAFF OF THE
- 7 DIVERSION PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE HAS
- 8 JUST DONE A PHENOMENAL JOB OF WALKING THAT
- 9 INCREDIBLY DIFFICULT FINE LINE BETWEEN
- 10 ENFORCING A MANDATE AND ASSISTING THE LOCAL
- 11 GOVERNMENTS TO ACHIEVE STATE GOALS.
- 12 I KNOW THERE ARE OTHER DIVISIONS
- 13 WHO HAVE THAT SAME BALANCING ACT, SO AGAIN I'M
- 14 NOT BELITTLING THAT OTHERS HAVE DONE IT AS
- 15 WELL, BUT I HAVE JUST SEEN FIRSTHAND AND CLOSE
- 16 UP HOW EFFECTIVELY THE STAFF OF THAT DIVISION
- 17 HAVE CARRIED OUT THAT VERY DIFFICULT BALANCING
- 18 ACT, AND I JUST WANT TO THANK EACH OF THEM AND
- 19 TELL THEM THAT I'M GOING TO MISS WORKING
- 20 CLOSELY WITH THEM.
- 21 WE ARE A UNIQUE BOARD WITH A
- 22 UNIQUE MANDATE AND A UNIQUE ROLE FOR THE BOARD
- 23 ITSELF, AND AS I LOOK BACK AT OUR TRACK RECORD,
- 24 I SORT OF FLUCTUATE BETWEEN THE OPTIMIST AND
- 25 THE CRITIC IN ME ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE GLASS

- 1 IS HALF EMPTY OR THE GLASS IS HALF FULL, AND
- 2 YOU CAN LOOK AT IT EITHER WAY.
- 3 ON THE ONE HAND WE HAVE ACHIEVED A
- 4 TREMENDOUS AMOUNT, AND AGAIN I SAY WE, I'M
- 5 TALKING ABOUT THE ENTIRE ORGANIZATION AND ALL
- 6 OF THE PEOPLE, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND PRIVATE
- 7 INDUSTRY THAT WE WORK WITH.
- 8 WE HAVE ACHIEVED WHAT I THINK IS
- 9 SOMEWHERE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF A 35 PERCENT
- 10 WASTE DIVERSION SINCE 1990. WE HAVE MADE
- 11 SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENT IN THE MANAGEMENT OF
- 12 SOLID WASTE FACILITIES IN THIS STATE, AND WHILE
- 13 I HAVE MOANED AND GROANED AND COMPLAINED AND
- 14 CRITICIZED PERMITS AND DONE ALL KINDS OF
- 15 THINGS, THE FACT IS THAT THERE HAS BEEN A
- 16 STEADY PROGRESS OVER TIME, AND THAT IS -- IT'S
- 17 SIGNIFICANT.
- 18 WE HAVE EMPOWERED LOCAL AGENCIES,
- 19 AND WE HAVE EMPOWERED LEAS, AND I THINK THAT IS
- 20 VERY SIGNIFICANT. IT'S A DIFFERENT APPROACH
- 21 THAN ANY OTHER STATE MANDATE THAT I HAVE EVER
- 22 SEEN, WHERE WE HAVE ACTUALLY GONE OUT THERE AND
- 23 TRIED TO BUILD UP THE CAPACITY TO COMPLY AND
- 24 NOT JUST WALKED AROUND WITH A BIG STICK
- 25 THREATENING AND BEATING PEOPLE UP, WHICH IS

- 1 WHAT LOCAL GOVERNMENTS USUALLY EXPERIENCE FROM
- 2 STATE AGENCY AND WHAT PRIVATE INDUSTRY HAS COME
- 3 TO EXPERIENCE.
- 4 WE HAVE CREATED NEW JOBS AND
- 5 BUSINESSES IN RECYCLING. WE HAVE MADE A DENT
- 6 IN THE ILLEGAL TIRE PILE PROBLEM, A SIGNIFICANT
- 7 DENT, BUT OF COURSE THE PROBLEM IS SO LARGE
- 8 THAT IT'S HARD TO SEE HOW SIGNIFICANT, BUT IT
- 9 IS A VERY SIGNIFICANT DENT.
- 10 WE HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED
- 11 THE AMOUNT OF USED OIL BEING COLLECTED AND
- 12 RECYCLED, AND WE HAVE INCREASED THE USE OF
- 13 RECYCLED CONTENT PRODUCTS AS WELL AS CREATING
- 14 HUNDREDS OF NEW JOBS AND BUILDING AND
- 15 STRENGTHENING THE CALIFORNIA ECONOMY AS A
- 16 RESULT OF OUR RECYCLING MARKET DEVELOPMENT.
- 17 HOWEVER, WHEN I THINK OF THE
- 18 POTENTIAL, I ALSO THINK ABOUT HOW THE GLASS IS
- 19 HALF FULL, AND WE HAVE MANY MORE CHALLENGES TO
- 20 OVERCOME. THE OPPORTUNITIES TO PROTECT
- 21 CALIFORNIA'S ENVIRONMENT, CONSERVE NATURAL
- 22 RESOURCES, AND BUILD A SUSTAINABLE ECONOMY
- 23 THROUGH WASTE PREVENTION, RECYCLING, AND
- 24 COMPOSTING ARE ALL HUGE.
- 25 SO I HOPE THAT AS A BOARD YOU WILL

- 1 NOT LET UP AND THAT YOU WILL REDEDICATE
- 2 YOURSELVES TO THE TASKS AT HAND, I'M CONFIDENT
- 3 THAT THE NEW APPOINTEES TO THE BOARD FROM THE
- 4 SENATE AND GOVERNOR ELECT DAVIS WILL BE
- 5 STRONGLY DEDICATED TO THE PRINCIPLES OF THIS
- 6 LAW.
- 7 AND SO I HOPE YOU WILL WELCOME
- 8 THEM AND COOPERATE WITH THEM, AS WE HAVE HAD A
- 9 DIVERSE BOARD IN THE PAST. I THINK IT'S GOING
- 10 TO BE VERY IMPORTANT TO TRY TO MAINTAIN THE
- 11 CENTER, IF YOU WILL, IN TERMS OF THE FACT THAT
- 12 THE DIVERSE APPOINTING AUTHORITIES COALESCE
- 13 AROUND CONTINUED CONSISTENCY AND PROGRESS IN
- 14 ACHIEVING THE VERY, VERY IMPORTANT PRINCIPLES
- 15 OF AB939.
- 16 AS SENATOR I WILL BE BOTH A FRIEND
- 17 TO THE BOARD AND TO THE AB939, BUT ALSO A
- 18 MONITOR TO TRY TO SEE TO IT -- ESPECIALLY AS
- 19 BYRON SHER LEAVES THE SENATE IN TWO YEARS AND
- 20 THERE WILL BE NO LEGISLATOR AROUND WHO VOTED ON
- 21 AB939 TWO YEARS FROM NOW. I THINK THAT I WILL
- 22 HAVE AN IMPORTANT ROLE IN BEING A MEMBER OF THE
- 23 LEGISLATURE WHO WILL PROVIDE SOME CONTINUITY IN
- 24 TERMS OF A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE LEGISLATURE
- 25 AND IN MEMORY OF WHAT THE ORIGINAL IDEA WAS AND

- 1 ALSO A WILLINGNESS TO PARTNER WITH THE BOARD ON
- 2 WHERE DO WE GO NEXT, WHERE DO WE GO BEYOND THE
- 3 -- YOU KNOW, FOR THE YEAR 2000 AND BEYOND 50
- 4 PERCENT.
- 5 I WILL MISS WORKING WITH ALL OF
- 6 YOU ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS, BUT I'M CERTAIN THAT
- 7 BOTH WITH THE STAFF -- WITH MY CONTINUED
- 8 INTEREST IN THIS, BOTH WITH THE STAFF AND WITH
- 9 MR. CHANDLER IN PARTICULAR, WHO I FAILED TO
- 10 MENTION, AND I SHOULD ALSO EXTEND MY GRATITUDE
- 11 TO. BUT WITH ALL OF YOU I'M SURE THAT WE WILL
- 12 HAVE AN ONGOING WORKING RELATIONSHIP, THAT THIS
- 13 IS NOT THE DISCONNECT WHERE YOU ARE NEVER GOING
- 14 TO SEE ME AGAIN. WE HAVE GOT A WHOLE LOT OF
- 15 WORK TO DO TOGETHER, AND I AM LOOKING FORWARD
- 16 TO HAVING THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT WITH YOU
- 17 IN THE STATE SENATE.
- 18 THANKS.
- 19 (APPLAUSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD. WE
- 21 WILL NOW MOVE TO REPORTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS.
- 22 DO ANY BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANYTHING
- 23 THEY WOULD LIKE TO -- MR. JONES.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT'S HARD
- 25 TO FOLLOW SENATOR CHESBRO'S HISTORY, BUT I DO

- 1 WANT TO JUST BRING UP A SMALL EFFORT THAT THE
- 2 BOARD WAS PARTICIPANT IN THIS WEEKEND AND
- 3 CONGRATULATE THE BOARD MEMBERS AND STAFF THAT
- 4 PARTICIPATED IN THE AMERICA RECYCLES DAY EVENTS
- 5 THROUGHOUT THE STATE, GIVING OUT CERTIFICATES
- 6 AT 20 DIFFERENT EVENTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF
- 7 CALIFORNIA OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF DAYS AND
- 8 ACKNOWLEDGING 50 CITIES AT A MINIMUM WITH
- 9 CERTIFICATES OF COMMENDATION FOR THE FACT THAT
- 10 THEY HAD MET THE FIRST PART OF THE MANDATE.
- 11 WE ALSO GAVE OUT SIX OR SEVEN
- 12 RAFFLE AWARD WINNERS, AND I SENT AN E-MAIL OUT,
- 13 SO I THINK THE STAFF KNOWS HOW I FEEL ABOUT IT,
- 14 BUT FOR THE SAKE OF THIS REPORT, I THINK THAT
- 15 THIS PROACTIVE INITIATIVE THAT WE TOOK MEANT AN
- 16 AWFUL LOT TO PEOPLE OUT DOING THE JOB EVERY DAY
- 17 THAT THEY HAD IN FACT BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED BY THIS
- 18 BOARD FOR EFFORTS THAT WERE PRETTY PHENOMENAL
- 19 IN MEETING A MANDATE THAT HAS PUT A BURDEN ON
- 20 AN AWFUL LOT OF THEM AND OPPORTUNITIES ON EVEN
- 21 MORE.
- 22 AND THEY APPRECIATED IT, AND I
- 23 THINK WE AS A BOARD APPRECIATE THE EFFORT, AND
- 24 I THINK THESE ARE THE TYPES OF THINGS THAT ARE
- 25 GOING TO KEEP PEOPLE FOCUSED ON WHAT WE ARE

- 1 DOING.
- 2 SENATOR CHESBRO SAYS THERE IS ONLY
- 3 ONE MEMBER THAT VOTED ON AB939 THAT IS LEFT.
- 4 PEOPLE NEED TO REMEMBER, ESPECIALLY STAFF, WHEN
- 5 WE ARE DEALING WITH A CITY OR A COUNTY,
- 6 ESPECIALLY THE YOUNG ONES, THE NEW CITY COUNCIL
- 7 MEMBERS, THE NEW STAFF, THEY WERE 16, 17, 18
- 8 YEARS OLD WHEN THAT BILL WAS SIGNED.
- 9 THEY DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT BARGES
- 10 GOING ALONG THE EASTERN SEABOARD LOOKING FOR A
- 11 HOME FOR WASTE. THEY DIDN'T UNDERSTAND OR
- 12 PROBABLY CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT WAS A VERY REAL
- 13 LANDFILL CRISIS OR THE MOVEMENT FOR RESOURCE
- 14 CONSERVATION.
- 15 AND I THINK IT'S INCUMBENT ON US
- 16 TO CONTINUALLY REINFORCE -- AND NOT ONLY JUST
- 17 DEAL WITH WHAT WAS THE STATUS QUO AS TO WHY WE
- 18 DID IT BUT CONTINUALLY FOCUS ON WHAT OUR NEXT
- 19 STEPS ARE.
- 20 YOU KNOW, OUR RESOURCES ARE NOT
- 21 GOING TO LAST FOREVER. I MEAN THEY HAVE LASTED
- 22 AN AWFUL LONG TIME, THEY MAY LAST A LONG TIME,
- 23 BUT WE NEED TO MANAGE THEM, AND WE NEED TO BE
- 24 AWARE OF THAT WHEN WE ARE OUT TALKING ABOUT
- 25 AB939 AND DOING OUR JOB.

- 1 SO I JUST WANTED TO CONGRATULATE
- 2 ALL OF US. I KNOW EVERY BOARD MEMBER ON THIS
- 3 DAIS WAS AT SOME PART OF THE STATE ON EITHER
- 4 SATURDAY, SUNDAY, OR BOTH DAYS, MONDAY,
- 5 TUESDAY. WE WERE ALL OVER THE PLACE, AS WAS
- 6 STAFF, AND PEOPLE APPRECIATED THAT, AND PEOPLE
- 7 WERE AMAZED THAT WE TOOK OUR WEEKEND TO PROMOTE
- 8 WHAT IT IS WE ARE ABOUT AND CONGRATULATE THEM,
- 9 SO I WANT TO THANK THE CHAIRMAN AND THE BOARD
- 10 MEMBERS AND ALL THE STAFF.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I THINK I WOULD
- 12 LIKE TO ESPECIALLY COMPLIMENT THE PUBLIC
- 13 AFFAIRS STAFF AND YOUR STAFF, JEFF, AND CALVIN
- 14 YOUNG, FOR AN EXCELLENT JOB IN PUTTING ALL OF
- 15 THIS TOGETHER, BECAUSE IT WAS A MAJOR TASK TO
- 16 GET US ALL ASSIGNED AND GET EVERYTHING PUT
- 17 TOGETHER, AND SO I WANT TO PARTICULARLY
- 18 CONGRATULATE THEM AS WILL.
- 19 MEMBER EATON: AND I WOULD JUST LIKE
- 20 TO ADD AS WELL, I WAS LUCKY -- I THINK LUCKIER
- 21 THAN MOST OF THE BOARD MEMBERS, I WAS FORTUNATE
- 22 ENOUGH TO HAVE TO GO TO MARIN COUNTY, WHERE IT
- 23 IS A BASTION OF RECYCLING AND ACTUALLY ONE WHO
- 24 HAS NOT ONLY EMBRACED BUT ACTUALLY EXCEEDED THE
- 25 WILDEST DREAMS OF MOST PEOPLE IN THEIR ORIGINAL

- 1 ESTIMATES OF WHAT COULD BE DONE WITH THIS LAW.
- 2 JUST TO REPEAT A FUNNY STORY, WHEN
- 3 BYRON SHER HAD DECIDED TO GO FORWARD WITH THIS
- 4 LAW, HE MET WITH JOE GARBARINO AND HAD SPOKE
- 5 ABOUT WHAT HE WAS ABOUT TO DO, AND AFTER THE
- 6 SPEECH, FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO KNOW JOE, HE CAME
- 7 UP TO BYRON AFTERWARDS AND HE SAID, WELL, LET
- 8 ME TELL YOU ABOUT SOME THINGS THAT NEED TO BE
- 9 CORRECTED, AND BYRON, BEING THE ATTORNEY THAT
- 10 HE WAS, LOOKED AT JOE AND SAID, I PATTERNED IT
- 11 AFTER EXACTLY WHAT YOU DID, WHICH LEFT JOE
- 12 SPEECHLESS FOR THE FIRST TIME I THINK EVER IN
- 13 HIS LIFE, AND HE REPEATED THAT STORY YESTERDAY.
- 14 AND I THINK THAT JUST BEING IN
- 15 MARIN COUNTY, I WANTED TO THANK BOTH THE STAFF
- 16 AS WELL AS EVERYONE ELSE. IT WAS REALLY -- IT
- 17 KIND OF IS AN EXCITING PROSPECT TO DO THAT.
- 18 AND I THINK THAT ONE OF THE
- 19 THINGS -- AND I JUST WANT TO MENTION TO WES
- 20 CHESBRO, WHO I UNFORTUNATELY DIDN'T HAVE A LOT
- 21 OF TIME TO SPEND WITH HERE BUT KNOW PEOPLE WHO
- 22 SPENT A LOT OF TIME WITH HIM DURING THE
- 23 CAMPAIGN, THE KIND OF CHARACTER THAT YOU ARE
- 24 GOING TO SEE FROM HIM IN THE SENATE I THINK,
- 25 AND IT WAS EXHIBITED DURING THE CAMPAIGN AND

- 1 PROBABLY YOU EXPERIENCED HERE DURING THE BOARD
- 2 IS GOING TO SERVE YOU WELL, QUITE WELL, BECAUSE
- 3 WHEN YOU LEAVE EITHER THE ASSEMBLY OR THE
- 4 SENATE, THE ONLY THING YOU HAVE LEFT IS YOUR
- 5 WORD, AND I THINK WES CHESBRO IS GOING TO BE A
- 6 MAN OF HIS WORD. HE HAS PROVEN IT ALREADY, AND
- 7 I THINK HE IS GOING TO CONTINUE TO DO SO.
- 8 I DON'T LOOK AT HIS MONITORING AS
- 9 A NEGATIVE. I THINK IT'S A POSITIVE. THE
- 10 UNDERPINNINGS AND THE TENETS WHICH UNDERLIE
- 11 AB939, FOR THE MOST PART SOME OF THEM ARE NO
- 12 LONGER IN EXISTENCE. WE NEED SOMEONE WHO
- 13 UNDERSTANDS. IN THE ERA OF TERM LIMITS, YOU
- 14 HAVE TO UNDERSTAND AT LEAST THAT THERE ISN'T A
- 15 LOT OF DEPTH OR EXPERIENCE WITH REGARD TO
- 16 POLICY MATTERS. THIS IS AN AREA WHERE POLICY
- 17 DOES MATTER, AND WE ARE FORTUNATE TO HAVE
- 18 SOMEONE WHO UNDERSTANDS IT.
- 19 I THINK HE IS GOING TO BE DOING
- 20 OTHER KINDS OF THINGS IN THE SENATE IN OTHER
- 21 AREAS, AND I THINK THAT'S GOING TO BODE WELL
- 22 BOTH FOR NOT ONLY YOUR TENURE THERE BUT YOUR
- 23 LEADERSHIP CAPABILITIES, SHOULD THE OCCASION
- 24 PRESENT ITSELF. SO WHATEVER I CAN DO TO HELP
- 25 YOU ON THAT ONE, I WILL BE GLAD TO.

- 1 MEMBER CHESBRO: THANK YOU, DAN.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 3 MEMBER RHOADS: WELL, MAYBE I WILL SAY
- 4 SOME WORDS.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. RHOADS.
- 6 MEMBER RHOADS: I TOO WANT TO --
- 7 AMERICA RECYCLES DAY WAS A GREAT EXPERIENCE.
- 8 VISITED SAN FRANCISCO, AND WE HAD A LARGE
- 9 NUMBER OF PEOPLE, TV CAMERAS, AND IT WAS QUITE
- 10 A SHOW AND VERY, VERY ENJOYABLE.
- 11 I ALSO VISITED THE CITY OF
- 12 FREMONT. I WANT TO THANK LUMBERJACK FOR THE
- 13 GREAT WORK THAT THEY DID IN THAT PARTICULAR
- 14 EXHIBIT.
- 15 I ALSO WANT TO PAY A SPECIAL
- 16 RECOGNITION. YESTERDAY I VISITED THE MONTEREY
- 17 MARINA FACILITY AND WAS PLEASED TO FIND OUT
- 18 THAT THEY HAD RECEIVED AN AWARD FROM SWANA OF
- 19 THE BEST SOLID WASTE FACILITY IN NORTH AMERICA,
- 20 AND I THINK THAT SHOULD BE CALLED TO
- 21 EVERYBODY'S ATTENTION.
- 22 DAVID MYERS AND THE BOARD MEMBERS
- 23 THERE DESERVE TO BE CONGRATULATED. I THINK
- 24 THEY WILL HAVE A FORMAL CEREMONY THIS FRIDAY.
- 25 BUT IT'S QUITE A FACILITY, AND FOR THOSE OF YOU

- 1 WHO HAVEN'T SEEN IT, I WOULD URGE YOU TO GO
- 2 DOWN THERE AND TAKE A TOUR. IT'S VERY, VERY
- 3 EXCITING.
- 4 AND I TOO WANT TO ECHO THE
- 5 SENATOR'S COMMENTS ABOUT THE LOCAL ASSISTANT
- 6 STAFF. IT'S EXTREMELY, EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
- 7 THAT WE PROVIDE THAT ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL
- 8 GOVERNMENT, AND THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS THAT I
- 9 VISITED WERE VERY, VERY APPRECIATIVE FOR THE
- 10 WORK THAT PEOPLE HAVE DONE.
- 11 AND I WANT TO SAY THAT I HAD HEARD
- 12 OF THE SENATOR IN MY ENERGY COMMISSION DAYS,
- 13 AND THE PEOPLE IN THE ENERGY COMMISSION THOUGHT
- 14 VERY HIGHLY OF HIM AND THE WORK THAT HE HAD
- 15 DONE.
- 16 THANK YOU.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 18 OKAY. NOW WE WILL MOVE TO A
- 19 REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.
- 20 MR. CHANDLER.
- 21 MR. CHANDLER: NOTHING NEW REALLY TO
- 22 REPORT AT THIS MONTH'S MEETING, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 23 I DO JUST WANT TO ECHO MR. JONES'S
- 24 SENTIMENTS AND THANK ON BEHALF OF THE EXECUTIVE
- 25 OFFICE ALL THOSE STAFF FROM THE EXECUTIVE STAFF

- 1 ON DOWN TO VARIOUS MANAGERS AND STAFF THAT
- 2 PARTICIPATED IN THE AMERICA RECYCLES DAY EVENT.
- 3 I KNOW KEITH SMITH FROM ARCATA AND
- 4 PAUL WILLIAM IN PALM DESERT, WE HAD GOOD
- 5 COVERAGE AT ALL THE EVENTS AND GOT VERY GOOD
- 6 FEEDBACK ON THE APPRECIATIVE ASPECTS THAT WERE
- 7 BROUGHT FORWARD BY LOCAL OFFICIALS IN RECEIVING
- 8 THOSE PLACQUES.
- 9 I ALSO JUST WANT TO MENTION THAT
- 10 WE WILL BE KEEPING THE BOARD, AS WE HAVE DONE
- 11 IN THE PAST, INFORMED OF THE PROGRESS WE ARE
- 12 MAKING ON THE TIGON FORECLOSURE. AS YOU KNOW,
- 13 THIS IS A VERY LENGTHY PROCESS, AND AS CAREN
- 14 TRGOVCICH AND I HAVE DONE IN THE PAST, WE ARE
- 15 PROCEEDING IN BRINGING THAT LONGSTANDING
- 16 FORECLOSURE ON ONE OF OUR MARKET DEVELOPMENT
- 17 LOANS TO CLOSURE, AND I WILL KEEP YOU POSTED AS
- 18 THAT PROGRESSES OVER THE WEEKS AHEAD.
- 19 AND FINALLY I DO WANT TO SAY THANK
- 20 YOU TO MEMBER CHESBRO FOR YOUR EIGHT AND A HALF
- 21 YEARS OF SERVICE ON THE BOARD.
- 22 WES, YOU HAVE BEEN A TIRELESS
- 23 SUPPORTER OF OUR PLANNING AND LOCAL BUSINESS
- 24 MARKET EFFORTS, AND I KNOW ON BEHALF OF ALL
- 25 STAFF WE CONGRATULATE YOU ON YOUR ELEVATION TO

- 1 THE STATE SENATE.
- 2 I PERSONALLY LOOK FORWARD TO
- 3 WORKING WITH YOU IN THE SENATE AND YOUR NEW
- 4 CAREER IN PUBLIC SERVICE IN THAT REGARD, AND AS
- 5 MR. EATON JUST INDICATED THAT YOUR POSITION
- 6 THERE WILL CERTAINLY BE A NICE GUARDIAN OVER
- 7 THE EFFORTS THAT WE HAVE MADE HERE AT THE
- 8 BOARD, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO YOU CONTINUING IN
- 9 THAT SPIRIT TO MAKE THIS ORGANIZATION GROW IN
- 10 ITS MANDATE AND ITS RESPONSIBILITIES IN THIS
- 11 VERY IMPORTANT ARENA.
- 12 THANK YOU.
- 13 MEMBER CHESBRO: THANK YOU, RALPH, AND
- 14 YOU KNOW I REALLY -- I SCRIBBLED NOTES THIS
- 15 MORNING BEFORE I CAME DOWN HERE, AND IT WAS A
- 16 GREAT OMISSION FOR ME TO NOT SINGLE YOU OUT FOR
- 17 YOUR LEADERSHIP HERE, AND I REALIZED IT IN THE
- 18 MIDDLE OF MY COMMENTS, AND IT WAS NOT AN
- 19 INTENTION SLIGHT AT ALL. I MENTIONED HOW GREAT
- 20 A JOB STAFF HAS DONE, AND I KNOW THAT, KNOWING
- 21 YOU, THAT'S WHAT YOU WOULD WANT ME TO DO WOULD
- 22 BE TO FOCUS ON THE STAFF.
- 23 MR. CHANDLER: RIGHT.
- 24 (LAUGHTER)
- 25 MEMBER CHESBRO: BUT IT IS REALLY

- 1 REMISS TO SAY THAT THE STAFF IS GREAT TO NOT
- 2 POINT OUT THAT THEIR LEADER HAS DONE A REALLY
- 3 GREAT JOB, SO I'M POINTING THAT OUT RIGHT NOW
- 4 AND THANKING YOU.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MOVING
- 6 ALONG TO THE REPORT ON THE 21ST CENTURY POLICY
- 7 DEVELOPMENT PROCESS.
- 8 DO EITHER OF YOU WANT TO COMMENT
- 9 ON THAT?
- 10 MEMBER EATON: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON.
- 12 MEMBER EATON: PLENTY OF NEWS ON THAT
- 13 FRONT.
- 14 AS WAS MENTIONED TWO WEEKS AGO, I
- 15 BELIEVE, AT OUR LAST BOARD MEETING, THE 21ST
- 16 CENTURY PROJECT IS UNDERWAY. IT'S GOING TO BE
- 17 HELD JANUARY 20TH, 1999, AT THE INDUSTRY HILLS.
- 18 I'M HAPPY TO REPORT THAT WE HAVE
- 19 SENT OUT THE INVITATIONS, I BELIEVE YESTERDAY.
- 20 PLANS ARE UNDERWAY FOR MR. RAY ANDERSON, THE
- 21 CEO OF INTERFACE, ONE OF THE MOST INNOVATIVE
- 22 AND CREATIVE LEADERS IN THE INDUSTRY, TO
- 23 UTILIZE BY THE PRIVATE SECTOR RECYCLED PRODUCT
- 24 AND RECYCLE TECHNOLOGY, NOT JUST IN TERMS OF
- 25 MANUFACTURING BUT ACTUALLY GOING FROM TOP TO

- 1 BOTTOM IN HIS MANUFACTURING PROCESS FOR THE
- 2 WORLD'S LARGEST MANUFACTURER OF COMMERCIAL
- 3 CARPETING, FLOORING, AND THOSE KINDS OF THINGS,
- 4 TO LOOK AT HIS WHOLE OPERATION, NOT JUST THE
- 5 END PRODUCT, BUT EVERYTHING AS IT GOES INTO IT,
- 6 FROM SMOKE STACKS TO MATERIAL TO EMPLOYEES AND
- 7 HOW THEY ACT.
- 8 AND HE WILL BE OUR KEY NOTE
- 9 SPEAKER ON THAT DAY AS WELL AS BYRON SHER, THE
- 10 FATHER OF AB939. AS INDICATED, HE IS GOING TO
- 11 DO WHATEVER HE CAN TO MAKE SURE THAT HE ATTENDS
- 12 AND PRESENTS HIS KIND OF VIEWS BOTH AS TO WHERE
- 13 WE HAVE BEEN, WHERE WE ARE, AND WHERE HE WOULD
- 14 LIKE TO SEE THINGS GOING.
- 15 SO I THINK THINGS ARE MOVING VERY,
- 16 VERY WELL. MR. JONES HAS DONE A HECK OF A JOB
- 17 JUST TRYING TO KEEP THE LOGISTICS MOVING, AND I
- 18 BELIEVE THAT WE ARE READY TO HAVE A VERY, VERY
- 19 SUCCESSFUL CONFERENCE.
- 20 STEVE, IF YOU HAVE GOT ANYTHING TO
- 21 ADD TO THAT, OR RALPH.
- 22 EVERYONE HAS KIND OF WORKED IN A
- 23 COOPERATIVE MANNER, AS YOU WELL KNOW. THIS IS
- 24 GOING TO TAKE PLACE EARLIER, AND PERHAPS
- 25 PROBABLY ALREADY TAKEN PLACE, BUT I THINK

- 1 THROUGH THE WISDOM AND JUST THE SHEER
- 2 DETERMINATION BY ALL OF THOSE, INCLUDING THE
- 3 STAFF, THAT THE TIMING WAS RIGHT, AND WHEN THE
- 4 TIMING WAS RIGHT, WE KNEW IT WAS RIGHT, AND
- 5 THEREFORE WE WENT FOR IT AT THE APPROPRIATE
- 6 TIME.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: I THINK JUST TO ADD TO
- 8 THAT, WE ARE GOING TO BE DOING SOME WORK AGAIN
- 9 WITH THE STAFF PRIOR TO THE ACTUAL EVENT IN
- 10 JANUARY. WE SENT OUT 225 INVITATIONS. I THINK
- 11 WE HAVE ONLY GOT SEATING AND WE ARE ONLY GOING
- 12 TO ALLOW 180 PEOPLE OR 175, SO THIS IS STRICTLY
- 13 BY INVITATION ONLY.
- 14 WE HAVE HIT EVERY SEGMENT POSSIBLE
- 15 TO TRY TO GET VIEWS FROM EVERYBODY TALKING
- 16 ABOUT WHAT THE FUTURE IS GOING TO BE OR WHAT
- 17 THE ISSUES ARE GOING TO BE, AND THE PRODUCT IS
- 18 GOING TO DEPEND ON PEOPLE'S WILLINGNESS TO BE
- 19 ENGAGED. WE KEEP TELLING THEM THAT THEY NEED
- 20 TO BE ENGAGED, SO WE WILL SEE. I THINK IT'S
- 21 GOING TO BE GREAT.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 23 OKAY. WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM NO. 2,
- 24 CONSIDERATION OF A NEW STANDARDIZED COMPOSTING
- 25 PERMIT FOR THE LAGUNA SUBREGIONAL COMPOST

- 1 FACILITY IN SONOMA COUNTY.
- 2 JULIE NAUMAN.
- 3 AGENDA ITEM NO. 2
- 4 MS. NAUMAN: GOOD MORNING,
- 5 MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS. THIS ITEM WILL BE
- 6 PRESENTED BY BRIAN LARIMORE, THE PERMITTING AND
- 7 INSPECTION BRANCH.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 9 BRIAN.
- MR. LARIMORE: GOOD MORNING,
- 11 MR. CHAIRMAN, BOARD MEMBERS. THIS ITEM IS FOR
- 12 A PROPOSED CHANGE FROM A REGISTRATION PERMIT TO
- 13 A STANDARDIZED COMPOSTING PERMIT FOR THE LAGUNA
- 14 SUBREGIONAL COMPOST FACILITY. COPIES OF THE
- 15 RESOLUTION ARE AVAILABLE AT THE TABLE AT THE
- 16 BACK OF THE ROOM.
- 17 THE SITE IS LOCATED APPROXIMATELY
- 18 FIVE MILES SOUTHWEST OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA,
- 19 ADJACENT TO THE LAGUNA SUBREGIONAL WASTEWATER
- 20 TREATMENT PLANT IN SONOMA COUNTY.
- 21 THE FACILITY IS OWNED AND OPERATED
- 22 BY THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA. THE FACILITY
- 23 COMPOSTS DIGESTED SEWAGE SLUDGE AND GREEN
- 24 MATERIALS. THE OPERATOR PROPOSES TO INCREASE
- 25 THE FEEDSTOCK STORAGE AREA BY 10,000 CUBIC

- 1 YARDS, INCREASE THE MAXIMUM DAILY PEAK VOLUME
- 2 TO 30,800 CUBIC YARDS, INCREASE THE MAXIMUM
- 3 DAILY PEAK VOLUME FROM 350 CUBIC YARDS PER DAY
- 4 TO 410 CUBIC YARDS PER DAY, INCREASE THE VOLUME
- 5 OF FEEDSTOCK AND ACTIVE COMPOST FROM 7,000
- 6 CUBIC YARDS TO GREATER THAN 10,000 CUBIC YARDS,
- 7 AND MAKE A SLIGHT CHANGE IN THE HOURS OF
- 8 OPERATION.
- 9 BEFORE I MAKE A RECOMMENDATION, I
- 10 WOULD LIKE TO SHOW A SHORT VIDEO ON THE SANTA
- 11 ROSA SUBREGIONAL BIOSOLIDS BENEFICIAL REUSE
- 12 PROGRAM, OF WHICH THIS FACILITY IS AN INTEGRAL
- 13 PART.
- 14 THIS VIDEO SHOWCASES THE UNIQUE
- 15 ASPECTS OF THIS TYPE OF IN-VESSEL COMPOSTING
- 16 SYSTEM, OF WHICH I BELIEVE THERE ARE ONLY TWO
- 17 IN THE STATE.
- 18 (VIDEO SHOWN)
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 20 MR. LARIMORE: AT THE TIME THE AGENDA
- 21 ITEM WAS PREPARED, BOARD STAFF HAD NOT MADE A
- 22 RECOMMENDATION ON THE PROPOSED PERMIT. BOARD
- 23 STAFF IS NOW PREPARED TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION
- 24 BASED ON THE FOLLOWING BOARD AND LEA FINDINGS.
- 25 SONOMA COUNTY HAS AN APPROVED

- 1 COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN,
- 2 AND THE BOARD'S OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE HAS
- 3 DETERMINED THAT THE EXPANSION OF THIS FACILITY
- 4 IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE PLAN AS DESCRIBED IN
- 5 THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT.
- 6 THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA COMMUNITY
- 7 DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, ACTING AS LEAD AGENCY,
- 8 PREPARED AN EIR WITH A TECHNICAL SUPPLEMENT FOR
- 9 THE SANTA ROSA SUBREGIONAL SLUDGE BENEFICIAL
- 10 USE PROJECT, WHICH INCLUDES THIS PROJECT.
- 11 THE PROPOSED PERMIT IS CONSISTENT
- 12 WITH CEQA AND THE STANDARDS ADOPTED BY THE
- 13 BOARD, AND THE FACILITY AS DESCRIBED IN THE
- 14 RCSI IS EXPECTED TO OPERATE IN COMPLIANCE WITH
- 15 STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS.
- 16 IN CONCLUSION STAFF HAS REVIEWED
- 17 THE PROPOSED PERMIT AND SUPPORTING
- 18 DOCUMENTATION AND FOUND THEM ACCEPTABLE.
- 19 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD
- 20 ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 98-376 CONCURRING IN THE
- 21 ISSUANCE OF SOLID WASTE FACILITY'S PERMIT NO.
- 22 49AA0368.
- 23 MICHAEL REYNOLDS REPRESENTING THE
- 24 CITY OF SANTA ROSA AND JEFF LEWIN REPRESENTING
- 25 THE LEA ARE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. I'M

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
  2 MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M
  3 PREPARED TO MOVE THE ITEM.
- 5 PREPARED TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 6 MEMBER CHESBRO: I MOVE.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.
- 8 MEMBER EATON: THIRD, FOURTH, FIFTH.
- 9 WE ARE NOT USED TO HAVING SO MANY
- 10 MEMBERS ON THE BOARD, SO THE NUMBERS ARE A
- 11 LITTLE SLOW. WE'VE KIND OF HAD LITTLE UPS AND
- 12 DOWNS, SO TO SPEAK.
- 13 [LAUGHTER.]
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED
- 15 BY MR. CHESBRO AND SECONDED BY MR. JONES, THE
- 16 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-376.
- 17 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 18 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
- 19 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 21 THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 22 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 24 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?

- 1 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 4 MOTION CARRIES.
- 5 WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM 3,
- 6 CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY
- 7 PERMIT FOR THE NAPA GARBAGE SERVICE COMPOSTING
- 8 FACILITY IN NAPA COUNTY.
- 9 JULIE NAUMAN.
- 10 AGENDA ITEM NO. 3
- MS. NAUMAN: FOR FORMAL PURPOSES OF
- 12 INTRODUCTION, BRIAN LARIMORE WILL ALSO PRESENT
- 13 THIS ITEM.
- MR. LARIMORE: THANK YOU.
- 15 THIS ITEM IS FOR REVISION OF THE
- 16 SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE NAPA
- 17 GARBAGE SERVICE COMPOSTING FACILITY.
- 18 THE OPERATOR WAS ISSUED A FULL PERMIT
- 19 ON OCTOBER 1ST, 1992. PRIOR TO THE EFFECTIVE
- 20 DATE OF THE PERMIT REGULATORY [INAUDIBLE], A
- 21 REVISED FULL PERMIT WAS ISSUED ON AUGUST 9TH,
- 22 1995.
- 23 ALTHOUGH THIS FACILITY IS ONLY
- 24 REQUIRED TO OBTAIN A STANDARDIZED PERMIT, THE
- 25 OPERATOR HAS DECIDED TO MAINTAIN A FULL PERMIT.

- 1 COPIES OF THE RESOLUTION ARE
- 2 AVAILABLE ON THE TABLE AT THE BACK OF THE ROOM.
- 3 THE SITE IS LOCATED APPROXIMATELY
- 4 SIX MILES SOUTH OF THE CITY OF NAPA IN AN
- 5 UNINCORPORATED PORTION OF NAPA COUNTY. THE
- 6 FACILITY IS OWNED AND OPERATED BY NAPA GARBAGE
- 7 SERVICE, INC. THE FACILITY COMPOSTS YARD WASTE
- 8 AND UP TO 200 TONS PER YEAR OF AGRICULTURAL
- 9 WASTE.
- 10 THE OPERATOR PROPOSES TO EXPAND
- 11 THE EXISTING FACILITY FROM 5.25 ACRES TO 11.75
- 12 ACRES, INCREASE THE TOTAL CAPACITY FROM 30,000
- 13 CUBIC YARDS TO 60,000 CUBIC YARDS, INCREASE THE
- 14 PERMITTED TRAFFIC VOLUME FROM 40 VEHICLES PER
- 15 DAY TO 332 VEHICLES A DAY, AND INCREASE THE
- 16 MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF CURING AND FINISHED COMPOST
- 17 PILES FROM 15 FEET TO 20 FEET.
- 18 AT THE TIME THE AGENDA ITEM WAS
- 19 PREPARED, BOARD STAFF HAD NOT MADE A
- 20 RECOMMENDATION ON THE PROPOSED PERMIT. BOARD
- 21 STAFF IS NOW PREPARED TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION
- 22 BASED ON THE FOLLOWING BOARD AND LEA FINDINGS:
- 23 NUMBER ONE, NAPA COUNTY HAS AN
- 24 APPROVED COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 25 PLAN, AND THE BOARD'S OFFICE OF LOCAL

- 1 ASSISTANCE HAS DETERMINED THAT THE EXPANSION OF
- 2 THIS FACILITY IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE PLAN.
- 3 NUMBER TWO, THE NAPA COUNTY
- 4 CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT, AND PLANNING
- 5 DEPARTMENT, ACTING AS LEAD AGENCY, PREPARED A
- 6 MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR NAPA GARBAGE
- 7 SERVICE'S PROPOSED EXPANSION OF ITS EXISTING
- 8 RECYCLING AND COMPOSTING FACILITY.
- 9 NUMBER THREE, THE PROPOSED PERMIT
- 10 IS CONSISTENT WITH CEQA AND THE STANDARDS
- 11 ADOPTED BY THE BOARD.
- 12 AND NUMBER FOUR, THE FACILITY AS
- 13 DESCRIBED IN THE RCSI IS EXPECTED TO OPERATE IN
- 14 COMPLIANCE WITH STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS.
- 15 IN CONCLUSION, STAFF HAS REVIEWED
- 16 THE PROPOSED PERMIT AND SUPPORTING
- 17 DOCUMENTATION AND FOUND THEM ACCEPTABLE. STAFF
- 18 RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD ADOPT RESOLUTION NO.
- 19 98-375 CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE OF SOLID
- 20 WASTE FACILITY'S PERMIT NO. 28-AA-0023.
- 21 GREG KELLY REPRESENTING NAPA
- 22 GARBAGE SERVICE AND GREG PERRY REPRESENTING THE
- 23 LEA ARE HERE IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 25 MEMBER JONES: NO VIDEO?

- 1 (LAUGHTER.)
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 3 MEMBER JONES: I JUST HAVE ONE
- 4 QUESTION. WE ARE INCREASING THE VOLUME OF
- 5 VEHICLES FROM 40 PER DAY TO 332 A DAY?
- 6 THAT'S A BIG INCREASE.
- 7 MR. PERRY: GREG PERRY WITH LEA.
- 8 WHEN THE FACILITY WAS FIRST
- 9 PERMITTED, MOST OF THEIR VEHICLES WERE NOT
- 10 INCLUDING THE PUBLIC COMING IN. IT WAS ONLY
- 11 THE VEHICLES FROM NAPA GARBAGE SERVICE AND NAPA
- 12 VALLEY DISPOSAL, AND THE PERMIT THAT WAS
- 13 CONCURRED AFTER THAT, I THINK IN 1995, INCLUDED
- 14 THE PUBLIC VEHICLES, BUT IT WAS REALLY UNKNOWN
- 15 HOW MANY VEHICLES ARE ACTUALLY GOING TO BE
- 16 COMING TO THE SITE. IT'S REALLY HARD TO TELL.
- 17 SO THIS PERMIT WILL ACTUALLY INCLUDE SOME
- 18 ACTUAL KNOWN INFORMATION.
- 19 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 21 MR. CHESBRO.
- 22 MEMBER CHESBRO: I'M GETTING MY HATS
- 23 SLIGHTLY MIXED UP HERE NOW, AND THIS HAS
- 24 NOTHING TO DO WITH THE STATE STANDARDS OR LEGAL
- 25 REQUIREMENTS, BUT I'M JUST CURIOUS WHAT'S GOING

- 1 ON IN THE COUNTY RELATIVE TO DIVERSION PROGRAMS
- 2 THAT ARE BRINGING ABOUT THE EXPANSION PERMIT.
- 3 WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE NEW
- 4 MATERIAL? SORT OF WHAT IS THE BACKGROUND THAT
- 5 LED UP TO THE NEED FOR THE EXPANSION?
- 6 MR. PERRY: MAYBE GREG KELLY WITH NAPA
- 7 GARBAGE SERVICE MAY BE ABLE TO BE A LITTLE MORE
- 8 SPECIFIC WITH THAT.
- 9 MEMBER CHESBRO: I MEAN THIS IS GOOD
- 10 NEWS. THIS IS NOT A CRITICAL QUESTION. I JUST
- 11 WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AN IDEA SORT OF WHAT THE
- 12 CONTEXT IS.
- 13 MR. KELLY: HELLO, I'M GREG KELLY,
- 14 NAPA GARBAGE. AGAIN THE EXPANSION HAS BEEN
- 15 PLANNED FOR YEARS, AND WE HAVE JUST GONE
- 16 THROUGH PHASES. WE HAVE MORE THAN DOUBLED OUR
- 17 SIZE NOW, AND IT IS MORE ANTICIPATING NOT
- 18 INCREASED VOLUME HERE, BECAUSE WE HAVE KEPT THE
- 19 VOLUME THE SAME, AT 200 TONS A DAY. WE ARE
- 20 JUST INCREASING THE SITE SO WE CAN MAKE A
- 21 BETTER PRODUCT, ALSO BE ABLE TO STORE PRODUCT
- 22 LONGER AND IMPROVE THE USE AND EFFICIENCY OF
- 23 THE SITE FOR OURSELF AND THE PUBLIC.
- 24 MEMBER CHESBRO: OKAY. SO IT'S NOT IN
- 25 RESPONSE TO NECESSARILY AN INCREASE IN VOLUME

- 1 OF MATERIAL THAT'S BEING DIVERTED; IT'S JUST
- 2 TRYING TO MANAGE THE PROCESS MORE EFFECTIVELY.
- 3 IS THAT CORRECT?
- 4 MR. PERRY: YEAH, THE DAILY TONNAGE
- 5 OBVIOUSLY HAS REMAINED THE SAME AT 200 TONS A
- 6 DAY, BUT RIGHT NOW WE'RE OPERATING ON CLOSE TO
- 7 FIVE ACRES OF USABLE SPACE AND GOING TO CLOSE
- 8 TO 10 OR 11, AND THIS WILL ALLOW RIGHT NOW THE
- 9 WINDROWS TO BE IN PLACE A LITTLE LONGER AND TO
- 10 HAVE A LITTLE BETTER PRODUCT AND THEN GOING TO
- 11 ANOTHER CURING PILE, LETTING IT STAY THERE FOR
- 12 A LITTLE BIT LONGER, AND THEN BEING ABLE TO
- 13 HAVE A LITTLE MORE SPACE TO USE THE FINISHED
- 14 PRODUCT AND HAVE SPECIFIC ADDITIVES OR INLETS
- 15 IN PLACE WITH THAT, SO YOU CAN ACTUALLY HAVE
- 16 MORE ROOM TO WORK, BETTER ROOM FOR THE PUBLIC
- 17 TO COME IN AND DUMP, A LITTLE FURTHER AWAY FROM
- 18 ANY KIND OF GRINDING OPERATION.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 20 OKAY. I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 21 MEMBER CHESBRO: I WILL MOVE THE ITEM.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: I WILL SECOND.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT HAS
- 24 BEEN MOVED BY MR. CHESBRO AND SECONDED BY
- 25 MR. JONES, THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-375.

- 1 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 2 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 4 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 6 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 8 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 10 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 13 MOTION CARRIES.
- 14 WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM 4,
- 15 CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY
- 16 PERMIT FOR THE NORTH AREA RECOVERY STATION IN
- 17 SACRAMENTO COUNTY.
- 18 JULIE NAUMAN.
- 19 AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
- MS. NAUMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS,
- 21 JON WHITEHALL FROM THE PERMITTING AND
- 22 INSPECTION BRANCH WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION,
- 23 AND I BELIEVE THERE ARE REPRESENTATIVES FROM
- 24 THE COUNTY HERE.
- MR. WHITEHALL: GOOD MORNING,

- 1 MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. THIS
- 2 FACILITY IS LOCATED ON ROSEVILLE ROAD IN THE
- 3 NORTH HIGHLANDS AREA OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY ON
- 4 PROPERTY LEASED BY SACRAMENTO COUNTY FROM THE
- 5 UNITED STATES AIR FORCE.
- 6 SURROUNDING LAND IS ZONED LIGHT
- 7 INDUSTRIAL AND INCLUDES SOUTHERN PACIFIC
- 8 RAILROAD, RETAIL BUSINESSES, COMMERCIAL
- 9 OFFICES, INDUSTRIAL STORAGE, AND THE MCCLELLAN
- 10 AIR FORCE BASE. THE NEAREST RESIDENCES ARE
- 11 LOCATED A QUARTER MILE TO THE SOUTH.
- 12 THIS LEASE IS BEING EXPANDED TO INCLUDE
- 13 PROPERTY EAST OF THE EXISTING FACILITY.
- 14 THE SITE IS OPEN TO THE GENERAL
- 15 PUBLIC AND COMMERCIAL COLLECTION. ALL WASTE IS
- 16 DEPOSITED ONTO A COVERED PAVED TIPPING FLOOR.
- 17 THE REFUSE IS PUSHED BY FRONT-END LOADER INTO
- 18 AN AUTOMATED COMPACTOR. REFUSE IS TRANSFERRED
- 19 INTO LONG-HAUL TRANSFER TRAILERS AND TAKEN TO
- 20 THE KIEFER ROAD LANDFILL IN SACRAMENTO COUNTY.
- 21 GREEN WASTE IS TRANSFERRED TO A GREEN WASTE
- 22 PROCESSING COMPOSTING FACILITY WITHIN 24 HOURS
- 23 OF RECEIPT.
- 24 THE FOLLOWING CHANGES IN DESIGN
- 25 AND OPERATION ARE ADDRESSED IN THIS PERMIT

- 1 REVISION: INCREASED PERMITTED TONNAGE FROM 800
- 2 TONS PER DAY TO 1800 TONS PER DAY; MOVE,
- 3 CONSOLIDATE, AND EXPAND THE GREEN WASTE
- 4 TRANSFER AREA; INSTALL A NEW RECOVERY FLOOR AND
- 5 COMPACTOR AND CONSTRUCT AN ENCLOSURE FOR THE
- 6 TOP-LOADING HOPPER. IT WILL CREATE ADDITIONAL
- 7 PARKING AND ADD THE CITRUS HEIGHTS WASTE DRAIN.
- 8 IT WILL CONSTRUCT A NEW SITE
- 9 ENTRANCE AND MAKE IMPROVEMENTS TO ROSEVILLE
- 10 ROAD. IT WILL CONSTRUCT A NEW WHITE GOODS
- 11 PROCESSING FACILITY AND A NEW HOUSEHOLD
- 12 HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY. IT WILL CONSTRUCT A
- 13 WASTE EXCHANGE FACILITY AND A BACKYARD
- 14 COMPOSTING DEMONSTRATION SITE. IT WILL ALSO
- 15 CONSTRUCT A NEW SCALE HOUSE AND INSTALL MORE
- 16 SCALES.
- 17 THE LEA AND BOARD STAFF HAVE MADE
- 18 THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS: THE LEAD AGENCY AND
- 19 OPERATOR HAVE COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF
- 20 THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND
- 21 THAT THE PROPOSED PERMIT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE
- 22 ADOPTED NEGATIVE DECLARATION.
- 23 THE PROPOSED PERMIT IS CONSISTENT
- 24 WITH THE STANDARDS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD. THE
- 25 FACILITY IS IDENTIFIED IN THE APPROVED COUNTY

- 1 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN, AND THE
- 2 DESIGN AND OPERATION IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH
- 3 STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR SOLID WASTE
- 4 HANDLING AND DISPOSAL.
- 5 IN CONCLUSION, STAFF HAS REVIEWED
- 6 THE PROPOSED PERMIT AND SUPPORTING
- 7 DOCUMENTATION AND FOUND THEM TO BE ACCEPTABLE.
- 8 STAFF RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD ADOPT RESOLUTION
- 9 NO. 98-377, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE OF SOLID
- 10 WASTE FACILITY PERMIT 34-AA-0002.
- 11 JIM CIRMAK AND JANNAH ZAHIR
- 12 REPRESENTING THE LEA ARE HERE. IN ADDITION PAT
- 13 QUINN, SUPERVISING PLANNER FOR THE SACRAMENTO
- 14 COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT AND RECYCLING DIVISION,
- 15 IS ALSO HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 17 MEMBER EATON: I NOTICE YOU ARE ALMOST
- 18 GOING TO DOUBLE THE TIME THAT YOU ARE GOING TO
- 19 BE PERMITTED TO BE OPEN DURING THE DAY. WILL
- 20 THAT INCLUDE ALL OF THE ITEMS, SUCH AS THE
- 21 BATTERY OIL AND PAINT COLLECTION, WHICH IS I
- 22 THINK AT THIS TIME LIMITED?
- MR. WHITEHALL: I WAS GOING TO
- 24 SAY, THE PERMIT ISN'T SPECIFIC ON THE EXACT
- 25 HOURS THAT EACH LITTLE OPERATION WILL BE OPEN.

- 1 PERHAPS JIM CIRMAK OR PAT QUINN COULD ANSWER
- 2 THAT.
- 3 MR. CIRMAK: JIM CIRMAK WITH THE
- 4 LEA.
- 5 THE REASON THERE IS EXTENDED HOURS
- 6 IS BECAUSE ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S GOING TO
- 7 HAPPEN AT THE FACILITY IS LONG HAUL FROM THE
- 8 TRANSFER STATION TO KIEFER, AND THE OTHER THING
- 9 IS THE COUNTY IS KEEPING THEIR OPTIONS OPEN FOR
- 10 LONG HAUL OUT OF COUNTY, BECAUSE THE KIEFER
- 11 PERMIT WILL BE COMING UP IN THE NOT TOO DISTANT
- 12 FUTURE, AND THEY HAVE BEEN DIRECTED TO LOOK
- 13 INTO LONG HAUL.
- 14 THERE WAS A PROBLEM WITH GETTING
- 15 THAT MATERIAL OVER TO KIEFER, SO THEY HAVE
- 16 EXTENDED THE HOURS -- ONE OF THE REASONS FOR
- 17 THE EXTENSION OF THE HOURS IS TO ALLOW THEM TO
- 18 TRANSFER MATERIAL TO KIEFER IN OFF HOURS TO
- 19 AVOID TRAFFIC PROBLEMS AND THINGS OF THAT
- 20 NATURE.
- 21 MEMBER EATON: AND SO IT JUST RELATES
- 22 TO THE TRANSFER; IT DOESN'T RELATE TO ANY OF
- 23 THE OTHER OPERATIONS OUT THERE?
- MR. CIRMAK: THAT'S CORRECT.
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.

- 1 ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
- MEMBER EATON: I THINK, MR. CHAIR, YOU
- 3 SHOULD ALSO BE AWARE THAT IN PHASE II THEY ARE
- 4 GOING TO TAKE IN CITRUS HEIGHTS' WASTE STREAM,
- 5 AND THIS IS NOT RELEVANT TO THE PERMIT SO I
- 6 WON'T EXPAND UPON IT, BUT IT'S SOMETHING TO
- 7 NOTE AS WE GET INTO THESE TYPES OF SITUATIONS
- 8 WHERE THE CITY OF CITRUS HEIGHTS HAS ACTUALLY
- 9 BECOME A CITY, AND SO THEREFORE WHO GETS TO
- 10 CLAIM THE DIVERSION AND SOME OF THOSE OTHER
- 11 KINDS OF ITEMS, WHEN THEY COME UP BEFORE THE
- 12 BOARD, WE HAVE EXPLORED WITH STAFF, AND I THINK
- 13 THEY ARE AWARE OF IT, AND WE ARE GOING TO BE
- 14 WORKING ON THOSE KINDS OF QUESTIONS, SO WHEN
- 15 THE EXTENSIONS AND HOW THEY ARE ALLOCATED COME
- 16 IN WE CAN AVOID FUTURE PROBLEMS THAT WE'VE HAD
- 17 IN SOME OF THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS, AND THEY
- 18 HAVE AGREED TO WORK WITH US ON THAT.
- 19 MR. WHITEHALL: RIGHT. I BELIEVE IN
- 20 THIS CASE THERE IS ALREADY AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN
- 21 THE CITY OF CITRUS HEIGHTS AND THE COUNTY.
- MR. CIRMAK: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
- 23 THERE IS AN AGREEMENT THAT HAS BEEN SIGNED
- 24 BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF CITRUS
- 25 HEIGHTS WHERE THEY HAVE GOT IT SET UP SO THE

- 1 DIVERSION WON'T BE LOST. IT WILL BE TAKEN CARE
- 2 OF.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD. I'LL
- 4 ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: I MOVE WE ADOPT
- 8 RESOLUTION 98-377 FOR THE NORTH AREA RECOVERY
- 9 STATION.
- 10 MEMBER RHOADS: I'LL SECOND THAT
- 11 MOTION.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED
- 13 BY MR. JONES, SECONDED BY MR. RHOADS, THE
- 14 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-377.
- 15 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- 16 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 17 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 19 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 21 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.

- 1 MOTION CARRIES.
- 2 WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM NO. 5,
- 3 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
- 4 ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
- 5 ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF IMPERIAL IN IMPERIAL
- 6 COUNTY.
- 7 JUDY FRIEDMAN.
- B AGENDA ITEM NO. 5
- 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 10 PENNINGTON, BOARD MEMBERS. CHRIS SCHMIDLE WILL
- 11 BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
- MR. SCHMIDLE: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 13 AND BOARD MEMBERS. THIS IS AGENDA ITEM NO. 5,
- 14 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
- 15 ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
- 16 ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF IMPERIAL, IMPERIAL
- 17 COUNTY.
- 18 BOARD STAFF HAS ANALYZED THE
- 19 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND WE FIND
- 20 THAT THE CITY WILL PARTICIPATE IN IMPERIAL
- 21 COUNTY'S COUNTYWIDE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
- 22 COLLECTION PROGRAM, USING USER FEES.
- 23 THE CITY WILL AUGMENT THE COUNTY'S
- 24 PROGRAM BY DEVELOPING PUBLIC INFORMATION AND
- 25 OUTREACH EFFORTS, INCLUDING MEDIA, HOT LINE, A

- 1 LIST OF COLLECTORS AND RECYCLERS, A SPEAKERS
- 2 BUREAU, PROMOTION OF HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE,
- 3 CURRICULUM IN SCHOOLS, AND OTHER EVENTS.
- 4 THEREFORE, STAFF RECOMMENDS
- 5 APPROVAL TO THE CITY OF IMPERIAL'S HOUSEHOLD
- 6 HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT.
- 7 BOARD STAFF DID ASK US TO MAKE ONE
- 8 MINOR CHANGE IN THE RESOLUTION 98-360, WHICH IS
- 9 TO ADD THE DUE DATE FOR THE CITY OF IMPERIAL.
- 10 THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT IS A
- 11 LITTLE LATE, SO WE MADE THAT CHANGE.
- 12 COPIES OF THE REVISED RESOLUTION
- 13 ARE IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM, AND WE WILL MAKE
- 14 THAT CHANGE ON THE WEBSITE ALSO.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 16 DO THE BOARD MEMBERS HAVE THE
- 17 REVISED COPY?
- MR. SCHMIDLE: YES.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 20 OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF?
- 21 VERY WELL. I WILL ENTERTAIN A
- 22 MOTION.
- 23 MEMBER EATON: I WILL MOVE RESOLUTION
- 24 98-360 REGARDING THE CONSIDERATION OF HOUSEHOLD
- 25 HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF

- 1 IMPERIAL IN IMPERIAL COUNTY.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 3 SECOND?
- 4 MEMBER RHOADS: I WILL SECOND.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. RHOADS
- 6 SECONDS. MEMBER EATON MOVES AND MR. RHOADS
- 7 SECONDS ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-360.
- 8 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 9 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 11 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 15 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 17 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 20 MOTION CARRIES.
- 21 WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM NO. 6,
- 22 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
- 23 ADEQUACY OF THE AMENDED COUNTYWIDE NONDISPOSAL
- 24 FACILITY ELEMENT OF SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

- 1 AGENDA ITEM NO. 6
- 2 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING AGAIN.
- 3 KEIR FUREY WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR
- 4 STAFF, AND THIS IS HIS FIRST TIME MAKING A
- 5 PRESENTATION BEFORE THE BOARD.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 7 WELCOME.
- 8 MR. FUREY: THANK YOU.
- 9 GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON,
- 10 BOARD MEMBERS. I'M KEIR FUREY WITH THE OFFICE
- 11 OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE CENTRAL SECTION.
- 12 ITEM NO. 6 IS SAN BERNARDINO
- 13 COUNTY'S PROPOSAL TO AMEND THEIR COUNTYWIDE
- 14 NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT, OR NDFE, TO
- 15 INCLUDE FIVE NEW TRANSFER STATIONS TO BE
- 16 LOCATED AT THE SITE OF FIVE EXISTING LANDFILLS
- 17 THAT ARE PLANNED FOR CLOSURE.
- 18 STATUTE REQUIRES JURISDICTIONS
- 19 SEEKING TO ESTABLISH OR EXPAND NONDISPOSAL
- 20 FACILITIES AFTER THE COUNTY HAS A COUNTYWIDE
- 21 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN APPROVED BY
- 22 THE BOARD TO INCLUDE THE FACILITY DESCRIPTION
- 23 IN THEIR NDFE; THEREFORE, THE COUNTY IS
- 24 AMENDING THEIR NDFE TO INCLUDE THE DESCRIPTIONS
- 25 OF THESE FACILITIES.

- 1 THESE NEW TRANSFER STATIONS ARE
- 2 REPLACEMENTS FOR LANDFILLS THAT ARE BEING
- 3 CLOSED AS PART OF THE STRATEGY TO CONSOLIDATE
- 4 AND REGIONALIZE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL CAPACITY
- 5 IN THE COUNTY. THE BOARD-APPROVED COUNTYWIDE
- 6 SITING ELEMENT MENTIONS THE REPLACEMENT OF
- 7 THESE LANDFILLS WITH TRANSFER STATIONS.
- 8 THE BOARD HAS CONCURRED IN THE
- 9 PERMITS FOR EACH OF THESE FIVE FACILITIES
- 10 WITHIN THE PAST YEAR. NONE OF THESE FACILITIES
- 11 IS DESIGNED TO RECOVER MORE THAN FIVE PERCENT
- 12 OF THE MATERIALS HANDLED, SO EACH WAS FOUND TO
- 13 BE EXEMPT FROM THE CONFORMANCE FINDINGS
- 14 REQUIRED BY PRC SECTION 50001.
- 15 THE COUNTY HAS MET STATUTORY
- 16 REQUIREMENTS FOR AMENDING AN NDFE; THEREFORE,
- 17 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE AMENDED NDFE AS
- 18 SUBMITTED BE APPROVED.
- 19 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 20 DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU. VERY
- 22 WELL DONE.
- 23 MEMBER EATON: I JUST HAVE ONE. I'M
- 24 CURIOUS. WITH REGARD TO PHELAN, IS THERE A
- 25 REASON WHY THERE NEEDS TO BE TWO TRANSFER

- 1 STATIONS, JUST OUT OF CURIOSITY?
- 2 I KNOW ONE IS 250 AND ONE IS 100
- 3 TONS, BUT IS THERE SOMETHING -- I MEAN IF YOU
- 4 HAVE EVER BEEN THERE, IT'S NOT REALLY -- IS
- 5 THERE A REASON WHY THEY ARE NOT CONSOLIDATING
- 6 FURTHER THERE, OR IS THERE A REASON WHY THEY
- 7 ARE SEPARATING CERTAIN KINDS OF MATERIAL, JUST
- 8 OUT OF CURIOSITY?
- 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: I HAVE NO IDEA.
- 10 MR. FUREY: I DON'T KNOW THAT.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: WE DON'T HAVE THE
- 12 ANSWER TO THAT.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY ADDITIONAL
- 14 QUESTIONS? IF NOT, I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 15 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO
- 18 ADOPT RESOLUTION 98-374, THE AMENDED NDFE FOR
- 19 SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.
- 20 MEMBER EATON: I SECOND THAT MOTION.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 22 MOVED BY MR. JONES, SECONDED BY MEMBER EATON,
- 23 THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-374.
- 24 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 25 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.

1 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
2 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
THE SECRETARY: EATON?
4 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
5 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
6 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
7 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
8 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
9 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
11 THE MOTION CARRIES.
12 WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM 7. ONCE WE
13 HAVE COMPLETED ITEM 7, WE WILL TAKE A COUPLE OF
14 MINUTES BREAK HERE.
15 ITEM 7 IS CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
16 RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE
17 PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED COUNTYWIDE
18 SUMMARY PLAN AND THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED
19 WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR PLACER COUNTY.
20 JUDY FRIEDMAN.
21 <u>AGENDA ITEM NO. 7</u>
MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING AGAIN.
23 PAT SCHIAVO WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR
24 STAFF.

MR. SCHIAVO: GOOD MORNING, BOARD

25

- 1 MEMBERS. IN FEBRUARY OF 1997 THE BOARD
- 2 CONDITIONALLY APPROVED PLACER COUNTY'S
- 3 COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN, AND ALTHOUGH MOST OF
- 4 THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS WERE SUBMITTED AT THAT
- 5 TIME, THERE WERE STILL A COUPLE OF OUTSTANDING
- 6 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAD NOT SUBMITTED THEIR
- 7 DOCUMENTS.
- 8 SINCE THAT TIME THE BOARD HAS
- 9 APPROVED ALL OF THE OUTSTANDING DOCUMENTS AND
- 10 ELEMENTS OF THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN, AND
- 11 THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
- 12 FOR PLACER COUNTY IS NOW COMPLETE AND CAN BE
- 13 CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD.
- 14 SO STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD
- 15 ADOPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE PLACER
- 16 COUNTY'S SUMMARY PLAN AND APPROVE THE
- 17 COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS?
- 19 MEMBER EATON: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO
- 20 MOVE RESOLUTION 98-358 REGARDING THE APPROVAL
- 21 OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 22 PLAN FOR MY HOME COUNTY, PLACER COUNTY.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WOULD ANY OTHER
- 24 PLACER COUNTY BOARD MEMBER WANT TO SECOND?
- 25 MEMBER JONES: I THINK I WILL SECOND.

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED
- 2 BY MR. EATON AND SECONDED BY MR. JONES, THE
- 3 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-359.
- 4 IF THERE ARE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS,
- 5 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- 6 THERE ARE TWO OF THEM. 359, AND
- 7 THEN WE WILL DO 358.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 9 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 11 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 15 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 18 MOTION CARRIES.
- 19 I'LL ENTERTAIN ANOTHER MOTION.
- 20 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO
- 23 ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 98-358 FOR THE INTEGRATED
- 24 WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR PLACER COUNTY.
- 25 MEMBER EATON: AND I WILL SECOND THAT

- 1 MOTION.
- CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED
- 3 BY MR. JONES AND SECONDED BY MEMBER EATON, THE
- 4 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-358.
- 5 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 6 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- 7 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 8 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 10 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 12 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 15 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 17 THE MOTION CARRIES.
- 18 WE WILL TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE OR
- 19 TEN-MINUTE BREAK HERE.
- 20 (RECESS.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. LET'S GET
- 22 BACK TO WORK.
- 23 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
- 24 RECOMMENDATION TO CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR TO 1997
- 25 FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION

- 1 AND RECYCLING ELEMENT OF UNINCORPORATED TULARE
- 2 COUNTY.
- 3 JUDY FRIEDMAN.
- AGENDA ITEM NO. 8
- 5 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING AGAIN,
- 6 BOARD MEMBERS. DIANE SHIMIZU WILL BE MAKING
- 7 THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
- 8 MS. SHIMIZU: GOOD MORNING CHAIRMAN
- 9 PENNINGTON AND BOARD MEMBERS.
- 10 ITEM NO. 8 IS UNINCORPORATED
- 11 TULARE COUNTY'S REQUEST TO CHANGE THEIR
- 12 BASE-YEAR TO 1997 TO MORE ACCURATELY REFLECT
- 13 THEIR DIVERSION EFFORTS TO DATE.
- 14 JEFF MONACO WITH TULARE COUNTY IS
- 15 AVAILABLE TODAY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY
- 16 HAVE ON THIS ITEM.
- 17 THIS ITEM WAS PRESENTED TO YOU IN
- 18 SEPTEMBER OF THIS YEAR. AT THAT TIME THE
- 19 PRIMARY CONCERNS YOU HAD WERE ABOUT FRUIT CULL
- 20 DISPOSAL PRIOR TO 1990 AND THE COUNTY'S
- 21 COMMITMENT TO THE DIVERSION PROGRAMS SELECTED
- 22 IN THEIR SRRE.
- 23 AS A RESULT YOU ASKED THE COUNTY
- 24 TO PRODUCE ACTUAL DISPOSAL RECORDS FOR THE
- 25 CLAIMED DISPOSAL OF FRUIT CULLS PRIOR TO YOUR

- 1 TAKING ANY ACTION ON THEIR REQUESTED BASE-YEAR
- 2 CHANGE.
- 3 THE COUNTY HAS ACTED ON YOUR
- 4 REQUEST AND HAS ASKED THAT WE COME FORWARD WITH
- 5 THIS REVISED ITEM.
- 6 THE COUNTY SUBMITTED THE FOLLOWING
- 7 DOCUMENTATION SHOWING FRUIT CULL DISPOSAL PRIOR
- 8 TO 1990: DOCUMENTATION THAT FRUIT CULLS WERE
- 9 ALLOWED TO BE DISPOSED IN THE COUNTY'S
- 10 LANDFILLS IN THE 1970'S AND THE COUNTY'S SOLID
- 11 WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN UPDATE SHOWING CULLED
- 12 FRUIT WAS DISPOSED AS LATE AS 1986.
- 13 IN RESPONSE TO THE BOARD'S REQUEST
- 14 AT THE SEPTEMBER BOARD MEETING TO PROVIDE
- 15 RECORDS OF FRUIT CULL DISPOSAL, THE COUNTY HAS
- 16 SUBMITTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL
- 17 DOCUMENTATION: A LETTER FROM DELTA VECTOR
- 18 CONTROL TO THE TULARE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
- 19 PUBLIC WORKS DOCUMENTING THE USE OF THE
- 20 COUNTY'S DISPOSAL SITE FOR FRUIT CULL DISPOSAL,
- 21 A LETTER FROM THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER
- 22 OUALITY CONTROL BOARD TO THE CITY OF LINDSAY,
- 23 SUGGESTING THE CITY INTEGRATE THE CULLED FRUIT
- 24 DISPOSALS AT A LINDSAY DISPOSAL SITE WITH THE
- 25 EXISTING TULARE COUNTY DISPOSAL PLAN, AND

- 1 TESTIMONIALS FROM TULARE COUNTY REFUSE SITE
- 2 STAFF AND PACKING SHED STAFF CONFIRMING THE
- 3 HAULING OF CULLED FRUIT LOADS FROM THE PACKING
- 4 SHEDS TO THE COUNTY'S LANDFILLS PRIOR TO 1990.
- 5 TO DEMONSTRATE THEIR COMMITMENT TO
- 6 IMPLEMENT THE DIVERSION PROGRAMS SELECTED IN
- 7 THE SRRE, THE COUNTY PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING
- 8 DOCUMENTATION: A LETTER FROM TULARE COUNTY
- 9 STATING THAT THEY WILL REQUIRE THEIR HAULERS TO
- 10 IMPLEMENT THE DIVERSION PROGRAM SELECTED IN THE
- 11 COUNTY'S SRRE, DESPITE THE RESULTING INCREASE
- 12 IN THEIR DIVERSION RATE FROM ADDING FRUIT CULL
- 13 DIVERSION, A LETTER SENT TO THE HAULERS IN THE
- 14 COUNTY REQUIRING THEM TO CONTINUE DIVERSION
- 15 ACHIEVEMENT AS A CONDITION OF THEIR LICENSE TO
- 16 OPERATE IN THE COUNTY, AND A RESOLUTION SIGNED
- 17 BY THE TULARE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 18 ADOPTING A POLICY TO FULLY IMPLEMENT AND
- 19 MAINTAIN WASTE DIVERSION PROGRAMS.
- 20 IN ADDITION TO FRUIT CULL
- 21 DIVERSION TONNAGE, OTHER DIVERSION FOR THE
- 22 PROPOSED NEW 1997 BASE-YEAR COMES FROM C&D
- 23 DIVERSION, THE COUNTY'S WASTE HAULERS, LANDFILL
- 24 SALVAGE PROGRAMS, COMPOSTING, AND OTHER
- 25 RECYCLING.

- 1 ALL DISPOSAL FOR THE 1997
- 2 BASE-YEAR WAS DOCUMENTED BY THE BOARD'S
- 3 DISPOSAL RECORDING SYSTEM.
- 4 BASED ON THE DOCUMENTATION
- 5 RECEIVED AND ON PRIOR APPROVAL OF SIMILAR
- 6 REQUESTS TO ESTABLISH MORE ACCURATE BASE-YEARS
- 7 THAN THOSE ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD,
- 8 STAFF RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF UNINCORPORATED
- 9 TULARE COUNTY'S NEW BASE-YEAR.
- 10 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 11 DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS OF
- 13 STAFF?
- 14 IF NOT, WE WILL HEAR FROM MR. EVAN
- 15 EDGAR.
- MR. EDGAR: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN AND
- 17 BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS EVAN EDGAR OF EDGAR
- 18 ASSOCIATES ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA REFUSE
- 19 REMOVAL COUNCIL.
- 20 I HAD EXTENDED TESTIMONY IN SANTA
- 21 BARBARA ON THIS VERY ISSUE, AND I WON'T REPEAT
- 22 THAT, BUT YOU HAVE A LETTER OF RECORD WHICH I
- 23 HAVE SUBMITTED YESTERDAY AND AGAIN THIS
- 24 MORNING.
- 25 ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT WAS BROUGHT

- 1 UP IN SANTA BARBARA WAS THE SUBMITTAL OF REAL
- 2 INFORMATION IN ORDER TO JUSTIFY THE REAL TONS.
- 3 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I HAVEN'T SEEN YESTERDAY
- 4 WHEN I SAT DOWN WITH YOUR STAFF, I DIDN'T SEE
- 5 THE REAL INFORMATION TO JUSTIFY THIS.
- 6 ONE THING WE ARE LOOKING AT TODAY
- 7 IS ABOUT 54,000 TONS OF FRUIT CULLS, AND IT WAS
- 8 POINTED OUT THAT THIS WOULD TAKE THE BASE-YEAR
- 9 ADJUSTMENTS FROM A FOUR PERCENT TO ABOUT 40
- 10 PERCENT, WHICH IS A BIG LEAP.
- 11 ONE OF MY ISSUES THAT I CONTENDED
- 12 WAS THAT THIS SHOULD FOLLOW THE RESTRICTED
- 13 WASTE POLICY, AND I DID INCLUDE A COPY OF THE
- 14 STATUTE INSIDE OF THE RECORD HERE WHEREBY AG
- 15 WASTE DOES INCLUDE ALL TYPES OF FORMS OF
- 16 AGRICULTURAL WASTE, BUT I WAS RECENTLY INFORMED
- 17 THAT THIS SIC CODE DOESN'T ENCOMPASS FRUIT
- 18 CULLS OR FRUIT PROCESSING WASTE.
- 19 BUT IN THE SPIRIT OF THE
- 20 RESTRICTED WASTE POLICY, THIS AMOUNT OF
- 21 AGRICULTURAL WASTE WOULD GET DIVERTED SHOULD IT
- 22 BE AN ACTION BY THE COUNTY OR THE CITY BUT TO
- 23 THE AMOUNT THAT WAS DIVERTED IN 1990, SO ON A
- 24 TON-PER-TON BASIS, THAT WOULD BE JUSTIFIED.
- 25 AND I UNDERSTAND FROM 1990 THE

- 1 AMOUNT OF AGRICULTURE WASTE OR FRUIT CULL WASTE
- 2 THAT WAS DISPOSED OF WAS ABOUT 726 TONS. SO IN
- 3 1997 726 TONS WERE DISPOSED OF.
- 4 STATEWIDE IN CALIFORNIA, I DID
- 5 PROVIDE A LOT OF HISTORY ABOUT AGRICULTURE
- 6 WASTE. IN 1980 ABOUT 15 MILLION TONS OF
- 7 AGRICULTURE WASTE WAS GENERATED. THAT'S A LOT
- 8 OF AGRICULTURE WASTE, AND THIS INCLUDED FRUIT
- 9 CULLS AND A LOT OF DIFFERENT AGRICULTURE WASTE.
- 10 AND WHAT HAPPENED IN 1984 THE
- 11 WATER BOARD CREATED CHAPTER 15, A REGULATION,
- 12 AND WHAT THE WATER BOARD REGULATION DID WAS IT
- 13 REQUIRED LIQUID WASTE OR CANNERY WASTE AND THIS
- 14 TYPE OF WASTE TO BE DISPOSED OF IN CLASS 3
- 15 LANDFILLS ANYMORE.
- 16 THAT WAS AN ACTION THAT STARTED
- 17 THE DIVERSION OF THIS TYPE OF WASTE STREAM, AND
- 18 IF YOU LOOK AT THE AFFIDAVITS PROVIDED BY THE
- 19 TULARE COUNTY PERSONNEL WHICH I READ YESTERDAY,
- 20 THE FRUIT CULL DIVERSION STOPPED IN 1984 AND
- 21 1985 BECAUSE OF THE WATER BOARD POLICY, THE
- 22 WATER BOARD REGULATION THAT SAYS YOU CAN'T BE
- 23 TAKING THIS TYPE OF WASTE ANYMORE.
- 24 UNDERSTAND FROM 1984 TO 1990 SOME
- 25 OF THIS WAS DE-WATERED, AND THE DE-WATERED

- 1 FRUIT CULLS THEN WAS DISPOSED OF WITHIN THE
- 2 COUNTY LANDFILL. NOW, THAT TYPE OF WASTE, IF
- 3 THAT WAS DIVERTED, THAT WOULD BE VALID TONNAGE.
- 4 WHAT I SPOKE OF IN SANTA BARBARA
- 5 IS SHOULD THE COUNTY COME FORTH WITH THAT
- 6 TONNAGE INFORMATION, THAT WOULD BE VALID AB939
- 7 DIVERSION.
- 8 LAST MONTH DURING THE SB1066
- 9 WORKSHOP, MR. RHOADS ASKED WHAT IS THE ROLE OF
- 10 THE WASTE BOARD WITH REGARD TO SB1066.
- 11 YOUR ROLE IS NOT TO MICROMANAGE.
- 12 I THINK WE ALL AGREED UPON THAT. WHAT I
- 13 POINTED OUT, YOUR ROLE IS TO PROVIDE INTEGRITY,
- 14 TO KEEP THE INTEGRITY OF AB939.
- 15 I BELIEVE THIS IS ONE OF THOSE
- 16 INTEGRITY ISSUES WHEREBY IF THIS WERE TO BECOME
- 17 PRECEDENT AND A POLICY-MAKING DECISION, THERE
- 18 IS UP TO 15 MILLION TONS OF AG WASTE OUT THERE
- 19 IN 1980 DISPOSAL THAT COULD INVADE, IN 1990
- 20 BECOME AGRICULTURAL WASTE, BECOME DIVERSION,
- 21 AND ALL THE AG COUNTIES UP AND DOWN FROM
- 22 REDDING TO BAKERSFIELD WILL COME IN AND TRY TO
- 23 GET THIS TYPE OF PHANTOM DIVERSION PROGRAM.
- 24 AND WE FEEL THAT ALL THE MRFS THAT
- 25 I REPRESENT UP AND DOWN THE VALLEY, AND AS A

- 1 WHOLE, AS A STATEWIDE POLICY ISSUE ON AB939
- 2 INTEGRITY, WE WOULD NOT RECOMMEND THE WASTE
- 3 BOARD TO ADOPT THE BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENT.
- 4 WE WOULD RECOMMEND ITEM NO. 2,
- 5 THAT THIS BE RESCINDED AND ONLY ACCOUNT FOR UP
- 6 TO 726 TONS INSTEAD OF THE 54,000 TONS.
- 7 I'M AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS
- 8 YOU MAY HAVE. AND WHEN IT COMES TO AB939
- 9 DIVERSION, DON'T GIVE AWAY THE FARM ON THIS
- 10 ISSUE. THANK YOU.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. CHESBRO?
- 12 MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. EDGAR, HOW ARE
- 13 YOU?
- MR. EDGAR: WELCOME BACK.
- 15 MEMBER CHESBRO: THANKS. I HAVEN'T
- 16 SEEN YOU FOR A WHILE. I KIND OF MISSED YOUR
- 17 CONTRIBUTION. I'M SURE I WILL HEAR FROM YOU
- 18 DOWNTOWN.
- 19 A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT TROUBLE ME
- 20 WITH YOUR PERSPECTIVE. ONE IS THAT EVEN WITH
- 21 COUNTING OF AG WASTE, IT STILL ONLY ACHIEVES 43
- 22 PERCENT, CORRECT? AND SO THERE STILL WILL BE
- 23 THE NEED IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE 50 PERCENT OF
- 24 ADDITIONAL DIVERSION PROGRAMS. AM I WRONG?
- 25 MR. EDGAR: THAT'S CORRECT. FROM FOUR

- 1 T043.
- 2 MEMBER CHESBRO: AND THE OTHER
- 3 QUESTION IS, WOULD YOU AGREE THAT THEY WILL BE
- 4 REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT BY THIS BOARD THEIR
- 5 DESIGNATED PROGRAMS REGARDLESS OF WHETHER
- 6 THEY -- YOU KNOW, WHEN THEY DO ACHIEVE 50
- 7 PERCENT, THERE WILL STILL BE A REQUIREMENT FOR
- 8 THEM TO PROCEED WITH THE PROGRAMS THEY HAVE
- 9 IDENTIFIED IN THEIR SRRE'S?
- 10 MR. EDGAR: WELL, I BELIEVE IT WILL BE
- 11 A SCALED BACK PROGRAM, BECAUSE THEY ARE TALKING
- 12 ABOUT 50,000 TONS, SO SAY THAT THEY GET 700
- 13 TONS CREDIT, SO IF FRUIT CULLS ARE ABOUT 54,000
- 14 TONS, SO THE AMOUNT OF EFFORT WILL BE SCALED
- 15 BACK COMPLETELY.
- 16 MEMBER CHESBRO: BUT MY POINT IS THAT
- 17 THERE IS A DUAL REQUIREMENT HERE, NOT JUST TO
- 18 ACHIEVE THE 50 PERCENT BUT ALSO TO IMPLEMENT
- 19 THE PROGRAMS IN THE SRRE. CORRECT?
- 20 MR. EDGAR: CORRECT. IT WILL NOT BE A
- 21 FULL SCALE COMMERCIAL RECYCLING. IT WILL BE
- 22 VERY REDUCED. THEY WILL HAVE TO GO FROM 43 TO
- 23 50, WHICH IS 7 PERCENT, AS OPPOSED FROM SAY 20
- 24 TO 50, WHICH IS 30 PERCENT, SO THE PROGRAM
- 25 WOULD BE JUST A SHADOW OF WHAT IT COULD BE IN

- 1 ORDER TO FULFILL THE DESIGN CAPACITIES OF THE
- 2 MRFS UP AND DOWN THE VALLEY.
- MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, I WOULD ARGUE,
- 4 AND WOULD CONTINUE TO, SHOULD I BE HERE IN THE
- 5 FUTURE, IF I WERE TO BE HERE IN THE FUTURE,
- 6 THAT THE SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM YOU'RE
- 7 BRINGING UP IS TO TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT THE
- 8 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENT IS ENFORCED
- 9 BY THE BOARD AS WELL AS THE PERCENTAGE, AND
- 10 THAT WE NOT JUST SAY, OH, WELL, YOU HAVE
- 11 ACHIEVED 50 PERCENT, SO IT DOESN'T MATTER
- 12 WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAVE IMPLEMENTED THE
- 13 PROGRAMS THAT YOU IDENTIFIED IN THE SRRE.
- 14 TO ME THAT SEEMS -- I AGREE
- 15 SOMEWHAT WITH YOUR CONCERN ABOUT THE IDEA THAT
- 16 THERE WILL BE LESS PRESSURE, BUT I THINK THE
- 17 SOLUTION TO THAT IS FOR THE BOARD TO NOT LET UP
- 18 THE PRESSURE ON THE PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION
- 19 SIDE.
- 20 MY CONCERN WITH GOING DOWN THE
- 21 ROUTE YOU ARE SUGGESTING IS THAT THERE IS MANY,
- 22 MANY COUNTIES IN THIS STATE THAT HAVE
- 23 IMPLEMENTED VARIOUS TYPES OF LARGE QUANTITY
- 24 INDUSTRIAL TYPES OF RECYCLING, AGRICULTURE,
- 25 INDUSTRIAL, WHATEVER, FROM FISH WASTE TO ASH TO

- 1 AG WASTE, AND THAT'S RECYCLING.
- 2 IT'S NOT AS PUBLICLY EXCITING AS
- 3 CURBSIDE AND AS VISIBLE, BUT IT IS LEGITIMATE
- 4 RECYCLING, AND IF A SIGNIFICANT EFFORT WENT ON
- 5 TO MAKE IT HAPPEN, THEN I THINK IT'S RECYCLING,
- 6 WHETHER OR NOT IT HAS THAT SAME POLITICALLY
- 7 ATTRACTIVE ATTRIBUTE.
- 8 MR. EDGAR: I TOTALLY AGREE. IT IS
- 9 RECYCLING, BUT IT HAPPENED BACK IN THE '80S AND
- 10 BEFORE 1984. THE WATERBROOK POLICY IS YOU
- 11 CAN'T TAKE FISH WASTE, AND YOU CAN'T TAKE ALL
- 12 THESE LIQUID WASTES TO THE LANDFILLS ANYMORE.
- 13 SO A LOT OF DIVERSION HAPPENED IN
- 14 OUR INDUSTRY SINCE THE TURN OF THE CENTURY, AND
- 15 RECYCLING IS NOTHING NEW TO THE INDUSTRY I
- 16 REPRESENT. BUT WHAT CAUSED THIS ACTION WAS THE
- 17 '84 WATER BOARD REGULATION, NOT A LOCAL ACTION,
- 18 AND THAT'S THE DIFFERENCE.
- 19 AND IT SHOULD COUNT AS RECYCLING.
- 20 IT IS DIVERSION. I'M HAPPY FOR THE COMMUNITIES
- 21 WHO RESPONDED TO THAT WATER BOARD POLICY IN
- 22 '84. AND BECAUSE I WORKED IN YOLO COUNTY BACK
- 23 IN THE '80S, AND KERN COUNTY, I COME FROM AG
- 24 COUNTIES, I KNOW THIS WASTE STREAM QUITE WELL,
- 25 AND WHEN I TOOK THIS WASTE STREAM, I HAD

- 1 RECORDS. AND IN YOLO COUNTY WHEN I WAS PUTTING
- 2 MY LANDFILL GAS WELLS WITHIN THE YOLO COUNTY
- 3 FIELD, I LOOKED FOR TOMATO WASTE FROM '84 TO
- 4 '85, TO PUT MY WELLS AROUND THE TOMATO WASTE, I
- 5 GET MORE METHANE.
- 6 MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, YOU WERE MORE
- 7 PRESCIENT AND HAD BETTER FUTURE VISION THAN
- 8 MAYBE SOME OTHER COUNTIES HAD IN TERMS OF
- 9 RECORDKEEPING, BUT THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY
- 10 MEAN THAT THE ACTIVITIES DIDN'T TAKE PLACE.
- MR. EDGAR: OH, THE ACTIVITY DID TAKE
- 12 PLACE, BUT IT WAS FROM WATER BOARD ACTIVITY,
- 13 AND THE RECORDS SHOULD HAVE BEEN THERE. SO MY
- 14 CONTEST AS A STATEWIDE POLICY HERE THAT IT'S
- 15 BAD PRECEDENT SETTING FOR ALL THE COMMUNITIES
- 16 UP AND DOWN THE VALLEY THAT SHOULD.
- 17 LET'S RULE OUT THE 40,000 TON
- 18 DIVERSION THERE. ALL THE DESIGN CAPACITY MRFS
- 19 THAT WE BUILD UP AND DOWN THE VALLEY WILL NOT
- 20 FULFILL THE INTENDED DESIGN CAPACITY BE A
- 21 SCALED BACK PROGRAM A LOT LESS, AND WE WON'T BE
- 22 ABLE TO ACHIEVE WHAT WE INTENDED TO ACHIEVE
- 23 BACK IN 1990 AND EARLY '90S WHEN WE DESIGNED
- 24 OUR MRFS PRIOR TO THIS PRECEDENT HERE THAT'S
- 25 BEING SET. THAT'S MY MAIN CONTENTION.

- 1 THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTIONS AND
- 2 THE CONSIDERATION TODAY.
- 3 MEMBER RHOADS: I HAVE A QUESTION.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. RHOADS.
- 5 MEMBER RHOADS: I WOULD LIKE TO
- 6 EXPLORE A LITTLE BIT THE -- AND I AM A LITTLE
- 7 BIT CONFUSED ON WHAT THE LAW SAYS ON THE
- 8 RATIONALE.
- 9 YOU SAY IT WOULD BE OKAY TO COUNT
- 10 THE 726 TONS, BECAUSE THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THEY
- 11 DIVERTED TO LANDFILLS AT THAT TIME?
- MR. EDGAR: DISPOSED IN THE BASE-YEAR
- 13 IN THE SRRE.
- 14 MEMBER RHOADS: AND THEN BECAUSE
- 15 THAT'S WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT IN THAT YEAR, AND IT
- 16 WOULDN'T BE APPROPRIATE TO COUNT THE MUCH
- 17 HIGHER AMOUNT THAT THEY ARE TRYING TO CLAIM IN
- 18 1997, I THINK IT IS.
- 19 I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS, IF I
- 20 COULD, WITH STAFF A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE
- 21 LEGALITY OF THAT PARTICULAR -- DO WE HAVE AN
- 22 OPTION TO COUNT A LIMITED AMOUNT? I MEAN
- 23 WHAT'S THE --
- 24 MS. SHIMIZU: IF THIS HAD BEEN AN AG
- 25 WASTE, WHICH IT IS NOT, ONE OF THE CRITERIA FOR

- 1 COUNTING AG WASTE IN THE BASE-YEAR AS DIVERSION
- 2 IS THAT IT WAS HISTORICALLY DISPOSED, AND THERE
- 3 IS A PHRASE IN THE CRITERION THERE THAT IF IN
- 4 THE ABSENCE OF SPECIFIC RECORDS AND A
- 5 REASONABLE ESTIMATE OF WHAT WAS HISTORICALLY
- 6 DISPOSED, AND HISTORICALLY DISPOSED MEANS PRIOR
- 7 TO 1990.
- 8 THIS, HOWEVER, IS NOT A RESTRICTED
- 9 WASTE, SO FOR IT TO COUNT TOWARD DIVERSION, IT
- 10 HAD TO HAVE BEEN NORMALLY DISPOSED IN THE
- 11 BASE-YEAR, BUT THERE IS NO LIMIT TO HOW MUCH
- 12 DIVERSION CAN COUNT, ONLY THAT IT WAS NORMALLY
- 13 DISPOSED IN THE BASE-YEAR.
- 14 MEMBER RHOADS: SO WOULD WE HAVE THE
- 15 FLEXIBILITY TO SAY YOU COULD ONLY COUNT IN 1997
- 16 726 TONS?
- 17 MS. SHIMIZU: I WOULD LIKE OUR LEGAL
- 18 TO ADDRESS THAT.
- 19 MR. BLOCK: THE SHORT ANSWER IS BASED
- 20 ON THE REGULATIONS THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE, NO,
- 21 WE DON'T HAVE THAT, AND I THINK THAT'S THE
- 22 REASON THAT MR. EDGAR WAS INDICATING THAT --
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ELLIOT, YOU NEED
- 24 TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF.
- 25 MR. BLOCK: SORRY. ELLIOT BLOCK FROM

- 1 THE LEGAL OFFICE. EXCUSE ME.
- 2 I THINK THAT'S THE REASON THAT
- 3 MR. EDGAR WAS TALKING ABOUT SHOULD YOU TREAT IT
- 4 THE SAME WAY AS THE AG WASTE AND THE RESTRICTED
- 5 WASTE WHICH IS RESTRICTED BY STATUTE, BUT IT'S
- 6 NOT REQUIRED IN THE FACT THAT THE REGULATIONS
- 7 THE BOARD HAS HAD ON THE BOOKS FOR ABOUT SEVEN
- 8 OR EIGHT YEARS AND WHICH HAVE BEEN USED BY
- 9 OTHER JURISDICTIONS, AS HAD BEEN MENTIONED,
- 10 SPECIFICALLY INDICATES THAT IT ONLY BE
- 11 REQUIRED -- THE NORMALLY DISPOSED REQUIREMENT
- 12 IS ONLY THAT IT CONSTITUTE AT LEAST .001
- 13 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL WASTE FOR SOLID WASTE
- 14 DISPOSAL.
- 15 THE IDEA THAT THERE IS SOME
- 16 DOCUMENTATION THAT IT WAS A TYPE OF MATERIAL
- 17 THAT WAS TRADITIONALLY DISPOSED, BUT THAT THERE
- 18 ISN'T THIS ONE-TO-ONE CORRESPONDENCE, AND IT'S
- 19 ONLY THE STATUTORILY DEFINED RESTRICTED WASTES
- 20 THAT HAVE THAT LIMITATION.
- 21 AND AS HAD BEEN MENTIONED, THE
- 22 STATUTE ACTUALLY LISTS SPECIFIC SIC CODES IN
- 23 DEFINING WHAT FALLS WITHIN THAT WASTE DUMP.
- 24 MEMBER RHOADS: COULD I HEAR FROM
- 25 MR. EDGAR ON THAT PARTICULAR POINT? WOULD YOU

- 1 LIKE TO RESPOND?
- 2 MR. EDGAR: THANK YOU.
- 3 IN SANTA BARBARA I DID MAKE A
- 4 RECOMMENDATION THAT AFTER THE WATER BOARD
- 5 REGULATION WAS PASSED IN 1984 TO GO BACK
- 6 THROUGH THE RECORDS THE BEST THEY COULD FROM
- 7 '84 TO 1990, THE DRY FRUIT CULLS THAT THEN
- 8 DISPOSED OF, TAKE AN AVERAGE OVER THOSE SIX
- 9 YEARS, AND GET CREDIT FOR THAT.
- 10 I THINK THAT WOULD BE A PRAGMATIC
- 11 AND REASONABLE APPROACH TO THAT, TO COUNT THAT
- 12 TONNAGE, WHICH IS A VALID TONNAGE.
- 13 I WOULD CONTEND THAT'S WHAT I WAS
- 14 HOPING TO SEE YESTERDAY WHEN I SAT DOWN WITH
- 15 STAFF, AND I DIDN'T SEE THAT TYPE OF
- 16 DOCUMENTATION, BUT ONE THING IT DID SHOW WAS
- 17 THAT THEY QUIT TAKING A LOT OF THIS WASTE IN
- 18 '84, WHICH REFLECTS THE WATER BOARD POLICY.
- 19 MEMBER RHOADS: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
- MR. EDGAR: THANK YOU.
- 21 MEMBER RHOADS: I AM WORRIED ABOUT THE
- 22 LARGE PERCENTAGE INCREASE THAT THIS SHOWS, BUT
- 23 MY PROBLEM IS IT'S NOT AG WASTE, AND I DON'T
- 24 SEE HOW WE CAN JUST LIMIT, IF THEY WANT TO USE
- 25 A NEW BASE-YEAR, WHAT THEY GENERATED IN 1990,

- 1 SO THAT'S THE PROBLEM THAT I HAVE.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY ADDITIONAL
- 3 QUESTIONS?
- 4 NEXT WE WILL HEAR FROM RON BEVERS.
- 5 MR. BEVERS: HI, I'M RON BEVERS FROM
- 6 VISALIA, CALIFORNIA, THERE IN TULARE COUNTY.
- 7 AM THE OWNER OF BEVERS RECYCLING THERE, A
- 8 PARTNER IN TULARE COUNTY RECYCLING, WHICH IS A
- 9 FULL-SERVICE MRF AND ALSO PRESIDENT OF THE
- 10 PRIVATE HAULERS ASSOCIATION THERE IN TULARE
- 11 COUNTY.
- 12 AND WE HAVE HAD A CONCERN WITH
- 13 THIS, WHICH WE FEEL HAS BEEN PRETTY MUCH
- 14 ANSWERED BY THE COMMITMENT OF THE COUNTY THERE
- 15 TO CONTINUE WITH THEIR RECYCLING EFFORTS
- 16 REGARDLESS OF THE PERCENTAGE, AND ALSO THE
- 17 WASTE BOARD ASSURING US THAT, SO TO SPEAK,
- 18 EVERYBODY'S FEET WOULD BE HELD TO THE FIRE TO
- 19 MAKE SURE THAT THE PROGRAMS THAT'S IN THE SRRE
- 20 WOULD HAVE TO BE IMPLEMENTED.
- 21 I AGREE THAT THE TONNAGE THING IS
- 22 SOMETHING THAT IS WORRISOME IN ONE WAY, BUT THE
- 23 WAY THE LAW IS WROTE, IF IT'S ALLOWABLE, WELL,
- 24 THEN THAT'S THE WAY IT IS, BUT I DO HOPE THAT
- 25 THE -- AND I KNOW IT WILL BE -- THAT THE BOARD

- 1 WILL LOOK AT THE PROGRAMS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE
- 2 RECYCLING EFFORTS ARE CONTINUED IN THE SCOPE
- 3 THEY SHOULD BE, BECAUSE WE HAVE -- ALL THE
- 4 PRIVATE SECTOR IN TULARE COUNTY HAVE A LARGE
- 5 INVESTMENT THAT WE HAVE MADE IN THE RECYCLING
- 6 PROCESS. THERE IS NO COUNTY OWNED OR CITY
- 7 OWNED FACILITY. IT'S ALL PRIVATE OWNED THERE,
- 8 AND SO WE ARE KIND OF AT THE MERCY OF THESE
- 9 THINGS.
- 10 I DO KNOW THAT CULL FRUIT WAS
- 11 BROUGHT INTO THE LANDFILL. I STARTED THERE IN
- 12 1977, AND AT THAT TIME UP UNTIL SOMEWHERE IN
- 13 THE '80S -- I'M NOT SURE; I WILL ACCEPT THE
- 14 DATES PRESENTED HERE TODAY -- BUT THE CULL
- 15 FRUIT WAS BROUGHT IN ON TOP OF AN OLD LANDFILL
- 16 COVER AND SPREAD OUT THERE BY THE DUMP TRUCKS,
- 17 AND THEN THEY TAKE A TRACTOR AND A DISK AND
- 18 DISK IT IN.
- 19 I KNOW THAT WAS OCCURRING. AS FAR
- 20 AS TONNAGE, I HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF THAT. AND
- 21 SO I WOULD HOPE THE THING CAN BE ADDRESSED HERE
- 22 IN A REASONABLE MANNER.
- 23 I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN GO BACK TO
- 24 DRY TONS AS OPPOSED TO THE WET TONS. I DON'T
- 25 KNOW JUST HOW THE LAW IS ON THAT, BUT THERE IN

- 1 TULARE COUNTY WE ARE COMFORTABLE WITH THIS AS
- 2 LONG AS OUR RECYCLING PROGRAMS ARE ENFORCED.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD. ANY
- 4 QUESTIONS OF MR. BEVERS?
- 5 IF NOT, JEFF MONACO.
- 6 MR. MONACO: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF
- 7 THE BOARD, MY NAME IS JEFF MONACO, RECYCLING
- 8 COORDINATOR FOR TULARE COUNTY. I WAS GOING TO
- 9 TRY TO KEEP THIS RELATIVELY BRIEF AND
- 10 RELATIVELY SIMPLE. I'M GOING TO TRY TO ANSWER
- 11 SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT WERE BROUGHT UP THIS
- 12 MORNING.
- 13 BASICALLY THE LAST TIME I CAME TO
- 14 YOUR BOARD, WE UNDERSTOOD THAT THERE WERE TWO
- 15 PRIMARY CONCERNS. ONE WAS WHETHER OR NOT OUR
- 16 COUNTY WAS DEDICATED TO THE RECYCLING PROGRAMS
- 17 OUTLINED IN THE SRRE, AND OUR BOARD, AS YOU
- 18 KNOW AND AS STAFF HAS PRESENTED, HAS IN FACT
- 19 ACTED UPON THAT AND PASSED A RESOLUTION THAT,
- 20 AS MR. BEVERS INDICATED, REGARDLESS OF
- 21 DIVERSION, WE WILL IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS.
- 22 BENCH MARKS HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED
- 23 THAT WILL HOLD THE HAULERS TO SPECIFIC NUMBERS
- 24 THAT THEY ARE EXPECTED TO DIVERT FROM WHAT THEY
- 25 COLLECT.

- 1 THERE IS ONE POINT THAT I WILL
- 2 BRING UP. IT WAS MORE OR •LESS IMPLIED THAT THE
- 3 DIVERSION WAS A RESULT OF THE REGIONAL BOARD
- 4 ACTIVITIES AND LEGISLATION IN 1984. I WOULD
- 5 ACTUALLY ARGUE THAT I THINK THE REASON WHY THE
- 6 MATERIAL STOPPED COMING TO OUR SITES PRIOR TO
- 7 THAT WAS BECAUSE WE IMPLEMENTED TIPPING FEES.
- 8 BACK IN THE '70S WE DIDN'T HAVE
- 9 TIPPING FEES. THE MATERIAL CAME IN AS AN
- 10 AGREEMENT WITH A VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT WHERE
- 11 THEY WERE CHARGED 50 CENTS A TON, BUT IN 1978
- 12 AND THROUGH THE LATE '70S AND EARLY '80S THE
- 13 TIPPING FEES GRADUALLY INCREASED, AND I WOULD
- 14 ARGUE THAT THE MATERIAL STARTED DIMINISHING IN
- 15 ITS ARRIVAL TO OUR SITE SIMPLY BECAUSE IT
- 16 STARTED COSTING MONEY AND THE PACKING SHEDS
- 17 ACTUALLY LOOKED FOR MORE ECONOMICALLY LUCRATIVE
- 18 WAYS TO DISPOSE OF MATERIAL.
- 19 BASICALLY OUR BOARD, WE ARE NOT
- 20 LOOKING TO SET A PRECEDENT. WE THINK IT'S VERY
- 21 APPROPRIATE THAT YOUR BOARD IS LOOKING AT THESE
- 22 ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS. WE FEEL THAT WE HAVE
- 23 PROVIDED QUITE A BIT OF DOCUMENTATION. CULL
- 24 FRUIT WAS LANDFILLED AT OUR SITES. I DON'T
- 25 THINK THAT'S REALLY IN CONTENTION ANYMORE.

- 1 THAT'S BASICALLY ALL I HAVE TO
- 2 SAY, AND I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS
- 3 FOR YOU.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS OF
- 5 MR. MONACO?
- 6 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 7 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 8 MEMBER JONES: I THINK A LOT OF THE
- 9 THINGS THAT YOU HAD TO RESPOND TO, OBVIOUSLY
- 10 YOU'VE RESPONDED TO THEM. MY CONCERN THEN AND
- 11 MY CONCERN NOW, AND YOU MAY HAVE JUST
- 12 REINFORCED IT, IS THAT WHEN THE TIPPING FEES
- 13 STARTED AT THE LANDFILL, THE SHED OPERATORS
- 14 STARTED TO LOOK FOR OTHER PLACES TO DISPOSE OF
- 15 THE WASTE.
- 16 THAT WAS ONE OF MY ISSUES WAS THAT
- 17 IF IT WAS BEING USED AS DIVERSION THEN OR IF IT
- 18 WAS BEING USED AS FEEDSTOCK THEN AND IT'S BEING
- 19 USED AS FEEDSTOCK TODAY, NOTHING HAS REALLY
- 20 CHANGED. AND THAT'S A FUNDAMENTAL PREMISE OF
- 21 AB939.
- 22 I DON'T THINK WE ARE SETTING A
- 23 PRECEDENT HERE. I WAS NERVOUS FOR A COUPLE OF
- 24 REASONS. I THINK STAFF KNOW EXACTLY WHAT MY
- 25 REASONS WERE. THE FIRST TIME I READ THAT

- 1 REPORT AND SAW THESE UNBELIEVABLE SUCCESSES IN
- 2 DIVERSION, THAT A LOT OF IT WAS ATTRIBUTED TO
- 3 C&D WASTE, AND I WANTED TO KNOW WHERE IT WAS
- 4 GOING, BECAUSE I DIDN'T THINK THERE WAS THAT
- 5 MUCH C&D IN TULARE COUNTY, AND THEY KIND OF
- 6 DEALT WITH THAT.
- 7 WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT SOME BIGGER
- 8 ISSUES, AND THAT WOULD BE THOSE FACILITIES THAT
- 9 STOCKPILE C&D WASTE FOR FIVE OR SIX YEARS AND
- 10 THEN PROCESS IT. IS IT THE YEAR THAT THEY
- 11 PROCESS IT THEY GET THE CREDIT FOR THE
- 12 RECYCLING? ANYBODY THAT KNOWS HOW TO TIME
- 13 SOMETHING IS GOING TO DO IT IN 1995 AND THE
- 14 YEAR 2000.
- 15 BUT HEARING THAT SUPERVISORS ARE
- 16 GOING TO CONTINUE TO DO PROGRAMS RELIEVES ME OF
- 17 ONE OF MY CONCERNS, BECAUSE I WAS PRETTY HONEST
- 18 AND UP-FRONT AT THE SANTA BARBARA MEETING. YOU
- 19 KNOW, TO COME UP WITH A NUMBER OF 43 PERCENT
- 20 WHEN IT WASN'T AS SEXY AS OTHER PROGRAMS MAY
- 21 HAVE BEEN LOOKED ON SCARED ME FROM THE
- 22 STANDPOINT THAT WE ABANDONED AN INFRASTRUCTURE
- 23 THAT WAS BUILT, AND THAT CANNOT HAPPEN.
- 24 SO I THINK THE ASSURANCES OF YOUR
- 25 COUNTY AND THE FACT THAT YOU ARE LOOKING FOR US

- 1 TO HOLD YOUR FEET TO THE FIRE GIVES ME ENOUGH
- 2 COMFORT IN THIS ISSUE THAT I THINK I CAN
- 3 SUPPORT IT TODAY.
- 4 BUT I AM INTERESTED FROM STAFF.
- 5 WE LOOK AT THESE -- YOU KNOW, WE GET ANNUAL
- 6 REPORTS OR BIANNUAL REPORTS EVERY TWO YEARS,
- 7 AND WE CAN GET A PRETTY GOOD IDEA OF HOW THESE
- 8 THINGS ARE CONTINUING, AND I THINK WE NEED TO
- 9 KEEP LOOKING AT THOSE THINGS TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 10 THE DIVERSION GROWTH ISN'T JUST BECAUSE
- 11 EVERYBODY DECIDED TO DRINK MORE ORANGE JUICE.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 13 ACTUALLY WE HAVE THE BIANNUAL
- 14 REVIEW THAT'S CONDUCTED EVERY TWO YEARS, BUT WE
- 15 LOOK AT THEIR ANNUAL REPORTS EVERY YEAR, SO WE
- 16 WILL BE LOOKING AND TRACKING AND MONITORING
- 17 THEIR PROGRESS.
- 18 MEMBER RHOADS: THEN I THINK ALSO
- 19 AREN'T WE -- BECAUSE I THINK I'M GOING TO
- 20 THIS -- GOING TO TULARE COUNTY IN THE VERY NEAR
- 21 FUTURE? I MEAN IT'S IMPORTANT TO IMPLEMENT
- 22 YOUR EXISTING PROGRAMS, BUT WE ARE ALSO
- 23 INTERESTED IN ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS THAT MIGHT
- 24 HELP YOU MEET THOSE ADDITIONAL GOALS.
- 25 AND WHEN IS THAT MEETING

- 1 SCHEDULED? I THINK IT'S IN EARLY DECEMBER.
- 2 MR. MONACO: IT'S DECEMBER. DECEMBER
- 3 2ND AND 3RD.
- 4 MEMBER RHOADS: YEAH, AND I WILL BE
- 5 GOING TO THAT MEETING. AND I JUST WANT TO
- 6 STRESS, I THINK YOU WILL HEAR IT FROM ALL THE
- 7 BOARD MEMBERS, WE WANT TO SEE YOUR PROGRAMS
- 8 CONTINUED, BUT I THINK ALSO IF THERE IS OTHER
- 9 THINGS YOU CAN DO, WE WOULD BE ALSO VERY
- 10 INTERESTED IN NEW PROGRAMS TO HELP YOU REACH
- 11 THE GOAL.
- 12 MR. MONACO: MR. RHOADS, I WILL ADD
- 13 FOR THOSE THAT MAY NOT BE AWARE, TULARE COUNTY,
- 14 THE UNINCORPORATED PORTION OF TULARE COUNTY IS
- 15 ONE OF THE JURISDICTIONS THAT HAS BEEN TARGETED
- 16 FOR ASSISTANCE, AND WE ARE WORKING VERY CLOSELY
- 17 WITH WASTE BOARD STAFF ON HOW WE CAN GET THE
- 18 REST OF THE WAY, AND WE HAVE BEEN VERY
- 19 APPRECIATIVE OF THE ASSISTANCE.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MEMBER EATON,
- 21 DID I FAIL TO LET YOU MAKE AN EX PARTE THAT YOU
- 22 NEEDED TO, THAT YOU WANTED TO?
- 23 MEMBER EATON: YES, I RECEIVED
- 24 CORRESPONDENCE FROM CALIFORNIA REFUSE REMOVAL
- 25 COUNCIL REGARDING THIS AGENDA ITEM. IT WAS

- 1 ADDRESSED TO YOU, BUT I THINK I SHOULD PROBABLY
- 2 NOTE IT FOR THE RECORD AND ENTER IT AS AN EX
- 3 PARTE COMMUNICATION DATED NOVEMBER 17TH, A
- 4 LETTER TO YOU FROM EVAN EDGAR REGARDING THIS
- 5 ITEM.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WE HAVE ALREADY
- 7 EX PARTE'D THAT.
- 8 OKAY. IF THERE IS NO FURTHER --
- 9 MR. CHESBRO.
- 10 MEMBER CHESBRO: I WAS GOING TO MAKE A
- 11 MOTION.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: GO FOR IT.
- 13 MEMBER CHESBRO: I WOULD MOVE ADOPTION
- 14 OF THE RESOLUTION, BUT IN ADDITION TO THAT ALSO
- 15 DIRECT STAFF TO AMEND THE RESOLUTION TO
- 16 REAFFIRM THE BOARD POLICY REGARDING USING SRRE
- 17 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AS A DETERMINATION OF
- 18 COMPLIANCE ALONG WITH THE ACTUAL DIVERSION
- 19 PERCENTAGE AND DIRECT STAFF TO FULLY IMPLEMENT
- 20 THE SAME POLICY.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: I WILL SECOND THAT.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT HAS
- 23 BEEN MOVED BY MR. CHESBRO, THE ADOPTION OF
- 24 RESOLUTION 98-189 WITH SOME AMENDMENTS TO IT,
- 25 SECONDED BY MR. JONES.

- 1 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 2 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 4 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 6 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 8 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 10 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON?
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 13 MOTION CARRIES.
- 14 MOVE TO ITEM NO. 9, CONSIDERATION
- 15 OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE
- 16 BASE-YEAR GENERATION AMOUNT FOR THE PREVIOUSLY
- 17 APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT
- 18 FOR THE CITY OF MAYWOOD IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 19 JUDY FRIEDMAN.
- 20 AGENDA ITEM NO. 9
- 21 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. CHRIS SCHMIDLE
- 22 WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
- MR. SCHMIDLE: HELLO AGAIN,
- 24 MR. CHAIRMAN, BOARD MEMBERS.
- 25 THIS IS AGENDA ITEM NO. 9,

- 1 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO
- 2 CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION AMOUNT FOR THE
- 3 PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
- 4 RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MAYWOOD IN
- 5 LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 6 THE JURISDICTION HAS REQUESTED A
- 7 CORRECTION TO ITS BASE-YEAR GENERATION AMOUNT.
- 8 THE CORRECTION IS NEEDED TO INCLUDE SELF-HAUL
- 9 DISPOSAL MISSED IN THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION
- 10 STUDY.
- 11 THE METHOD USED TO ESTIMATE THE
- 12 BASE-YEAR SELF-HAUL DISPOSAL AMOUNT WAS
- 13 APPROVED IN THE 1997 BOARD MEETING IN MARCH.
- 14 IN THIS METHOD THE 1996 DISPOSAL DATA WAS USED
- 15 TO CALCULATE A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL WASTE
- 16 STREAM REPRESENTED BY THE LICENSED HAULERS.
- 17 THE BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL AMOUNT WAS
- 18 CORRECTED BY APPLYING THE 1996 PROPORTIONS BACK
- 19 TO THE EXISTING 1990 LICENSED HAULER DISPOSAL
- 20 DATA.
- 21 BOARD STAFF HAS DETERMINED THAT
- 22 THE JURISDICTION'S REQUEST HAS BEEN ADEQUATELY
- 23 DOCUMENTED; THEREFORE, STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT
- 24 THE REQUEST FOR CORRECTION BE APPROVED.
- 25 AND DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 2 MEMBER JONES: JUST ONE, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: AND I HAVE ASKED STAFF,
- 5 BUT JUST FOR THE SAKE OF THE RECORD.
- 6 I KNOW WE HAVE SIX METHODOLOGIES
- 7 WE CAN USE TO CORRECT BASE-YEARS.
- 8 MR. SCHMIDLE: YES.
- 9 MEMBER JONES: WHETHER IT'S '90 OR
- 10 '97, IT DOESN'T MATTER. THERE ARE SIX.
- 11 IN ANY OF THOSE FROM SOUTHERN
- 12 CALIFORNIA OR L.A. COUNTY, NO MATTER HOW YOU
- 13 MAKE THAT DETERMINATION -- I DON'T CARE WHICH
- 14 ONE IT IS -- YOU ARE GOING TO SUBTRACT THAT
- 15 TONNAGE FROM THE 3.8 MILLION --
- MR. SCHMIDLE: YES, SIR.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: -- POOL OF NUMBERS.
- 18 I ONLY BRING IT UP AND PUT IT ON
- 19 THE RECORD BECAUSE I KNOW WE HAVE DIFFERENT --
- 20 AND SO WE DID 170,000 FOR L.A. COUNTY LAST WEEK
- 21 OR TWO WEEKS AGO, AND HERE IS ANOTHER 2300 TO
- 22 COME OFF THAT 3.8.
- MR. SCHMIDLE: YES, SIR.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM
- 25 WITH THAT, AND IF THERE AREN'T ANY OTHERS,

- 1 MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL MOVE THE MOTION.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 3 MEMBER JONES: 98-370.
- 4 MEMBER EATON: AND I'LL SECOND THAT
- 5 MOTION.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. JONES
- 7 MOVES ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-370, MR. EATON
- 8 SECONDS.
- 9 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 10 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 12 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 14 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 15 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 16 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 17 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 18 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 19 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 21 MOTION CARRIES.
- 22 WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM NO. 10,
- 23 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO
- 24 CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION AMOUNT FOR THE
- 25 PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND

- 1 RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH
- 2 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 3 AGENDA ITEM NO. 10
- 4 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES, AND WE WILL HEAR
- 5 FROM CHRIS SCHMIDLE ON THIS ITEM AS WELL.
- 6 MR. SCHMIDLE: MR. CHAIRMAN, AGENDA
- 7 ITEM 10 IS VERY SIMILAR TO PREVIOUSLY HEARD
- 8 AGENDA ITEM NO. 9.
- 9 THE JURISDICTION HAS REQUESTED A
- 10 CORRECTION TO ITS BASE-YEAR GENERATION AMOUNT,
- 11 AND THE CORRECTION IS NEEDED TO INCLUDE
- 12 SELF-HAUL AND OTHER NON FRANCHISE DISPOSAL
- 13 MISSED IN THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION STUDY.
- 14 THE CITY USED A METHOD THE BOARD
- 15 APPROVED IN THE MARCH 1997 BOARD MEETING.
- 16 BOARD STAFF HAS DETERMINED THAT THE REQUEST HAS
- 17 BEEN ADEQUATELY DOCUMENTED, AND THEREFORE STAFF
- 18 RECOMMENDS THAT THE REQUEST FOR CORRECTION BE
- 19 APPROVED.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS OF
- 21 STAFF ON THIS ONE?
- 22 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: JUST SOME QUICK MATH
- 25 USING AN OLD AVERAGE. I THINK THEIR POPULATION

- 1 Is 68,000 PEOPLE.
- 2 I GET A LITTLE NERVOUS WHEN I SEE
- 3 THE NUMBERS JUMP LIKE THAT, BUT I DID THE MATH,
- 4 AND MY MATH WOULD COME OUT TO ABOUT 68,000
- 5 PEOPLE, USING THE AVERAGE BACK AT THAT TIME,
- 6 WHERE THEIR EXISTING NUMBER WOULD HAVE SHOWN A
- 7 POPULATION OF ABOUT 50,000.
- 8 SO I THINK THIS DEFINITELY, YOU
- 9 KNOW, GETS THEM CLEAN AND IS RIGHT IN LINE WITH
- 10 THE AVERAGE, SO I WILL MAKE A MOTION THAT WE
- 11 ADOPT RESOLUTION 98-361.
- 12 MEMBER RHOADS: I'LL SECOND.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT HAS BEEN
- 14 MOVED BY MR. JONES AND SECONDED BY MR. RHOADS,
- 15 THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-361.
- 16 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 17 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 19 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 21 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 23 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 3 WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM NO. 12,
- 4 UPDATE ON GREENING TEAM PLAN FOR THE FOCUSSED
- 5 REDUCTION OF COMPOSTABLE ORGANIC MATERIALS.
- 6 AGENDA ITEM NO. 12
- 7 MR. LEVENSON: GOOD MORNING,
- 8 MR. CHAIRMAN, BOARD MEMBERS. I'M HOWARD
- 9 LEVENSON WITH THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET
- 10 DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.
- 11 I'M GOING TO INTRODUCE PAT JONES
- 12 FROM OUR STAFF, WHO WILL BE GIVING THE
- 13 PRESENTATION. I JUST HAVE TO GET THE COMPUTER
- 14 GOING.
- 15 MS. JONES: GOOD MORNING. I'M PAT
- 16 JONES WITH THE ORGANIC MATERIALS MANAGEMENT
- 17 SECTION AND A MEMBER OF THE GREENING TEAM,
- 18 WHICH IS A SHORT WAY OF SAYING FOCUSSED
- 19 REDUCTION OF COMPOSTABLE ORGANIC MATERIALS
- 20 PERFORMANCE PLAN.
- 21 I THINK YOU ARE ALL FAMILIAR THAT
- 22 THERE ARE FOUR STRATEGIC PLANS, AND GREENING
- 23 TEAM IS ONE OF THOSE.
- 24 I WANT TO CONGRATULATE
- 25 MR. CHESBRO, AND HE MAY NOT BE AS AWARE,

- 1 ALTHOUGH I THINK HE IS PROBABLY UP TO SPEED ON
- 2 MOST OF THE KINDS OF THINGS WE ARE DOING.
- 3 I'M GOING TO BRIEFLY REVIEW THE
- 4 TARGETS, THEN MENTION COMMUNICATION, AND THEN
- 5 GO THROUGH THE SPECIFIC UPDATES, THE
- 6 ACCOMPLISHMENTS WE HAVE DONE IN THE PAST FEW
- 7 MONTHS AND WHAT WE EXPECT TO DO IN THE NEXT
- 8 COUPLE OF MONTHS.
- 9 THE FIRST TARGET IS TO INCREASE
- 10 THE ON-SITE MANAGEMENT. THAT'S GRASSCYCLING
- 11 AND LANDSCAPE. TARGET TWO IS TO DECREASE THE
- 12 WASTE OF COMMERCIAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FOOD
- 13 SCRAPS. TARGET THREE IS TO IMPROVE FEEDSTOCK
- 14 QUALITY. TARGET FOUR, TO DEVELOP A BALANCED
- 15 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK, AND THIS IS THE
- 16 COMPOSTING FACILITY REGULATIONS. WE ARE GOING
- 17 TO TWEAK THEM.
- 18 TARGET FIVE IS TO INCREASE
- 19 PROCUREMENT AND USE IN LANDSCAPING, AND TARGET
- 20 SIX IS TO INCREASE USE IN AGRICULTURE, SO THE
- 21 LAST TWO ARE OUR MARKET DEVELOPMENT TARGETS.
- 22 NOW, I WANTED TO MENTION ABOUT
- 23 COMMUNICATIONS. WE NOW HAVE A NEW WEBSITE.
- 24 ORGANICS OUTLOOK IS LIVE ON THE WEB. IT HAS
- 25 PULLED TOGETHER LINKS TO ALL OF THE ORGANIC

- 1 INFORMATION WE HAVE ON THE WEB, REGULATIONS,
- 2 THE COMPOST MULCH SOURCE LIST, MARKET
- 3 INFORMATION, AND NOW IT'S EASY TO FIND ON THIS
- 4 ORGANICS OUTLOOK SITE WHICH WAS OPERATIONAL IN
- 5 OCTOBER.
- 6 ALL OF THE STRATEGIC PLANS WILL
- 7 HAVE STORYBOARDS, AND OURS IS GOING TO BE ON A
- 8 KIOSK WITH AN INTERACTIVE COMPUTER, AND THAT IS
- 9 IN THE WORKS. IT SHOULD SOON BE IN THE FOYER.
- 10 AND THE THIRD THING I WANTED TO
- 11 MENTION WAS THAT THE BIOCYCLE CONFERENCE IN
- 12 SAN FRANCISCO NEXT MARCH, WE ARE COSPONSORING
- 13 THAT AND WORKING ACTIVELY WITH BIOCYCLE TOO.
- 14 THEY FEATURE ORGANICS, AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE
- 15 THE GREENING TEAM AND THE WASTE BOARD IS ALSO
- 16 CLEARLY MENTIONED THERE.
- 17 NOW, THE FIRST TARGET, TARGET ONE,
- 18 TO INCREASE THE ON-SITE MANAGEMENT OF
- 19 COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL TRIMMINGS AND
- 20 RESIDENTIAL FOOD SCRAPS HAS FOCUSSED ON
- 21 GRASSCYCLING AS TARGET 1.1.
- 22 WE HAVE RECEIVED FUNDING FOR
- 23 REGIONAL CAMPAIGNS AND ARE SELECTING THE
- 24 REGIONS. IN JANUARY THE STATEMENT OF WORKS
- 25 WILL COME TO THE BOARD, AND WORK WILL BEGIN IN

- 1 FEBRUARY.
- 2 THERE IS A VIDEO ON GRASSCYCLING.
- 3 THE FILMING HAS BEEN COMPLETED IN OCTOBER.
- 4 CURRENTLY STAFF HAS BEEN MEETING WITH LOS
- 5 ANGELES, WITH INLAND EMPIRE, AND WITH ABAC
- 6 TO SELECT THE REGIONS AND GET ALL OF THAT
- 7 UNDERWAY FOR THE REGIONAL GRASSCYCLING
- 8 CAMPAIGNS.
- 9 NOW, TARGET 1.2 IS THE ON-SITE
- 10 MANAGEMENT, WORKING WITH LANDSCAPERS, AND
- 11 SIMILAR TO TARGET ONE, BUT THE REGIONS ARE
- 12 ORANGE COUNTY INSTEAD OF L.A. BUT ALSO THE
- 13 INLAND EMPIRE AND ABACK AS THE REGIONS THAT WE
- 14 WILL BE FOCUSSING WITH.
- 15 AND ALSO WE ARE ASSESSING THE
- 16 FEASIBILITY OF HOME COMPOSTING PROMOTIONS AT
- 17 RETAIL OUTLETS, SO THAT'S ANOTHER PART OF THAT
- 18 TARGET.
- 19 PART OF THE PROCESS IS TO CHECK
- 20 AND ADJUST, AND WE HAVE ADJUSTED, AND TARGET
- 21 TWO HAS BEEN DELETED. FOOD PARTNERSHIPS, BEST
- 22 MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, WE DIDN'T RECEIVE THE
- 23 FUNDING, WE DON'T HAVE THE RESOURCES, SO THIS
- 24 IS A MUCH LOWER PRIORITY, ALMOST DROPPING OFF
- 25 THE TABLE, BUT WE HAVE ELIMINATED IT AS A

- 1 TARGET
- 2 BUT ALSO NOW LEFT IN TARGET TWO,
- 3 WE HAVE 2.2, WHICH IS COMMERCIAL AND
- 4 INSTITUTIONAL PROGRAMS. DIVISION OF PLANNING
- 5 AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE IS DEVELOPING AN ACTION
- 6 PLAN THAT WILL ASSIST WITH THIS.
- 7 AND THEN FOR TARGET THREE IS TO
- 8 IMPROVE FEEDSTOCK QUALITY AND INCREASE THE
- 9 MARKETABILITY OF PRODUCTS. FEEDSTOCK IS REALLY
- 10 CRITICAL TO MAKING A GOOD PRODUCT.
- 11 AND 3.1 IS LOOKING AT SEPARATE
- 12 COLLECTION PROGRAMS. THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 13 PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE IS DEVELOPING AN
- 14 ACTION PLAN HERE, AND THERE SHOULD BE A
- 15 STATEMENT OF WORK COMING TO THE BOARD SOMETIME
- 16 NEXT YEAR.
- 17 ALSO TARGET 3.2, FEEDSTOCK
- 18 ACCEPTABILITY INDEX. THERE IS A SURVEY THAT
- 19 JUST WENT OUT THIS MONTH TO CALIFORNIA ORGANICS
- 20 RECYCLING COUNCIL, OR CORC, AND ASSOCIATION OF
- 21 COMPOST PRODUCERS, SENT OUT BY THEM FOR US, AND
- 22 WE SENT IT AS BROAD BASE AS WE COULD TO ALL THE
- 23 PROCESSORS OF ORGANIC MATERIALS.
- 24 AND QUITE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS
- 25 WERE ASKED. WE'RE HOPING FOR GOOD RESULTS.

- 1 BUT TARGET THREE, THIS FEEDSTOCK ACCEPTABILITY
- 2 INDEX IS PART OF THAT SURVEY, SO WE SHOULD KNOW
- 3 WHAT THE PRODUCERS ARE LOOKING FOR IN FEEDSTOCK
- 4 AND FIND WAYS THAT WE CAN IMPROVE THAT.
- 5 TARGET FOUR IS THE REGULATIONS.
- 6 THERE IS AN INTERDIVISIONAL TEAM WORKING ON THE
- 7 COMPOSTING REGULATIONS, AND WE DID DEVELOP AN
- 8 ISSUES PAPER. THE DRAFT INFORMAL REGS WERE
- 9 JUST THIS WEEK DISTRIBUTED AMONG THE TEAM, AND
- 10 WE HOPE FOR IN-HOUSE REVIEW OF THE DRAFT
- 11 REGULATIONS IN DECEMBER AND INFORMAL WORKSHOPS
- 12 LATE JANUARY, AND THEN IT GOES THROUGH THE
- 13 NORMAL REGULATORY PROCESS.
- 14 THE ISSUE PAPER WAS FIRST
- 15 DISCUSSED AT AN LEA CONFERENCE IN AUGUST, AND
- 16 IT ALSO NOW IS LIVE ON THE INTERNET, AND THERE
- 17 IS A SITE COM REGS TALK WITH CHAT, AND PEOPLE
- 18 CAN EITHER READ ON LINE OR DOWNLOAD THE ISSUE
- 19 PAPER, THEN THEY CAN MAKE COMMENTS, AND ALL
- 20 PEOPLE VIEWING THAT SITE CAN SEE THE COMMENTS
- 21 THAT OTHER PEOPLE HAVE MADE ABOUT THE
- 22 REGULATIONS, THE ACTION ISSUES RELATIVE TO
- 23 REGULATING COMPOSTING AND ORGANIC MATERIALS.
- 24 ALSO IN TARGET FOUR WE ARE LOOKING
- 25 AT COMPLIANCE AND HAVE REQUESTED MONTHLY

- 1 REPORTS OF COMPOST AND MULCH FACILITIES THAT
- 2 ARE IN NONCOMPLIANCE, WORKING WITH PERMITTING
- 3 AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION TO SEE IF WE HAVE AN
- 4 UNDERSTANDING WHAT COMPLIANCE IS AND HOW WE CAN
- 5 DEAL WITH THAT.
- 6 TARGET FOUR ALSO HAS A BUSINESS
- 7 OPPORTUNITY INDEX. THAT'S PART OF WHAT WE WANT
- 8 TO DO IS DEVELOP THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK THAT
- 9 PROTECTS PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY BUT ALSO
- 10 INCREASES BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES, SO THAT
- 11 SURVEY WILL GIVE US SOME INSIGHT INTO HOW THE
- 12 REGULATIONS CAN RELATE TO THAT.
- 13 MOVING ON TO TARGET FIVE, FIVE AND
- 14 SIX ARE BOTH MARKET DEVELOPMENT, FIVE FOR
- 15 LANDSCAPE USE, AND IN THAT SURVEY WE HOPE TO
- 16 GET A HANDLE ON THE AMOUNT OF PRODUCT THAT IS
- 17 BEING SOLD, BY ASKING THE MANUFACTURERS, THE
- 18 PRODUCERS, AND THAT SURVEY WENT OUT THIS MONTH.
- 19 AND THEN ALSO IN TARGET FIVE,
- 20 ACTUALLY THIS IS 5.4 -- NO, 5.3. CCQC, THE
- 21 CALIFORNIA COMPOST QUALITY COUNCIL HAS BECOME A
- 22 TARGET FOR OUR GREENING TEAM, AND WE HAVE A
- 23 VERY AMBITIOUS GOAL OF HAVING 30 REGISTERED
- 24 COMPOST PRODUCERS BY THE END OF OUR TIME AS
- 25 GREENING TEAM, THE END OF NEXT YEAR.

- 1 THERE ARE NOW ACTUALLY IN THIS
- 2 LAST MONTH, IN OCTOBER, COLD CANYON BECAME A
- 3 REGISTERED SITE, AND YESTERDAY THE FIFTH SITE,
- 4 THE SECOND SINCE GREENING TEAM BEGAN, BUT THE
- 5 CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND GRIFFITH PARK HAS NOW
- 6 BECOME A REGISTERED CCQC SITE, SO THERE ARE
- 7 FIVE TOTAL SITES THAT ARE CCOC REGISTERED. A
- 8 SWANA IS PARTICIPATING AND MAY BECOME A PART OF
- 9 CCQC.
- 10 AND THEN 5.3, PARTNERSHIP
- 11 PROJECTS. THIS IS A NEW TARGET. IT'S ACTUALLY
- 12 5.4. AND IT'S TO INCREASE PROCUREMENT IN
- 13 LANDSCAPING.
- 14 THE LITTLE WHEELBARROWS INDICATE
- 15 POTENTIALLY THREE NEW PROJECTS THAT WE HOPE TO
- 16 HAVE FUNDED WITH THE \$300,000 THAT WAS VOTED BY
- 17 THE BOARD AND APPROVED BY THE BOARD, AND THE
- 18 REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL SHOULD GO OUT EITHER THIS
- 19 WEEK OR NEXT WEEK FOR BOTH LANDSCAPING AND
- 20 AGRICULTURAL PROJECTS, PARTNERSHIP PROJECTS.
- 21 THEN TARGET SIX IS AGRICULTURE.
- 22 SO 6.1, WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO MEASURE THE AMOUNT
- 23 OF PRODUCT SOLD THROUGH THAT SURVEY, AND WE ARE
- 24 ALSO REACHING OUT TO SPECIFIC COMMODITIES. IN
- 25 THIS CASE GRAPE GROWERS AND NUT GROWERS HAVE

- 1 BEEN IDENTIFIED, AND WE HAVE ATTENDED A COUPLE
- 2 OF SHOWS WITH OUR EXHIBIT, AND HAVE BEEN TO
- 3 OTHER EVENTS TRYING TO REACH OUT TO THOSE
- 4 GROWERS.
- 5 ALSO ON TARGET SIX WE WANT TO TRY
- 6 AND MEASURE THE ACRES APPLIED. THIS MAY BE
- 7 IMPOSSIBLE, AND WE MAY CHECK AND ADJUST AND
- 8 ELIMINATE THIS AT SOME FUTURE TIME, BUT THERE
- 9 ALREADY HAVE BEEN DISCUSSIONS INSTITUTED WITH
- 10 THE CAL FARM BUREAU FEDERATION, AND THEY MAY
- 11 PERHAPS PARTICIPATE IN A GROWER SURVEY TO
- 12 ATTEMPT TO FIND OUT HOW MANY ACRES ACTUALLY ARE
- 13 GETTING USE OF URBAN COMPOST MATERIALS OR
- 14 COMPOST IN GENERAL.
- 15 AND THEN THE LAST ITEM, TARGET
- 16 6.3, PARTNERSHIP PROJECTS. WE HAVE TRACTORS
- 17 FOR AGRICULTURE, AS YOU SAW THE WHEELBARROW --
- 18 OOPS. DID I JUST -- WELL, HOW DO I BACK UP
- 19 NOW. I'M SORRY. I'M AT THE END.
- 20 THERE WERE LITTLE TRACTORS THERE,
- 21 AND THERE ARE THREE IDENTIFIED AS POTENTIAL
- 22 PARTNERSHIP PROJECTS. WE HAVE TWO ALREADY
- 23 ONGOING PROJECTS WITH THE AGRICULTURE. ONE IS
- 24 THE STRAWBERRY FIELDS FOREVER, WORKING WITH THE
- 25 DEPARTMENT OF PESTICIDE REGULATION, AND THE

- 1 SECOND IS IN VENTURA COUNTY WITH EROSION
- 2 CONTROL IN CITRUS.
- 3 SO THAT'S THE COMPLETION OF THE
- 4 UPDATE, AND IF THERE IS ANY QUESTIONS, I WILL
- 5 BE HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM. OUR STORYBOARD SHOULD
- 6 BE OUT IN THE LOBBY IN A FEW WEEKS OR A FEW
- 7 MONTHS.
- 8 THANK YOU ALL FOR LISTENING. THIS
- 9 CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 11 ANY QUESTIONS?
- 12 VERY GOOD PRESENTATION. THANK
- 13 YOU.
- 14 MEMBER EATON: I JUST HAVE ONE
- 15 QUESTION.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. EATON.
- 17 MEMBER EATON: WHAT WAS THE UNDERLYING
- 18 RATIONALE FOR ABANDONING FOOD WASTE AS A
- 19 TARGET?
- 20 MS. JONES: WE SUBMITTED A CONTRACT
- 21 CONCEPT TO FUND SOME CONTRACT WORK, AND THAT
- 22 WAS NOT APPROVED, SO IT STILL IS THERE AS
- 23 SOMETHING WE MIGHT BE DOING, BUT WE DON'T HAVE
- 24 THE RESOURCES AT THIS TIME TO WORK ON THAT
- 25 INTENSIVELY.

104

- 1 MEMBER EATON: AND AN UPDATE ON WHAT
- 2 OTHER PROJECTS WE HAVE DONE WITH OUR SISTER
- 3 AGENCIES IN STATE GOVERNMENT TO TRY AND GET
- 4 THEM, AS WE HAVE DONE WITH SOME OF THE OTHER
- 5 PROJECTS, TO GET THEM TO USE DEMONSTRATION
- 6 PROJECTS AND HELP US GET THE WORD OUT?
- 7 HAVE WE MET WITH OTHER AGENCIES
- 8 WITH REGARD TO THEIR BUILDING PROJECTS? YOU
- 9 KNOW, THERE IS ALWAYS SOMETHING GOING ON THAT
- 10 THE STATE IS EITHER BUILDING, MAINTAINING,
- 11 RECONSTRUCTING.
- 12 WHICH TARGET IS THAT INCLUDED IN?
- 13 MS. JONES: THIS IS ORGANIC MATERIALS.
- 14 I KNOW OUR BIORECYCLE PROGRAM DOES ASK WHO IS
- 15 USING COMPOST AND MULCH, BUT I DON'T KNOW OF
- 16 ANY ACTIVE PROGRAM THAT WOULD BE ENCOURAGING
- 17 THEM TO USE MORE COMPOST AND MULCH WITHIN
- 18 GOVERNMENT.
- 19 BILL ORR.
- 20 MR. ORR: I'M BILL ORR, THE LEADER OF
- 21 THE GREENING TEAM.
- 22 UNDER TARGET 2.1 THAT IS SHOWN AS
- 23 DELETED, WE HAD INITIATED A SECTOR PROFILE OF
- 24 THE INSTITUTIONAL SECTOR AND HAD BEEN WORKING
- 25 WITH OUR PROJECT RECYCLE STAFF TO IDENTIFY THE

- 1 POTENTIAL IN THE AREA OF STATE FACILITIES.
- 2 THAT WOULD BE PART OF THE TARGET THAT WAS
- 3 DELETED.
- 4 THERE ARE INDEPENDENT DISCUSSIONS
- 5 GOING ON INTERNALLY WITHIN PROJECT RECYCLE
- 6 ABOUT USING IN-VESSEL OR OTHER SYSTEMS AT STATE
- 7 FACILITIES, SO THOSE DISCUSSIONS ARE ONGOING,
- 8 BUT THE TARGET ITSELF HAS BEEN ELIMINATED.
- 9 MS. TRGOVCICH: MEMBER EATON, THIS IS
- 10 KAREN TRGOVCICH OF THE DIVISION AS WELL, JUST
- 11 TO PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME INFORMATION ON OUR
- 12 CALTRANS WORK AS WELL.
- 13 I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ARE AWARE, BUT
- 14 IT WAS PROBABLY ABOUT EIGHT MONTHS AGO THAT
- 15 CALTRANS AMENDED THEIR SPECIFICATIONS, AND WE
- 16 HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH THEM AROUND THE USE OF
- 17 COMPOST AND MULCH ON ROADSIDES AND VARIOUS
- 18 APPLICATIONS.
- 19 WE THEN WENT OUT TO THE LIST OF
- 20 COMPOST AND MULCH OPERATORS OUT IN THE STATE TO
- 21 ADVISE THEM OF THE NEW CALTRANS DIRECTIVE, AND
- 22 50 WE HAVE ONGOING WORK WITH CALTRANS AS OUR
- 23 SISTER AGENCY. WE SEE THAT AS A MAJOR FOCUS IN
- 24 TERMS OF OUR ONGOING WORK.
- 25 MEMBER EATON: I WAS THINKING ALONG

- 1 PARKS AND REC, WHICH ALSO USE A TREMENDOUS
- 2 AMOUNT OF LANDSCAPING AND THOSE OTHER KINDS
- 3 OF -- YOU KNOW, EVERYONE IS SORT OF WITH
- 4 CALTRANS, AND I WAS JUST WONDERING WHAT ELSE WE
- 5 MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO TO HELP OR TO ALLOW
- 6 MR. CHESBRO TO DO HIS MAGIC ONCE HE GETS OVER
- 7 TO THE SENATE TO KIND OF BOUNCE HIM AROUND A
- 8 LITTLE BIT.
- 9 MS. TRGOVCICH: THAT'S CERTAINLY AN
- 10 AREA TO FOCUS ON. WE HAVE FOCUSED ON CALTRANS
- 11 BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE OR LESS THE GURU OF
- 12 SPECIFICATIONS, AND ONCE THEY START DOWN A
- 13 ROAD, EVERYONE ELSE SEEMS TO FOLLOW.
- 14 MEMBER EATON: THAT'S A SCARY THOUGHT.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. WE HAVE
- 16 MATT COTTON WITH THE CCQC.
- 17 MR. COTTON: MR. CHAIRMAN AND BOARD
- 18 MEMBERS. I GUESS FOLLOWING WITH THAT QUESTION,
- 19 I DO REPRESENT THE CALIFORNIA COMPOST QUALITY
- 20 COUNCIL, ANOTHER FOUR-LETTER ACRONYM WITH A LOT
- 21 OF C'S. IT'S NOT CRC OR CRA, BUT THE
- 22 CALIFORNIA COMPOST QUALITY COUNCIL.
- 23 I JUST WANT TO HIGHLIGHT OUR
- 24 APPRECIATION OF BOARD SUPPORT THROUGH THE
- 25 GREENING TEAM. EARLIER ON YOU HAD NAPA

- 1 COMPOST, WHO IS ONE OF OUR EARLY PARTICIPANTS.
- 2 WE ARE VERY PLEASED THAT THEY ARE EXPANDING AND
- 3 INCREASING THEIR EFFORTS. THEY ARE A CCQC
- 4 VERIFIED PRODUCER. WE NOW HAVE FIVE, AS PAT
- 5 JONES MENTIONED.
- 6 LAST NIGHT WE APPROVED OUR FIRST
- 7 PUBLIC AGENCY FACILITY, WHICH IS A HUGE
- 8 LANDMARK. IT'S ALSO A HUGE LANDMARK IN THAT
- 9 IT'S THE FIRST FACILITY THAT USES BIO SOLIDS OR
- 10 SEWAGE SLUDGE AS THE LAGUNA TREATMENT PLANT
- 11 DOES, SO MAYBE THEY ARE NEXT.
- 12 WE ARE ON THE ROAD TO TEN, AS PAT
- 13 JONES TALKED ABOUT. WE HAVE GOT FIVE
- 14 FACILITIES VERIFIED NOW THROUGH THE PROCESS.
- 15 WE ARE WORKING ON GETTING UP TO TEN, POSSIBLY
- 16 TWELVE BY MARCH '99, WHICH IS THE BIOCYCLE
- 17 CONFERENCE.
- 18 I WANTED TO CLARIFY SOMETHING PAT
- 19 JONES MENTIONED. WE ARE ACTUALLY HOPING TO GET
- 20 TO 20 BY THE END OF 1999. WE THINK WE WILL
- 21 HAVE TEN OR TWELVE, AS I SAY, BY MARCH AND
- 22 HOPEFULLY SNOWBALL THAT INTO 20 BY THE END OF
- 23 '99, HOPING TO DOVETAIL WITH THE BOARD TO GET
- 24 TO 30 BY THE END OF 2000.
- 25 WITH SWANA COMING ON, WE THINK WE

- 1 CAN GET AN ADDITIONAL TEN FACILITIES FROM
- 2 THERE. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH THEM VERY
- 3 CLOSELY.
- 4 THAT'S THE GOOD NEWS. WE ARE
- 5 WORKING ON WE HAVE GOT TWO COMPOST WORKSHOPS,
- 6 COMPOST USE WORKSHOPS SCHEDULED THIS WINTER IN
- 7 JANUARY IN SAN DIEGO AND LATER IN LOS ANGELES.
- 8 WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TWO MORE PROBABLY IN
- 9 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA.
- 10 WE ARE WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH
- 11 CAL POLY POMONA, THE LAND LAB, WHO THE BOARD
- 12 HAS FUNDED TO DO SOME WORK WITH SPECIFICATIONS,
- 13 BUILDING ON WHAT CALTRANS HAS DONE.
- 14 WE ARE ALSO WORKING WITH THE CITY
- 15 OF SAN DIEGO, WHO HAS BEEN WORKING WITH THEIR
- 16 GREEN TEAM PUBLIC WORKS SPECIFICATIONS TO
- 17 INCREASE THAT, SO WE ARE DOING A LOT OF WORK
- 18 WITH SPECIFICATIONS.
- 19 CALTRANS HAS MADE A TREMENDOUS EFFORT,
- 20 AND NOW YOU ARE GOING TO SEE THE CITIES, THE
- 21 COUNTIES PERHAPS SPECKING THIS MATERIAL, SO
- 22 THERE IS A LOT OF GOOD NEWS THERE. THAT'S
- 23 TARGET 5.3.
- 24 AND AGAIN WE APPRECIATE THE
- 25 BOARD'S SUPPORT AND LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING

- 1 WITH THEM AND BEING SUPPORTED BY THEM THROUGH
- 2 THE GREENING TEAM PROCESS.
- 3 I WANT TO DISCUSS VERY BRIEFLY
- 4 ALSO TARGET FOUR, PERHAPS TAKING OFF MY CCQC
- 5 HAT AND TALKING AS A PERSON WHO HAS WORKED IN
- 6 THE ORGANICS INDUSTRY FOR THE LAST 12 YEARS.
- 7 THE ORGANICS INDUSTRY,
- 8 SPECIFICALLY THE COMPOSTING INDUSTRY, NEEDS
- 9 BOARD SUPPORT WITH THIS ISSUE OF NONCOMPLIANT
- 10 FACILITIES. THERE IS A LIST OF FACILITIES.
- 11 DON'T KNOW IF ANYONE HAS REALLY LOOKED AT THE
- 12 FACT THAT THESE UNREGULATED NONCOMPLIANT MULCH
- 13 FACILITIES THAT ARE CATCHING ON FIRE ARE
- 14 HURTING THE INDUSTRY.
- 15 EVERY ONE THAT WE HAVE THAT WE
- 16 CLOSE DOWN BECAUSE IT DOESN'T HAVE LAND USE
- 17 PERMIT, BECAUSE IT CAUGHT ON FIRE, BECAUSE
- 18 NOBODY KNEW IT WAS THERE, IS KILLING OR MAKING
- 19 IT THAT MUCH MORE DIFFICULT FOR THE NEXT FIVE
- 20 FACILITIES TO COME ON LINE.
- 21 I TOOK A TOUR RECENTLY OF TWO OF
- 22 THESE SITES DOWN IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WITH
- 23 TWO VERY NICE, FRIENDLY, SUPPORTIVE COMPOSTING
- 24 FACILITIES WHO DOWN THE ROAD HAVE NEIGHBORS
- 25 THAT ARE THESE UNREGULATED MULCH SITES THAT

- 1 HAVE CAUGHT ON FIRE.
- 2 IT'S MAKING IT EXTREMELY DIFFICULT
- 3 FOR THEM TO GO THROUGH WHAT NAPA WENT THROUGH
- 4 THIS MORNING WITH A SLAM-DUNK OF AN EXPANSION
- 5 TO INCREASE THEIR MARKET, SO ANYTHING THE BOARD
- 6 CAN DO TO INCREASE THAT EFFORT.
- 7 THERE IS ADDITIONAL WRITTEN
- 8 TESTIMONY FROM EVAN EDGAR, THE CHAIRMAN OF
- 9 CCQC, TO TALK ABOUT DISTINGUISHING COMPOST FROM
- 10 MULCH, ANYTHING ELSE THE BOARD CAN DO. I THINK
- 11 HE HAS SOME GOT SOME GOOD RECOMMENDATIONS THERE
- 12 THAT WOULD BE APPRECIATED.
- 13 AND I WILL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
- 14 QUESTIONS.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS OF
- 16 MR. COTTON?
- 17 MEMBER CHESBRO: GOT ANY LEGISLATIVE
- 18 IDEAS FOR ENCOURAGING MORE COMPOSTING?
- 19 TALK TO ME LATER.
- 20 MR. COTTON: THERE IS A LOT OF STUFF
- 21 ON THE BOOKS, WES, THAT NOBODY HAS LOOKED AT.
- 22 I CAN'T PULL THE NUMBERS OUT, BUT THERE ARE
- 23 STATUTES ON THE BOOKS FROM THE EARLY '90S THAT
- 24 SAY STATE AGENCIES WILL USE COMPOST. THEY
- 25 DON'T. THE CITIES SHOULD BE USING COMPOST.

- 1 THEY DON'T. LET'S FIGURE OUT HOW TO MAKE THEM
- 2 DO IT.
- 3 MEMBER CHESBRO: COME BY.
- 4 MR. COTTON: I WILL.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. WE WILL
- 6 RECESS UNTIL 2:00 O'CLOCK. WHEN WE COME BACK,
- 7 WE WILL HAVE TWO ITEMS, ONE WHICH IS A PUBLIC
- 8 HEARING, AND THE THIRD ITEM, WHICH IS A PHOTO
- 9 OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WITH MR. CHESBRO.
- 10 [LUNCH RECESS.]
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. LET'S GET
- 12 BACK TO WORK HERE. WE NEED TO START WITH EX
- 13 PARTES. I DON'T THINK ANYBODY HAS ANY EX
- 14 PARTES FROM LUNCH UNLESS WE HAVE TO EX PARTE
- 15 OURSELVES.
- 16 OKAY. LET'S MOVE TO ITEM 13,
- 17 CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED SCOPE
- 18 OF WORK AND AWARD OF AN AMENDED CONTRACT WITH
- 19 CITYGATE ASSOCIATES FOR THE GRASSCYCLING VIDEO.
- 20 CAREN TRGOVCICH.
- 21 CITYGATE ALWAYS CONJURES SOMETHING
- 22 ELSE UP TO ME.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: IT SHOULD CONJURE UP A
- 24 BEAUTIFUL PROFESSIONALLY DONE VIDEO.
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WELL, GOOD. SO

- 1 MANY OF THESE OTHERS, YOU KNOW, WATERGATE.
- 2 MS. TRGOVCICH: YOU HAVEN'T HEARD
- 3 ABOUT RAP SCAM, HAVE YOU?
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: NO.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: OR GARBAGE GATE.
- 6 AGENDA ITEM NO. 13
- 7 MS. TRGOVCICH: THIS PRESENTATION IS
- 8 GOING TO BE MADE BY HOWARD LEVENSON. THIS IS
- 9 THE PRESENTATION OF THE AMENDED SCOPE OF WORK
- 10 FOR THE CITYGATE CONTRACT, AND HOWARD WILL BE
- 11 PROVIDING A RECOMMENDATION WITH RESPECT TO
- 12 FUNDS TO BE DIRECTED INTO THE CONTRACT AND THEN
- 13 WHAT THE REMAINING FUNDS WOULD BE USED FOR.
- MR. LEVENSON: THANK YOU, KAREN, AND
- 15 GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD MEMBERS.
- 16 ACTUALLY KEN DECIO IS GOING TO BE
- 17 MAKING THE PRESENTATION, BUT I DID WANT TO
- 18 INTRODUCE KEN AND ALSO INDICATE THAT BEFORE WE
- 19 LEFT -- WHEN WE LAST LEFT YOU BEFORE LUNCH, WE
- 20 WERE TALKING ABOUT THE GREENING TEAM AND THE
- 21 PRIORITY PLAN AS A WHOLE, AND THIS IS ONE
- 22 COMPONENT OF THAT PRIORITY PLAN. IT'S PART OF
- 23 IMPLEMENTING TARGET ONE IN THAT PLAN.
- 24 AND SO WITH THAT CONTEXT I WILL
- 25 TURN IT OVER TO KEN FOR THE PRESENTATION.

- 1 MR. DECIO: GOOD AFTERNOON. AS HOWARD
- 2 MENTIONED, TODAY WE ARE SEEKING APPROVAL FROM
- 3 THE BOARD TO AMEND THIS GLOBAL WORK WITH
- 4 CITYGATE ASSOCIATES AND PROVIDE ADDITIONAL
- 5 \$54,000 TO COMPLETE THE GRASSCYCLING VIDEO AND
- 6 THEN REDIRECT \$46,000 TO DEVELOP GRASSCYCLING
- 7 PROMOTIONAL MATERIALS TO BE DEVELOPED IN-HOUSE.
- 8 TO GIVE YOU A LITTLE BACKGROUND,
- 9 IN MAY THE BOARD APPROVED \$45,700 FROM '97-98
- 10 FUNDS FOR THE INITIAL PRODUCTION OF THE
- 11 GRASSCYCLING VIDEO, AND THEN IN SEPTEMBER WE
- 12 CAME BACK WITH A CONTRACT CONCEPT OF \$550,000,
- 13 WHICH WAS APPROVED BY THE BOARD. THAT WAS TO
- 14 GO FOR REGIONAL GRASSCYCLING CAMPAIGNS AND THE
- 15 COMPLETION OF THE GRASSCYCLING VIDEO.
- 16 THE BOARD APPROVED \$450,000 FOR
- 17 THE REGIONAL GRASSCYCLING CAMPAIGNS, AND THEN
- 18 \$100,000 TO COMPLETE THE GRASSCYCLING VIDEO.
- 19 RIGHT NOW WE ARE CURRENTLY WORKING
- 20 WITH THE LOS ANGELES REGION, INLAND EMPIRE, AND
- 21 THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA TO START DEVELOPING
- 22 THOSE REGIONAL GRASSCYCLING CAMPAIGNS, AND IN
- 23 THE PROCESS OF WORKING WITH THOSE REGIONS, IT'S
- 24 BECOME APPARENT THAT THEY ARE VERY INTERESTED
- 25 IN THE BOARD COMPLETING THE GRASSCYCLING VIDEO

- 1 AND PUBLIC SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENTS, VIDEO NEWS
- 2 RELEASES, AND THEY ARE ALSO INTERESTED IN US
- 3 PRODUCING SOME GENERIC GRASSCYCLING BROCHURES
- 4 AND MEDIA KITS.
- 5 WE BELIEVE THAT \$54,000 WILL BE
- 6 SUFFICIENT TO COMPLETE THE GRASSCYCLING VIDEO,
- 7 AND WE WOULD LIKE TO USE THE SAME CONTRACTOR,
- 8 CITYGATE ASSOCIATES, AND AMEND THAT CONTRACT SO
- 9 THT WE CAN HAVE THE VIDEO DONE IN TIME FOR THE
- 10 SPRING 1999 GRASSCYCLING CAMPAIGNS.
- 11 THEN WE WOULD LIKE TO USE THE
- 12 REMAINING \$46,000 OF THE \$100,000 APPROVED BY
- 13 THE BOARD TO DEVELOP IN-HOUSE PROMOTIONAL
- 14 GRASSCYCLING MATERIALS.
- 15 AS FAR AS WHAT THAT \$54,000 WILL
- 16 GET US, THERE ARE SOME BASIC COMPONENTS IN
- 17 FINISHING THE VIDEO.
- 18 FIRST OF ALL, RIGHT NOW ALL WE
- 19 HAVE IS RAW FOOTAGE, SO WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE
- 20 IS WE NEED TO DUB IN THE VOICES, MUSIC,
- 21 GRAPHICS AND THEN COME UP WITH A HIGH
- 22 RESOLUTION FINAL EDIT.
- 23 THIS WILL ALSO BE DUBBED IN
- 24 SPANISH, AND THEN WE NEED TO MAKE COPIES OF THE
- 25 VIDEO, INCLUDING THE PSA'S AND THE VIDEO NEWS

- 1 RELEASES, BOTH IN ENGLISH AND IN SPANISH.
- 2 AND THEN FINALLY BOXES ARE TO BE
- 3 PRODUCED BOTH IN SPANISH AND ENGLISH SO THAT
- 4 THE VIDEO CAN BE PLACED IN VIDEO RENTAL STORES,
- 5 LIBRARIES, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE LOCATIONS.
- 6 SO AGAIN, WE FEEL WE CAN DO THAT
- 7 FOR \$54,000, AND THEN AGAIN THE REMAINING
- 8 \$46,000 WE WOULD LIKE TO USE IN-HOUSE TO
- 9 DEVELOP BROCHURES, MEDIA KITS, BILL INSERTS SO
- 10 WE CAN HELP PROMOTE THE GRASSCYCLCING VIDEO,
- 11 AND ALSO THESE TOOLS CAN BE USED FOR THE
- 12 REGIONAL CAMPAIGNS THAT I ALLUDED TO EARLIER
- 13 AND OTHER INTERESTED JURISDICTIONS THAT WANT TO
- 14 PARTICIPATE IN THE GRASSCYCLING PROMOTION IN
- 15 THE SPRING OF '99. SO THIS CAN BE DEVELOPED
- 16 IN-HOUSE, BUT IT DOES REQUIRE THE BOARD
- 17 APPROVAL.
- 18 SO IN SUMMARY, WE FEEL THAT THE
- 19 VIDEO AND OTHER GENERIC GRASSCYCLING MATERIALS
- 20 WILL BE REAL USEFUL FOR THESE REGIONAL
- 21 CAMPAIGNS AND OTHER JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE
- 22 INTERESTED IN PROMOTING GRASSCYCLING, AND THE
- 23 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT YOU APPROVE OPTION ONE,
- 24 WHICH IS TO APPROVE THE SCOPE OF WORK AND AWARD
- 25 A CONTRACT AMENDMENT OF \$54,000 TO CITYGATE

- 1 ASSOCIATES TO COMPLETE THE GRASSCYCLING VIDEO
- 2 AND THEN REDIRECT \$46,000 TO DEVELOP IN-HOUSE
- 3 GRASSCYCLING PROMOTIONAL MATERIALS.
- 4 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE
- 5 BOARD MEMBERS?
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MEMBER EATON?
- 7 MEMBER EATON: I JUST WANT TO USE THE
- 8 OPPORTUNITY, AS WE SPOKE AT OUR BRIEFING, THAT
- 9 AS WE PRODUCE THESE VIDEOS AND OTHER KINDS OF
- 10 MATERIAL, REMIND YOU ONCE AGAIN THAT THE
- 11 CONTRACTS CONTAIN THE PACKAGING SHOULD BE A
- 12 RECYCLED CONTENT, THE WRAPPING SHOULD BE A
- 13 RECYCLED CONTENT TENT, THE PLASTIC OR WHATEVER
- 14 GOES INTO MAKING THE VIDEO, IF AT ALL POSSIBLE,
- 15 SHOULD BE EXPLORED, EVEN THOUGH IT COSTS MORE,
- 16 IT MIGHT BE BEST, I THINK IT IS SOMEWHAT -- YOU
- 17 KNOW, WE HAVE TO BE A LITTLE MORE EXTRA
- 18 SENSITIVE AS WE GO OUT ON THESE CAMPAIGNS, AS
- 19 WE LOOK AT SOME OF THESE THINGS, AND I KNOW
- 20 IT'S SOMETHING WE DISCUSSED TO LOOK AT, AND
- 21 PERHAPS HOW WE PUT THEM OUT, IT WOULD BE
- 22 IMPORTANT AS WELL.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY OTHER
- 24 QUESTIONS?
- 25 MEMBER JONES: I AGREE.

- 1 MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 3 MEMBER JONES: I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
- 4 MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 98-372, TO REDIRECT
- 5 THOSE FUNDS AND GIVE THEM THEIR 54 AND 46.
- 6 MEMBER RHOADS: I SECOND THAT.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 8 MOVED BY MR. JONES, SECONDED BY MR. RHOADS, THE
- 9 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-372.
- 10 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER QUESTIONS,
- 11 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 13 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 15 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 17 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 19 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 20 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 22 MOTION CARRIES.
- 23 THIS CONCLUDES OUR REGULAR
- 24 BUSINESS AGENDA FOR TODAY. WE WILL NOW MOVE TO
- 25 ITEM 14, WHICH IS A PUBLIC HEARING ON

- 1 COMPLIANCE WITH THE '97 NEWSPRINT CONSUMER
- 2 CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.
- 3 AGENDA ITEM NO. 14
- 4 I WOULD LIKE TO CALL TO ORDER THE
- 5 CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD'S
- 6 PUBLIC HEARING ON THE CONSIDERATION OF
- 7 ENFORCEMENT ACTION AGAINST NEWSPRINT CONSUMER
- 8 PUBLISHERS' PRINTING SERVICE, INC., DOING
- 9 BUSINESS AS DAY AND NIGHT, FOR FAILURE TO FILE
- 10 A 1997 NEWSPRINT CONSUMER CERTIFICATION IN
- 11 ACCORDANCE WITH PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION
- 12 42791.
- 13 WOULD THE BOARD SECRETARY PLEASE
- 14 CALL THE ROLL.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- MEMBER CHESBRO: HERE.
- 17 THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 18 MEMBER EATON: HERE.
- THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- ABSENT.
- JONES?
- MEMBER JONES: HERE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- MEMBER RHOADS: HERE.
- 25 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: HERE.
- 2 A QUORUM IS PRESENT.
- 3 WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A FEW MINUTES
- 4 HERE TO EXPLAIN HOW THIS HEARING WILL PROCEED.
- 5 FIRST, ALL WITNESSES HERE TO
- 6 TESTIFY IN THE PUBLIC HEARING LISTED AS AGENDA
- 7 ITEM 14TH IN THE BOARD'S NOTICE WILL BE SWORN
- 8 IN BY THE COURT REPORTER ALL AT ONE TIME.
- 9 SECOND, LEGAL COUNSEL WILL
- 10 DESCRIBE THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND ESTABLISHED
- 11 BOARD POLICY FOR ASSESSING CIVIL PENALTIES.
- 12 THIRD, STAFF WILL PRESENT THE
- 13 FACTS LEADING UP TO THE HEARING.
- 14 FOURTH THE PUBLISHERS' PRINTING
- 15 SERVICE, INC., CAN PRESENT INFORMATION FOR THE
- 16 ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD.
- 17 AND LAST, THE BOARD WILL
- 18 DELIBERATE AND RENDER THE DECISION.
- 19 NOW ALL THE PARTIES THAT ARE GOING
- 20 TO TAKE PLACE IN THIS NEED TO BE SWORN IN.
- 21 IS THERE ANYBODY HERE FROM
- 22 PUBLISHERS' PRINTING SERVICE?
- 23 OKAY. I GUESS HE IS THE ONLY ONE
- 24 TO BE SWORN IN.
- 25 [WITNESS SWORN.]

120

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YOU'RE ON.
- MS. BORZELLERI: DEBORAH
- 3 BORZELLERI, COUNSEL TO THE BOARD.
- 4 I WILL BE DESCRIBING THE LEGAL
- 5 FRAMEWORK FOR THE NEWSPRINT -- RECYCLED CONTENT
- 6 NEWSPRINT LAW AND THE ESTABLISHED PENALTIES
- 7 THAT THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED FOR ASSESSING CIVIL
- 8 PENALTIES.
- 9 FIRST OF ALL, THE RECYCLED CONTENT
- 10 NEWSPRINT LAW, WHICH IS FOUND IN PUBLIC
- 11 RESOURCES CODE SECTION 42750 THROUGH 42791,
- 12 APPLIES TO CONSUMERS OF NEWSPRINT IN
- 13 CALIFORNIA.
- 14 A CONSUMER OF NEWSPRINT IS ANY
- 15 PERSON WHO RUNS A -- WHO USES NEWSPRINT IN A
- 16 COMMERCIAL PRINTING OR PUBLISHING OPERATION.
- 17 THE LAW REQUIRES EVERY CONSUMER OF
- 18 NEWSPRINT WITHIN THE STATE TO CERTIFY TO THE
- 19 BOARD BY MARCH 1 OF EACH YEAR THE NUMBER OF
- 20 TONS OF NEWSPRINT USED AND THE NUMBER OF TONS
- 21 OF RECYCLED CONTENT NEWSPRINT USED DURING THE
- 22 PRECEDING CALENDAR YEAR SO THAT THE BOARD CAN
- 23 CALCULATE THAT PERCENTAGE.
- 24 RECYCLED NEWSPRINT MEANS NEWSPRINT
- 25 IN WHICH NOT LESS THAN 40 PERCENT OF ITS FIBER

- 1 CONSISTS OF POST-CONSUMER CONTENT.
- 2 THE PROGRAM IS SET UP SO THAT THE
- 3 PERCENTAGE REQUIREMENT INCREASES EVERY TWO
- 4 YEARS, AND THE PERCENTAGE REQUIREMENT FOR 1997,
- 5 WHICH IS THE YEAR IN QUESTION FOR THIS HEARING,
- 6 IS 35 PERCENT.
- 7 PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION
- 8 42791 ALSO ALLOWS THE BOARD TO ASSESS
- 9 ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL PENALTIES NOT TO EXCEED
- 10 \$1,000 FOR EACH VIOLATION OF THE STATUTE
- 11 SUBJECT TO NOTICE AND HEARING.
- 12 AT ITS OCTOBER 1997 MEETING, THE
- 13 BOARD ADOPTED HEARING PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES
- 14 FOR ASSESSING CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER THE
- 15 NEWSPRINT LAW.
- 16 BASED ON THOSE GUIDELINES, ON A
- 17 CASE-BY-CASE BASIS, THE BOARD HAS DETERMINED IT
- 18 WILL ASSESS CIVIL PENALTIES USING THE FOLLOWING
- 19 CRITERIA:
- 20 NUMBER ONE -- AND RICK IS GOING TO
- 21 GO OVER THIS A LITTLE BIT MORE LATER IN HIS
- 22 PRESENTATION, BUT I JUST WANT TO SET THAT OUT
- 23 FOR NOW -- NUMBER ONE IS THE VIOLATOR'S GOOD
- 24 FAITH EFFORTS TO COMPLY OR LACK OF GOOD FAITH.
- 25 NUMBER 2 IS THE VIOLATOR'S DEGREE

- 1 OF WILLINGNESS TO COMPLY WITH THE LAW.
- 2 NUMBER THREE IS THE VIOLATOR'S
- 3 HISTORY OF COMPLIANCE.
- 4 NUMBER 4 IS THE VIOLATOR'S IMPACT
- 5 ON THE RECYCLED CONTENT NEWSPRINT MARKET.
- 6 NUMBER 5 IS THE SIZE OF THE
- 7 VIOLATOR'S OPERATION, WHICH RELATES TO THE
- 8 VIOLATOR'S ABILITY TO PAY THE PENALTY.
- 9 AND NUMBER 6 IS ANY OTHER UNIQUE
- 10 CIRCUMSTANCES THE BOARD DETERMINES ARE RELEVANT
- 11 AND SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL
- 12 ENFORCEMENT ACTION BROUGHT FORWARD.
- 13 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. NOW WE
- 15 WILL HEAR FROM RICK MULLER.
- MR. MULLER: GOOD AFTERNOON,
- 17 MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS. FOR THE RECORD MY
- 18 NAME IS RICK MULLER, AND I HAVE BEEN A PROGRAM
- 19 LEAD FOR THE NEWSPRINT PROGRAM SINCE 1993.
- 20 I WOULD LIKE TO COVER A LITTLE BIT
- 21 ABOUT THE COMPLIANCE HISTORY AND ALSO ISSUES
- 22 RELATED TO THE COLLECTION OF PAST FINES.
- 23 ON JANUARY 29TH, 1998, THE BOARD
- 24 LEVIED CIVIL PENALTIES AGAINST PUBLISHERS'
- 25 PRINTING SERVICE, INC., WHICH IS DOING BUSINESS

- 1 AS DAY AND NIGHT, FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE
- 2 RECYCLED CONTENT NEWSPRINT LAW DURING 1994,
- 3 1995, AND 1996, AND BOARD STAFF ARE ATTEMPTING
- 4 TO -- OR ARE PURSUING THE COLLECTION OF THIS
- 5 FINE.
- 6 BOARD STAFF IS FOLLOWING
- 7 PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN THE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE
- 8 MANUAL, THE SAM MANUAL, SECTION 8776.6, TO
- 9 COLLECT THIS FINE.
- 10 PUBLISHERS' PRINTING SERVICE WAS
- 11 FIRST INVOICED \$3,000 ON APRIL 6, 1998, AND THE
- 12 SAM REQUIRES A SERIES OF THREE DEMAND LETTERS
- 13 OR COLLECTION LETTERS BE SENT AT 30, 60, AND
- 14 90-DAY INCREMENTS IF PAYMENT IS NOT RECEIVED
- 15 WITHIN 30 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THE ORIGINAL
- 16 INVOICE, AND WE DID NOT RECEIVE ANY PAYMENT.
- 17 SO THE FINAL DEMAND LETTER WENT
- 18 OUT ON OCTOBER 30TH, 1998, SO IF NO PAYMENT IS
- 19 RECEIVED 30 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL
- 20 LETTER AND WE RECEIVE NO WRITTEN APPEAL FROM
- 21 THE COMPANY, BOARD STAFF CAN BEGIN TO PURSUE
- 22 COLLECTION EFFORTS FOR THIS FINE.
- 23 BOARD STAFF WILL EVALUATE A NUMBER
- 24 OF OPTIONS PROVIDED FOR IN THE SAM, AND THESE
- 25 OPTIONS INCLUDE PURSUING CIVIL PENALTIES, OR

- 1 CIVIL JUDGMENTS AND LIENS ON BUSINESS
- 2 PROPERTIES, I SHOULD SAY, AND CONTRACTING WITH
- 3 OTHER STATE DEPARTMENTS AND OUTSIDE COLLECTION
- 4 AGENCIES.
- 5 IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE
- 6 GUIDANCE PROVIDED FOR IN THE SAM IS BASICALLY
- 7 TO PURSUE THE MOST COST-EFFECTIVE MEANS OF
- 8 COLLECTING THE FINE, AND I DON'T BELIEVE THE
- 9 FINAL DECISION HAS BEEN MADE YET ON WHICH
- 10 OPTION THEY ARE GOING TO PURSUE.
- 11 CONCERNING THE CURRENT STATUS,
- 12 COMPLIANCE STATUS, OF DAY AND NIGHT, WE HAVE NO
- 13 RECORD OF HAVING RECEIVED THE 1997
- 14 CERTIFICATION, AND I WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT A
- 15 TIME LINE JUST TO SHOW THE EFFORTS THAT BOARD
- 16 STAFF MADE IN TRYING TO COLLECT -- OR TRYING TO
- 17 GET THEM TO SUBMIT THEIR CERTIFICATION.
- 18 DURING THE FIRST WEEK OF JANUARY
- 19 1998 —
- 20 MS. TOBIAS: RICK, CAN YOU ZOOM IN ON
- 21 A PARAGRAPH AT A TIME AND MAGNIFY IT? I DON'T
- 22 KNOW -- OR DID YOU GIVE COPIES TO THE BOARD?
- 23 BECAUSE REALLY IT'S FAIRLY UNREADABLE. I THINK
- 24 IF YOU ZOOM IN ON ONE PARAGRAPH AT A TIME, WE
- 25 CAN AT LEAST SEE THAT ON THE SCREEN. THANK

- 1 YOU.
- MR. MULLER: I WILL MOVE IT UP AS I
- 3 NEED TO. THANK YOU FOR TELLING ME ABOUT THAT.
- 4 DURING THE FIRST WEEK OF JANUARY,
- 5 WE MAILED OUT A PACKET TO DAY AND NIGHT, WHICH
- 6 INCLUDED THE BLANK CERTIFICATION FORM,
- 7 REGULATIONS, AND INFORMATION HELPFUL IN
- 8 COMPLETING THE FORM, AND IT EVEN INCLUDED A
- 9 HELP LINE TELEPHONE NUMBER THEY COULD CALL IF
- 10 THEY HAD PROBLEMS IN COMPLETING IT.
- 11 THE DEADLINE FOR THE CERTIFICATION
- 12 WAS MARCH 1ST, 1998, AND WE DIDN'T RECEIVE ANY
- 13 FORM BY THAT DATE, SO ON JULY 14TH, 1998, WE
- 14 MAILED THE FINAL WARNING LETTER BY CERTIFIED
- 15 MAIL, AND THE LETTER WAS SENT TO MR. DAVID
- 16 SCHAFER, THE PRESIDENT OF DAY AND NIGHT.
- 17 THE LETTER PROVIDED INFORMATION ON
- 18 COMPLETING THE CERTIFICATION FORM AND ALSO
- 19 CONTAINED A CLEAR WARNING OF THE PENALTIES FOR
- 20 NONCOMPLIANCE. AGAIN WE INCLUDED A BLANK
- 21 CERTIFICATION FORM, AS WELL AS RELEVANT
- 22 STATUTES AND REGULATIONS.
- 23 THEN ON OR ABOUT JULY 28TH, 1998,
- 24 I PERSONALLY ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT MR. DAVID
- 25 SCHAFER BY TELEPHONE, AND I WASN'T ABLE TO

- 1 REACH HIM, BUT I DID LEAVE A MESSAGE WITH HIS
- 2 SECRETARY, AND I AGAIN REMINDED HIM OF THE NEED
- 3 TO COMPLETE THE CERTIFICATION AND ALSO ADVISED
- 4 HIM OF THE BOARD MEETING, WHICH WAS GOING TO
- 5 OCCUR AUGUST 13TH, 1998, IN WHICH THERE WAS A
- 6 POSSIBILITY THAT THE BOARD MAY WANT TO -- MAY
- 7 DIRECT STAFF TO PURSUE ENFORCEMENT ACTION.
- 8 AND FINALLY, HAVING NOT RECEIVED
- 9 THE CERTIFICATION FOLLOWING THIS TELEPHONE
- 10 CALL, WE FINALLY SENT A NOTICE OF PUBLIC
- 11 HEARING OUT ON OCTOBER 15TH.
- 12 I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A SORT OF A
- 13 PREEMPTIVE COMMENT HERE, BECAUSE I THINK THERE
- 14 COULD BE A QUESTION ABOUT THIS.
- 15 WHY IS IT THAT WE ONLY MADE ONE
- 16 TELEPHONE CALL, AND WHY THE DELAY WHEN IN
- 17 PREVIOUS YEARS WE HAD MADE NUMEROUS TELEPHONE
- 18 CALLS FOR ALL LATE CONSUMERS. IN FACT IN SOME
- 19 CASES I RECALL MAKING AS MANY AS 12 CALLS FOR
- 20 THE 1996 REPORTING YEAR.
- 21 AND THE REASON FOR THIS IS THAT
- 22 THE PRINTING INDUSTRIES OF CALIFORNIA OFFERED
- 23 TO HELP US IN THE AREA OF COMPLIANCE FOLLOW-UP,
- 24 AND THEY MADE A LOT OF THESE CALLS FOR US AND
- 25 SENT LETTERS, AND THEY INFORMED ME THAT THEY

- 1 DID CONTACT DAY AND NIGHT IN THEIR PUBLIC
- 2 RELATIONS EFFORTS.
- 3 SO WE DIDN'T WANT TO -- WE WANTED
- 4 TO GIVE INDUSTRY AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHOW WHAT
- 5 THEY COULD DO, AND WE DIDN'T WANT TO CROSS
- 6 WIRES WITH THEM, SO THAT'S THE REASON THAT WE
- 7 ONLY MADE THE ONE PHONE CALL.
- 8 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE NOW TO THE
- 9 STAFF RECOMMENDATION, AND I'M GOING TO SHOW THE
- 10 CRITERIA THAT DEBORAH TALKED ABOUT EARLIER AS
- 11 WELL.
- 12 THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS TO
- 13 ADOPT RESOLUTION 98-373, WHICH IMPOSES THE
- 14 MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ON THE VIOLATOR OF
- 15 \$1,000, AND THIS IS FOR VIOLATION FOR 1997,
- 16 HAVING NOT FILED A CERTIFICATION FOR THAT YEAR.
- 17 IN LOOKING AT THE CRITERIA, I
- 18 WOULD LIKE TO REITERATE JUST BRIEFLY, THE
- 19 CRITERIA ARE THE VIOLATOR'S GOOD FAITH EFFORTS
- 20 TO COMPLY OR LACK OF GOOD FAITH, THE VIOLATOR'S
- 21 DEGREE OF WILLINGNESS TO COMPLY, THE VIOLATOR'S
- 22 HISTORY OF COMPLIANCE, THE VIOLATOR'S IMPACT ON
- 23 THE RECYCLED CONTENT NEWSPRINT MARKET, THE SIZE
- 24 OF THE VIOLATOR'S OPERATION, AND ANY OTHER
- 25 UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT THE BOARD DETERMINES

- 1 ARE RELEVANT AND SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR EACH
- 2 INDIVIDUAL ENFORCEMENT ACTION BROUGHT FORWARD.
- 3 IN LOOKING AT THESE CRITERIA,
- 4 BOARD STAFF ONLY REALLY FOUND ONE CRITERION,
- 5 NO. 4, THE VIOLATOR'S IMPACT ON THE RECYCLED
- 6 CONTENT NEWSPRINT MARKET. IT REALLY SEEMED TO
- 7 ARGUE THAT PERHAPS THEY SHOULDN'T GET THE
- 8 MAXIMUM FINE.
- 9 WE BELIEVE THE NEWSPRINT PORTION
- 10 OF THIS OPERATION IS RELATIVELY SMALL AND HAS
- 11 NO MAJOR IMPACT ON THE RECYCLED CONTENT
- 12 NEWSPRINT MARKET; HOWEVER, IN BOARD STAFF'S
- 13 CONSIDERATION, THE OTHER FACTORS FAR OUTWEIGH
- 14 THIS, AND FOR THAT REASON WE WANTED TO
- 15 RECOMMEND THE MAXIMUM FINE.
- 16 AND IN PARTICULAR I WOULD LIKE TO
- 17 POINT OUT THE LONG HISTORY OF NONCOMPLIANCE,
- 18 FOUR CONSECUTIVE YEARS OF NOT SUBMITTING THE
- 19 CERTIFICATION AND WHAT SEEMS TO BE JUST A
- 20 GENERAL LACK OF GOOD FAITH.
- 21 SO AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO
- 22 SUBMIT SOME DOCUMENTS INTO THE ADMINISTRATIVE
- 23 RECORD, AND I HAVE -- I WOULD LIKE TO READ THE
- 24 DOCUMENTS THAT I'M GOING TO SUBMIT INTO THE
- 25 ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD AT THIS TIME.

- 1 THESE ARE ACTUALLY EXHIBITS 1
- 2 THROUGH 6, AND EXHIBIT 1 IS ENTITLED AGENDA
- 3 ITEM, PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF
- 4 ENFORCEMENT ACTION AGAINST NEWSPRINT CONSUMER
- 5 PUBLISHERS' PRINTING SERVICE, INC., DOING
- 6 BUSINESS AS DAY AND NIGHT, FOR FAILURE TO FILE
- 7 A 1997 NEWSPRINT CONSUMER CERTIFICATION, PUBLIC
- 8 RESOURCES CODE SECTION 42791.
- 9 EXHIBIT 2 IS A FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
- 10 NAME STATEMENT DATED APRIL 17TH, 1995, AND THIS
- 11 DOCUMENT REVEALS THAT PUBLISHERS' PRINTING
- 12 SERVICE IS DOING BUSINESS AS DAY AND NIGHT AND
- 13 ALSO IDENTIFIES PAMELA SCHAFER AS THE PRESIDENT
- 14 OF THE CORPORATION.
- 15 ITEM 3 IS A FOLLOW-UP LETTER,
- 16 RETURN RECEIPT DOCUMENTATION. THIS IS THE
- 17 FINAL WARNING LETTER THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER.
- 18 ITEM OR EXHIBIT 4 IS NEWSPRINT
- 19 PROGRAM TELEPHONE LOG. THIS IS THE LOG THAT
- 20 INDICATES THAT WE DID MAKE A FOLLOW-UP
- 21 TELEPHONE CALL ON JULY 28TH, 1998.
- 22 EXHIBIT 5 IS PROOF OF SERVICE BY
- 23 MAIL CONCERNING NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING TO
- 24 CONSIDER IMPOSITION OF ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL
- 25 PENALTIES IN THE MATTER OF PUBLISHERS' PRINTING

- 1 SERVICE, INC.
- 2 EXHIBIT SIX IS RETURN RECEIPT
- 3 DOCUMENTATION CONCERNING NOTICE OF PUBLIC
- 4 HEARING TO CONSIDER IMPOSITION OF
- 5 ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL PENALTIES IN THE MATTER OF
- 6 PUBLISHERS' PRINTING SERVICE, INC.
- 7 I WOULD LIKE TO NOW HAND THESE
- 8 DOCUMENTS TO THE COURT REPORTER AND ALSO COPIES
- 9 TO THE SECRETARY.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 11 [EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 6 SUBMITTED.]
- MR. MULLER: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO
- 13 ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE PRESENTATION OR
- 14 THE DOCUMENTS THAT I JUST SUBMITTED INTO THE
- 15 RECORD AT THIS TIME, IF THERE ARE ANY.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 17 THERE DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE.
- 18 OKAY. ONCE AGAIN I WILL ASK IF
- 19 THERE IS A REPRESENTATIVE TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF
- 20 PUBLISHERS' PRINTING SERVICE.
- 21 OKAY. IF NOT, I WOULD SAY THE
- 22 PUBLISHERS' PRINTING SERVICE, INC., DOING
- 23 BUSINESS AS DAY AND NIGHT, IS IN VIOLATION OF
- 24 THE RECYCLED CONTENT NEWSPRINT LAW, PUBLIC
- 25 RESOURCES CODE 42770, FOR THE YEAR 1997.

- 1 IN ACCORDANCE WITH PUBLIC
- 2 RESOURCES CODE SECTION 42791, THE BOARD HAS THE
- 3 AUTHORITY TO ASSESS A PENALTY OF UP TO \$1,000
- 4 PER VIOLATION.
- 5 AS THE PUBLISHERS' PRINTING
- 6 SERVICE, INC., HAS NOT MADE AN EFFORT TO COMPLY
- 7 WITH THE LAW, I MOVE THAT THE BOARD ADOPT
- 8 RESOLUTION 98-373 TO ASSESS A CIVIL PENALTY OF
- 9 \$1,000 AGAINST PUBLISHERS' PRINTING SERVICE FOR
- 10 VIOLATING SECTION 42770 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES
- 11 CODE.
- 12 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 14 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, PENNINGTON
- 15 MOVES, JONES SECONDS, ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION
- 16 98-373.
- 17 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 18 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- 19 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 24 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?

- 1 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 4 THE MOTION CARRIES, AND WE
- 5 WILL DIRECT STAFF TO PLEASE PREPARE AND ISSUE
- 6 AN ORDER OF THE BOARD'S DECISION WITHIN 30
- 7 DAYS.
- 8 THAT CONCLUDES THE BOARD'S HEARING,
- 9 AND THE BOARD IS NOW --
- 10 MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES.
- 12 MEMBER CHESBRO: ONE THING I WANTED TO
- 13 MENTION THAT I HAVE NOTICED TODAY AND I WAS
- 14 VAGUELY AWARE OF DURING MY LEAVE WAS THE LACK
- 15 OF THE PREVIOUSLY EXISTING COMMITTEE STRUCTURE.
- 16 AND I JUST WANTED TO SAY AS ONE OF
- 17 MY PARTING COMMENTS BEFORE I LEAVE, THAT WHILE
- 18 IT WAS LESS THAN PERFECT, AND CERTAINLY THERE
- 19 ARE PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH IT, SEVERAL OF THE
- 20 ISSUES TODAY I FELT IT WAS NOTICEABLE THE LACK
- 21 OF AN INDIVIDUAL BOARD MEMBER AS A COMMITTEE
- 22 CHAIR HAVING BEEN WORKING WITH STAFF, CLOSELY
- 23 MONITORING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE BOARD'S
- 24 CONCERNS WERE REPRESENTED IN THE WAY THAT HAS
- 25 HAPPENED IN THE PAST WITH PARTICULAR SUBJECTS.

- 1 AND I DON'T WANT TO PICK OUT WHICH
- 2 ONE IT WAS, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO PICK ON ANY
- 3 PARTICULAR STAFF OR THE BOARD, BUT I DO THINK
- 4 THAT THAT CLOSER INVOLVEMENT WITH INDIVIDUAL
- 5 ISSUE AREAS WITH THE SPECIALIZATION OF BOARD
- 6 MEMBERS WAS A GREAT VALUE THAT IS NOTICEABLE BY
- 7 ITS ABSENCE RIGHT NOW.
- 8 SO I WON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO SAY
- 9 ABOUT IT, BUT I WOULD JUST ENCOURAGE YOU TO --
- 10 I KNOW THE CURRENT STATUS IS INTENDED TO BE
- 11 TEMPORARY, AND THERE IS GOING TO BE A FURTHER
- 12 DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT TO DO, BUT REMOVING MY
- 13 PERSONAL INTEREST COMPLETELY FROM THE
- 14 SITUATION, I STILL THINK THAT THERE IS A REAL
- 15 VALUE TO THAT STRUCTURE, AND ALSO THE
- 16 PRECONSIDERATION AND PREDIGESTION THAT GOES ON
- 17 IN AN ISSUE BEFORE IT GETS TO THE BOARD LEVEL,
- 18 SO I WOULD URGE MY COLLEAGUES STRONGLY TO TAKE
- 19 A LOOK AT THE VALUES OF A COMMITTEE STRUCTURE,
- 20 HOWEVER IT GETS CONFIGURED WHEN THAT TIME
- 21 COMES.
- 22 I JUST WANTED TO LEAVE YOU WITH
- 23 THAT THOUGHT.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WELL, THANK YOU,
- 25 MR. CHESBRO, AND WE DO APPRECIATE YOUR INPUT IN

- 1 THIS. AS ONE WHO HAS BEEN HERE FOR EIGHT AND A
- 2 HALF YEARS, WE DO VALUE YOUR INPUT. WE HAD
- 3 INTENDED TO BRING THIS BACK TO THE BOARD IN
- 4 JANUARY, AND I'M SURE THAT WE WILL.
- 5 I MUST TELL YOU THAT WE HAVE
- 6 GOTTEN A LOT OF -- A GOOD RECEPTION FROM THE
- 7 PUBLIC ABOUT DOING IT THIS WAY. I THINK THE
- 8 STAFF HAS MIXED EMOTIONS ABOUT DOING IT THIS
- 9 WAY, AND I REALLY HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO TALK
- 10 TO MY FELLOW COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD AS TO
- 11 WHERE THEY STAND ON IT.
- 12 MEMBER CHESBRO: THAT'S WHY I TOOK MY
- 13 SHOT WHILE I HAVE A CHANCE.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I APPRECIATE IT,
- 15 AND I THINK IT IS WORTH LOOKING AT AGAIN.
- 16 MEMBER CHESBRO: THANK YOU.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SO WE CERTAINLY
- 18 WILL DO THAT.
- 19 I GUESS I HAVE TO ASK IS THERE ANY
- 20 PUBLIC COMMENT.
- 21 IF NOT, WE ARE RECESSED UNTIL
- 22 TOMORROW AT 9:30. I WOULD LIKE ALL THE BOARD
- 23 TO STAY HERE BECAUSE MR. FRITH WANTS TO GET A
- 24 PICTURE OF US.
- 25 [ADJOURNED FOR THE DAY.]

1	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
2	THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1998, 9:40 A.M.
3	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: GOOD MORNING AND
4 WELCOME TO THE	NOVEMBER 19TH MEETING OF THE
5 CALIFORNIA INT	TEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD.
6	WOULD THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL,
7 PLEASE.	
8	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
9	MEMBER CHESBRO: HERE.
10	THE SECRETARY: EATON?
11	MEMBER EATON: HERE.
12	THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
13 ABSENT.	
14 JONES?	
15	MEMBER JONES: HERE.
16	THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
17	MEMBER RHOADS: HERE.
18	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
19	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: HERE.
20 WE HAVE A QUORUM.	
21 MR. FRAZEE IS	S ABSENT AND WILL BE
22 ABSENT ALL DAY, SO WE WILL NOT CALL HIS NAME	
23 DURING ROLL CALLS.	
24 EX PARTES, WE WILL START WITH	

25 MEMBER EATON.

- 1 MEMBER EATON: I HAVE NOTHING THAT
- 2 PERTAINS TO TODAY'S AGENDA THAT'S NOT ENTERED
- 3 ALREADY.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: WE HAD A COUPLE, ONE
- 6 FROM JIM DEWEESE FROM BUTTE COUNTY, A LITTLE
- 7 BIT ABOUT THEIR ITEM, AND THEN I GOT A CALL
- 8 THIS MORNING FROM MIKE LEGGINS TALKING ABOUT
- 9 WHAT HAPPENED AT THE ARCATA CITY COUNCIL IN
- 10 REGARDS TO AN ALLEGATION ABOUT MY INTEGRITY.
- 11 AND THEN I RECEIVED A FAX FROM
- 12 CARLOS BENEMAN, WHO IS THE MAYOR OF FERNDALE
- 13 AND ALSO SITS ON THE JPA, WHO SAYS THAT AFTER
- 14 HE MADE THE STATEMENTS THAT I WAS A SERIOUS
- 15 STAKEHOLDER IN NORCAL, HE FOUND OUT LATER THAT
- 16 IN FACT IT HAD BEEN TAKEN CARE OF.
- 17 I'M READING THAT INTO THE RECORD
- 18 NOW, BUT IT'S GOING TO BE THE SUBJECT OF SOME
- 19 MORE DISCUSSION, BECAUSE MY FPPC STATEMENTS
- 20 HAVE BEEN ON FILE FOR TWO YEARS, AND THE FACT
- 21 THAT I WORKED FOR NORCAL AND DISCLOSED IT AT
- 22 EVERY HEARING WE HAD ON HUMBOLDT COUNTY AND
- 23 THEN BE ACCUSED IN A PUBLIC MEETING THAT I AM A
- 24 SERIOUS SHAREHOLDER IN THAT COMPANY, I'M GOING
- 25 TO NEED SOME LEGAL ADVICE ABOUT SLANDER. AND

- 1 IT'S TYPICAL.
- 2 THOSE ARE THE END OF MY EX PARTES.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 4 MR. CHESBRO.
- 5 MEMBER CHESBRO: LET'S SEE. I HAVE,
- 6 AND I ASSUME OTHERS RECEIVED THEM TOO, A FAXED
- 7 LETTER THAT WAS RECEIVED FROM THE MAYOR OF
- 8 ARCATA, JIM TEST, REGARDING THE ITEM A, THE
- 9 HUMBOLDT COUNTY TRANSFER STATION PERMIT, AND A
- 10 NEW LETTER FROM JEFFREY KNAPP OF THE UNITED
- 11 NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE OF HUMBOLDT COUNTY, WHICH
- 12 WAS ON THE SAME ITEM.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 14 THANK YOU.
- 15 MR. RHOADS?
- 16 MEMBER RHOADS: I HAVE THAT SAME
- 17 LETTER.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 19 MEMBER EATON: AND MR. CHAIR, I JUST
- 20 RECEIVED THE LETTER FROM THE CITY OF ARCATA
- 21 FROM THE MAYOR, BUT NOT THE OTHER LETTER.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. VERY
- 23 GOOD.
- 24 I TOO HAVE THE LETTER FROM
- 25 MR. TEST, THE MAYOR OF ARCATA, AND THE LETTER

- 1 FROM JEFF KNAPP, UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE
- 2 FOR HUMBOLDT COUNTY.
- 3 OKAY. AGAIN THERE ARE SPEAKER
- 4 FORMS IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM. IF ANYBODY
- 5 WISHES TO ADDRESS ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA THIS
- 6 MORNING, PLEASE FILL ONE OUT AND GET IT TO
- 7 MS. KELLY, AND WE WILL MAKE SURE THAT YOU HAVE
- 8 AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES.
- 9 WE ARE GOING TO TAKE UP THE ITEM
- 10 NO. 2, ACTUALLY, OR ITEM NO. 11, FIRST, WHICH
- 11 IS THE CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO
- 12 CHANGE THE BASE-YEAR TO 1995 FOR THE PREVIOUSLY
- 13 APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT
- 14 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF BUTTE COUNTY.
- 15 JUDY FRIEDMAN.
- 16 AGENDA ITEM NO. 11
- 17 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 18 PENNINGTON AND BOARD MEMBERS.
- 19 YASMIN SATTER WILL BE MAKING THE
- 20 PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
- 21 MS. SATTER: GOOD MORNING,
- 22 MR. CHAIRMAN AND BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS
- 23 YASMIN SATTER.
- 24 THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF BUTTE
- 25 COUNTY HAS REQUESTED TO CHANGE ITS BASE-YEAR

- 1 FROM 1990 TO 1995. STAFF HAS DETERMINED THAT
- 2 THE REQUEST WAS ADEQUATELY DOCUMENTED. THE
- 3 BASE-YEAR CORRECTION IS SHOWN IN THE TABLE
- 4 PROVIDED IN THE AGENDA ITEM.
- 5 THE JURISDICTION BELIEVES THAT THE
- 6 BASE-YEAR GENERATION AMOUNT REPORTED IN THE
- 7 JURISDICTION'S SOURCE REDUCTION RECYCLING
- 8 ELEMENT WAS INACCURATE AND ITS INACCURACY WAS
- 9 THE END RESULT OF THREE FACTORS.
- 10 NUMBER ONE, THE ENTIRE BUTTE
- 11 COUNTY'S DISPOSAL TONNAGE WAS USED INSTEAD OF
- 12 JUST THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS.
- 13 TWO, DISPOSAL TONNAGE OF WOOD BASE
- 14 AND ASH FROM THE LOUISIANA-PACIFIC LANDFILL WAS
- 15 NOT INCLUDED IN THE JURISDICTION'S BASE-YEAR
- 16 GENERATION AMOUNT.
- 17 THREE, NO SCALES WERE AVAILABLE IN
- 18 1990, AND THE CONVERSION FROM VOLUME TO WEIGHT
- 19 HAD RESULTED IN AN BASE-YEAR GENERATION AMOUNT.
- 20 NOW THE COUNTY HAS INSTALLED SCALES AT THE NEAL
- 21 ROAD LANDFILL; THEREFORE, THE 1995 DISPOSAL
- 22 TONNAGE IS BELIEVED TO BE MORE ACCURATE.
- 23 USING THE UPDATED 1995 GENERATION
- 24 AMOUNT, STAFF HAS CALCULATED JURISDICTION'S
- 25 DIVERSION RATE TO BE APPROXIMATELY 16.4

- 1 PERCENT.
- 2 USING THE 1995 AS A BASE-YEAR AND
- 3 APPLYING THE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS, THE
- 4 JURISDICTION'S 1996 DIVERSION RATE IS 28
- 5 PERCENT.
- 6 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 7 WILL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, AND ALSO
- 8 MR. DEWEESE FROM BUTTE COUNTY IS IN THE
- 9 AUDIENCE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 11 ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF?
- 12 OKAY. IF NOT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A
- 13 MOTION.
- 14 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 16 MEMBER JONES: I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO
- 17 ADOPT RESOLUTION 98-379.
- 18 MEMBER EATON: I'LL SECOND THAT
- 19 MOTION.
- 20 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: JUST A BRIEF COMMENT.
- 23 I THINK THAT THE ARTIFICIALLY HIGH NUMBER WOULD
- 24 HAVE ALLOWED BUTTE COUNTY TO PROBABLY GO
- 25 WITHOUT DOING PROGRAMS, AND I THINK IT'S PRETTY

- 1 COMMENDABLE THAT THE COUNTY STAFF SAW THAT THE
- 2 NUMBER WAS WRONG, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE
- 3 THE DIVERSION THEY NEED, AND THEY ARE GOING TO
- 4 HAVE TO DO PROGRAMS.
- 5 IT'S KIND OF IRONIC, BECAUSE IT
- 6 WAS THE SAME ISSUE WE DEALT WITH YESTERDAY AT
- 7 TULARE AS TO WERE THEY GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO
- 8 PROGRAMS, SO I'M GLAD TO MAKE THIS MOTION. I
- 9 THINK IT'S PROBABLY THE RIGHT THING TO DO AND
- 10 THE WAY THAT THIS LAW SHOULD BE LOOKED AT.
- 11 THANKS FOR INDULGING ME.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 13 OKAY. IT'S BEEN MOVED BY
- 14 MR. JONES, SECONDED BY MR. EATON, THE ADOPTION
- 15 OF RESOLUTION 98-379.
- 16 IF THERE ARE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS,
- 17 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO?
- 19 MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: EATON?
- 21 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 23 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 3 MOTION CARRIES.
- 4 WE WILL NOW MOVE TO A CLOSED
- 5 SESSION TO TALK ABOUT LITIGATION AND PERSONNEL
- 6 MATTERS.
- 7 MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES.
- 9 MEMBER CHESBRO: I DON'T KNOW IF THIS
- 10 WOULD BE REVEALING ANY OF THE CONTENT OF THE
- 11 CLOSED SESSION. I'M JUMPING AHEAD AND
- 12 PRESUMING WHAT IT IS, SO I'M GOING TO MAKE AN
- 13 ANNOUNCEMENT, IF I MAY.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES. GO AHEAD,
- 15 MR. CHESBRO.
- 16 MEMBER CHESBRO: SINCE I PROBABLY
- 17 WON'T BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CLOSED
- 18 SESSION.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 20 MEMBER CHESBRO: I HAVE BEEN INFORMED
- 21 THAT IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA TO RECUSE MYSELF
- 22 FROM VOTING OR PARTICIPATING IN THE BOARD'S
- 23 ACTION ON THE NEXT ITEM REGARDING THE HUMBOLDT
- 24 COUNTY PERMIT.
- 25 I WAS RECENTLY INFORMED THAT THE

- 1 MERE FACT THAT MY HOME IN ARCATA IS CLOSE TO
- 2 THE PROPOSED PROJECT CREATES A LEGAL
- 3 PRESUMPTION OF A CONFLICT.
- 4 CALIFORNIA LAW PROHIBITS APPOINTED
- 5 OR ELECTED OFFICIALS FROM PARTICIPATING IN
- 6 ACTIONS IN WHICH THEY MAY HAVE A CONFLICT OF
- 7 INTEREST, AND UNFORTUNATELY THE LAW ASSUMES
- 8 THAT BECAUSE MY HOME IS LOCATED WITHIN 2500
- 9 FEET OF THE PROPOSED TRANSFER FACILITY, IT'S
- 10 REALLY NOT A GOOD IDEA FOR ME TO PARTICIPATE IN
- 11 THIS ITEM.
- 12 ACCORDING TO OUR ATTORNEY, THE
- 13 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION REGULATIONS
- 14 ARE QUITE SPECIFIC TO INCLUDE REAL PROPERTY
- 15 THAT IS WITHIN 2500 FEET OF A PROPOSED PROJECT.
- 16 AND SO AS DIFFICULT AS IT IS FOR
- 17 ME, WITH THIS FACILITY BEING IN MY OWN
- 18 NEIGHBORHOOD, FACED WITH THIS LEGAL REQUIREMENT
- 19 I WILL BE RECUSING MYSELF AND NOT PARTICIPATING
- 20 IN CONSIDERATION OF THIS MATTER IN ORDER TO
- 21 AVOID THE POSSIBILITY OF A CONFLICT OF
- 22 INTEREST.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU,
- 24 MR. CHESBRO, AND WE APPRECIATE THE POSITION YOU
- 25 ARE IN, AND I KNOW MY COLLEAGUES AGREE THAT WE

- 1 WILL MISS YOUR COUNSEL, BUT AGREE THAT YOU ARE
- 2 PROBABLY CORRECT.
- 3 MEMBER CHESBRO: I'M SURE THE LAWYER
- 4 WILL CRINGE WHEN I START TO TALK AGAIN, BUT
- 5 IT'S VERY ODD TO SPEND EIGHT AND A HALF YEARS
- 6 MAKING DECISIONS ON THESE THINGS. I MEAN THIS
- 7 IS I GUESS WHY YOU HAVE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST
- 8 LAW. BUT SUDDENLY IT'S ONE THAT REALLY DOES
- 9 AFFECT ONE'S WELL-BEING, AND YOU CAN'T DO
- 10 ANYTHING ABOUT IT.
- 11 SO MAKE A REALLY WISE DECISION,
- 12 OKAY?
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AS WE ALWAYS DO.
- 14 [RECESS.]
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. LET'S
- 16 COME TO ORDER HERE AND WE WILL MOVE ON.
- 17 I HAVE ONE LETTER FROM MICHAEL
- 18 MACHI FROM ARCATA THAT WE JUST RECEIVED, AND
- 19 IT'S TO ME AND ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS, SO I
- 20 WOULD LIKE TO EX PARTE THAT.
- 21 OKAY. WE ARE GOING TO MOVE NOW TO
- 22 ITEM A, PREVIOUS BUSINESS, CONTINUING BUSINESS,
- 23 SOME KIND OF BUSINESS, I WON'T TELL YOU WHAT I
- 24 REALLY THINK IT IS, RECONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL
- 25 OF A NEW SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE

- 1 HUMBOLDT COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY'S
- 2 TEMPORARY TRANSFER STATION IN HUMBOLDT COUNTY.
- 3 WE WILL START WITH JULIE NAUMAN.
- 4 <u>AGENDA ITEM A</u>
- 5 MS. TOBIAS: I'M NOT SURE WE WERE
- 6 ANTICIPATING A STAFF PRESENTATION BUT MORE THE
- 7 PRESENTATION BY THE PARTIES ON THE ISSUE.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THAT'S
- 9 FINE. WE WILL GO RIGHT INTO PUBLIC COMMENTS,
- 10 AND WE WILL START WITH AARON ISHERWOOD.
- 11 MS. TOBIAS: MR. CHAIR, CAN I TALK TO
- 12 COUNSEL FOR JUST A SECOND? I WANT TO CLARIFY
- 13 SOMETHING BEFORE WE START THE PRESENTATION.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. AARON
- 15 ISHERWOOD.
- MR. ISHERWOOD: GOOD MORNING,
- 17 MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. MY NAME IS
- 18 AARON ISHERWOOD, AND I'M WITH SHUTE, MIHALY AND
- 19 WEINBERGER, A LAW FIRM THAT REPRESENTS THE
- 20 UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE OF HUMBOLDT
- 21 COUNTY.
- 22 THE FIRST THING I WANT TO SAY, AS
- 23 I IMAGINE MR. MACHI EXPRESSED IN HIS LETTER,
- 24 AND I HAVEN'T REVIEWED THAT, BUT MR. MACHI AND
- 25 THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ARCATA CITIZENS GROUP

- 1 ARE SORRY THAT THEY CAN'T BE HERE TODAY. THEY
- 2 CERTAINLY DON'T WANT YOU TO THINK THAT THEY ARE
- 3 ANY LESS CONCERNED ABOUT THIS PROJECT THAN THEY
- 4 ALWAYS HAVE BEEN.
- 5 I HAVE WITH ME TODAY DR. PETER
- 6 RUSSELL, WHO HAS REVIEWED THE SOIL REPORTS THAT
- 7 WE HAVE ABOUT CONTAMINATION AT THE SITE, AND I
- 8 WILL MAKE MY COMMENTS VERY BRIEF, AND THEN I
- 9 WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE HIM.
- 10 BUT I ANTICIPATE THAT SOME
- 11 COMMENTS MAY BE MADE BY THE PROPONENTS OF THE
- 12 PROJECT, AND I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY A COUPLE
- 13 OF THINGS. I AM ANTICIPATING SOME COMMENTS
- 14 THAT THEY MAY MAKE.
- 15 FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LIKE THE
- 16 BOARD TO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS NOT A
- 17 LAST-MINUTE ATTEMPT TO CONFUSE THE ISSUES ON
- 18 OUR PART.
- 19 I THINK THERE WERE SOME COMMENTS
- 20 MADE AT THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING LAST NIGHT
- 21 THAT WE ARE JUST THROWING THIS STUFF OUT THERE
- 22 AT THE LAST MINUTE TO TRY AND CONFUSE EVERYONE,
- 23 AND THAT'S SIMPLY NOT THE CASE.
- 24 WE HAVE BEEN WORKING VERY
- 25 DILIGENTLY TO GET THESE SOIL REPORTS. THE

- 1 PROJECT PROPONENTS HAVE NOT BEEN VERY
- 2 FORTHCOMING IN PROVIDING THIS INFORMATION TO
- 3 US. WE HAVE ULTIMATELY RECEIVED THE REPORTS,
- 4 AND AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE OPPORTUNITY THE
- 5 ALLIANCE RETAINED DR. RUSSELL TO REVIEW THESE
- 6 REPORTS, AND HE TURNED HIS REPORT AROUND AS
- 7 QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.
- 8 AND I WOULD LIKE TO ASK, I ASSUME
- 9 ALL THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HAVE RECEIVED THE
- 10 LETTER FROM DR. RUSSELL TO RACHEL HOOPER?
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: FROM DR. RUSSELL
- 12 TO --
- MR. ISHERWOOD: WE FAXED THAT LAST
- 14 THAT NIGHT.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. YES, I'M
- 16 SURE WE ALL HAVE IT. I'M NOT SURE WE HAVE ALL
- 17 HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO DIGEST IT.
- 18 MR. ISHERWOOD: WELL, DR. RUSSELL WILL
- 19 BE EXPLAINING HIS CONCLUSIONS THAT ARE SET
- 20 FORTH IN THAT LETTER AFTER I SPEAK AND CAN
- 21 CERTAINLY ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY
- 22 HAVE.
- 23 YOU KNOW, WE STILL DON'T HAVE ALL
- 24 THE INFORMATION THAT WE NEED, I THINK, TO
- 25 REALLY UNDERSTAND THE FULL EXTENT OF THE

- 1 CONTAMINATION OUT THERE OUT AT THE PROJECT
- 2 SITE. WE HAVE HEARD ABOUT A PHASE I AND PHASE
- 3 II ASSESSMENT ON A PORTION OF THE PROJECT SITE,
- 4 AND YET WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO OBTAIN THAT.
- 5 THERE IS ALSO A REFERENCE IN A
- 6 LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE AUTHORITY AND THE
- 7 OWNER OF THE PARCEL, I BELIEVE, WHICH
- 8 REFERENCES AN EXHIBIT THAT HAS MORE INFORMATION
- 9 ABOUT -- APPARENTLY ABOUT SOIL CONTAMINATION
- 10 OUT THERE, BUT WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO OBTAIN
- 11 AS OF YET THAT EXHIBIT.
- 12 WHAT WE DO HAVE IS A COUPLE OF LAB
- 13 ANALYSES THAT HAVE BEEN DONE OF SOIL THAT WAS
- 14 EXCAVATED BY THE SITE, AND DR. RUSSELL WILL BE
- 15 TALKING ABOUT THAT.
- 16 ANOTHER COMMENT THAT I ANTICIPATE
- 17 THAT MAY BE MADE AND THAT CERTAINLY WAS MADE AT
- 18 THE LAST MEETING IS THAT THE JUDGE WHO IS
- 19 PRESIDING OVER THE LITIGATION IS SOMEHOW NOT
- 20 INTERESTED IN THIS ISSUE OF SOIL CONTAMINATION.
- 21 THAT IS SIMPLY NOT THE CASE. I
- 22 WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT MY OFFICE PREPARED
- 23 THE STAY APPLICATION PAPERS THAT WERE FILED
- 24 WITH JUDGE ROBIE [PH.] AND THAT WE DID NOT
- 25 BRIEF THE ISSUE AS SOIL CONTAMINATION.

- 1 AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS QUITE
- 2 SIMPLE. WE DIDN'T HAVE THE SOIL REPORTS AT
- 3 THAT TIME. WE HADN'T RETAINED DR. RUSSELL. WE
- 4 DIDN'T KNOW WHAT OUR ARGUMENT WAS GOING TO BE.
- 5 SO THE JUDGE HAS IN NO WAY MADE ANY SORT OF
- 6 RULING WITH RESPECT TO THAT ISSUE.
- 7 WITH THOSE TWO PRELIMINARY
- 8 COMMENTS, I WOULD LIKE TO TURN THE MICROPHONE
- 9 OVER NOW TO DR. PETER RUSSELL, WHO IS AN EXPERT
- 10 IN THE FIELD OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, TO
- 11 TALK ABOUT THE SOIL CONTAMINATION PROBLEM.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 13 DR. RUSSELL.
- DR. RUSSELL: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 15 PENNINGTON, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. MY NAME IS
- 16 DR. PETER RUSSELL. I AM AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 17 ENGINEER. I AM A REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER IN
- 18 CALIFORNIA, AND I HAVE BEEN PRACTICING
- 19 ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING FOR OVER 20 YEARS
- 20 NOW.
- 21 I AM HERE TODAY TO PRESENT THE
- 22 RESULTS OF MY REVIEW OF THE AVAILABLE
- 23 INFORMATION ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED
- 24 NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE OF HUMBOLDT COUNTY.
- 25 IF YOU DON'T HAVE COPIES OF MY

- 1 LETTER, I HAVE ADDITIONAL COPIES WITH ME, WHICH
- 2 I WOULD BE GLAD TO PROVIDE TO YOU.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I DON'T KNOW
- 4 WHETHER ANYBODY -- I DON'T HAVE IT, BUT MARLENE
- 5 HAS GONE UP UPSTAIRS TO GET THEM.
- 6 DR. RUSSELL: OKAY. IF YOU WANT THEM
- 7 TO LOOK ALONG AS I SPEAK --
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: IT WOULD BE NICE TO
- 9 GIVE THE CHAIRMAN ONE.
- 10 MS. TOBIAS: THE LETTER WAS FAXED IN
- 11 LAST NIGHT AT 6:45, AND I THINK IT WAS
- 12 DELIVERED TO YOUR OFFICES, BUT I THINK IT'S
- 13 UNDERSTANDABLE IF IT DIDN'T QUITE GET DOWN HERE
- 14 WITH YOU.
- 15 I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT MOST
- 16 OF THE BOARD DID NOT EX PARTE THAT LETTER,
- 17 BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T REALLY AWARE IT WAS IN THE
- 18 PACKET, AND I THINK AT THIS POINT, BECAUSE THE
- 19 AUTHOR OF THE LETTER AND THE CONSULTANT IS
- 20 INTRODUCED AND THAT IS THE EVIDENCE INTO THE
- 21 RECORD THAT IT'S NOT NECESSARY THAT YOU EX
- 22 PARTE IT.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. GREAT.
- 24 THANK YOU.
- DR. RUSSELL: I JUST THOUGHT IT WOULD

- 1 BE HELPFUL FOR YOU TO HAVE IT BEFORE YOU AS I
- 2 SPEAK, BECAUSE OCCASIONALLY THERE WILL BE
- 3 NUMBERS THAT YOU NEEDN'T WRITE DOWN BECAUSE
- 4 THEY ARE IN THE LETTER.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: EXCUSE ME, JUST
- 6 SO WE'RE CLEAR. IT ACTUALLY CAME ATTACHED TO A
- 7 LETTER FROM JEFF NAPP, OR AT LEAST WE GOT IT
- 8 THAT WAY. BUT WE ARE FINE. OKAY. GO AHEAD.
- 9 DR. RUSSELL: THERE WAS A FAIR AMOUNT
- 10 OF TECHNICAL INFORMATION FOR ME TO REVIEW, BUT
- 11 I THINK THE BOTTOM LINE OF MY REVIEW DOESN'T
- 12 REQUIRE ANY TECHNICAL UNDERSTANDING TO
- 13 APPRECIATE.
- 14 THE SITE OF THIS PROPOSED WASTE
- 15 TRANSFER STATION HAS HAD A HISTORY OF
- 16 INDUSTRIAL USE SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE
- 17 CENTURY. THAT FACT ALONE SHOULD HAVE INDICATED
- 18 THAT THERE WAS SOME PROBABILITY THAT CHEMICAL
- 19 RESIDUES FROM THE INDUSTRIAL USE EXIST.
- 20 AFTER THAT THERE WAS INCIDENTAL
- 21 ANALYSIS OF WASTE SOIL THAT WAS GENERATED BY
- 22 THE EARLY STAGES OF THE DEVELOPMENT THAT WAS
- 23 ANALYZED AND THAT SHOWED THAT CHEMICAL RESIDUES
- 24 DO IN FACT EXIST.
- 25 THIS IS NOT TO BE CONFUSED WITH AN

- 1 ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION. THIS IS SIMPLY
- 2 PROFILING A WASTE FOR PURPOSES OF DISPOSAL.
- 3 SO WE HAVE TWO INDICATIONS RIGHT THERE THAT
- 4 THERE IS SOME DEGREE OF CONTAMINATION ON THIS
- 5 PROPERTY.
- 6 THE FACT IS THAT AS WE SIT HERE
- 7 TODAY THERE HAS BEEN NO PLANNED ENVIRONMENTAL
- 8 INVESTIGATION OF THE SOIL OR THE GROUNDWATER AT
- 9 THIS SITE TO UNDERSTAND WHETHER OR NOT WE ARE
- 10 SIMPLY SEEING THE TIP OF THE ICEBERG OR WHETHER
- 11 WE JUST THROUGH SOME COINCIDENCE HAPPEN TO HAVE
- 12 EXCAVATED THE ONLY HOT SPOT THAT THERE IS ON
- 13 THIS PROPERTY, AND I THINK THAT THE INFORMATION
- 14 THAT AN ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION WOULD UNCOVER
- 15 IS ESSENTIAL INFORMATION TO DECIDE WHETHER OR
- 16 NOT BUILDING THIS PROJECT NOW IS WISE FOR FOUR
- 17 REASONS.
- 18 ONE IS IF IT TURNS OUT THAT THE
- 19 CONTAMINATION IS WORSE THAN HAS BEEN DISCOVERED
- 20 ALREADY THROUGH THE LIMITED CHEMICAL
- 21 ANALYSIS THERE HAVE BEEN VERY FEW SAMPLES,
- 22 AND THERE WERE VERY FEW THINGS THAT WERE
- 23 ANALYZED FOR -- THEN THE CONSTRUCTION WORKERS
- 24 AND POSSIBLY THE USERS OF THE SITE MAY BE AT
- 25 RISK.

- 1 SECONDLY, IF THERE IS
- 2 CONTAMINATION ON THE SITE THAT WE ARE NOT AWARE
- 3 OF, THEN THE DEVELOPMENT AT THIS STAGE DOES
- 4 HAVE THE POTENTIAL FOR DISTRIBUTING THE
- 5 CONTAMINATION AROUND UNKNOWINGLY, SO YOU NEED
- 6 TO KNOW THE CONTAMINANT STATUS TO AVOID DOING
- 7 THAT.
- 8 THIRDLY, IF AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 9 INVESTIGATION IS TO OCCUR, THE PRESENCE OF AN
- 10 ONGOING OPERATION IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF A
- 11 TRANSFER STATION IS GOING TO MAKE IT MUCH MORE
- 12 DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND THE CONTAMINANT STATUS
- 13 AND THEREBY ARRIVE AT A REMEDIATION IF A
- 14 REMEDIATION IS NEEDED.
- 15 AND THEN FINALLY, IF A REMEDIATION
- 16 IS NEEDED AND THERE IS A TRANSFER STATION THERE
- 17 AND AN ONGOING OPERATION, THEN YOU HAVE BUILT
- 18 IN LIMITATIONS AS TO THE CLEANUP OPTIONS THAT
- 19 YOU MIGHT WANT TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF.
- 20 THE FACT THAT THE INDUSTRIAL
- 21 HISTORY OF THIS SITE SHOULD HAVE TRIGGERED AN
- 22 ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN
- 23 KNOWN EVEN TO MR. KINDSFATHER, THE GENERAL
- 24 MANAGER OF THE AUTHORITY, BECAUSE HE SAID IN A
- 25 LETTER TO THIS BOARD THAT IN EFFECT THE

- 1 EXISTENCE OF SOME CHEMICAL CONTAMINATION ON
- 2 THIS PROPERTY WOULD NOT BE SURPRISING TO HIM,
- 3 THAT IT WAS ONLY ONCE HIS WASTE SOIL WAS
- 4 REFUSED BY A LANDFILL BECAUSE IT LOOKED OILY
- 5 AND IT SMELLED OILY THAT HE BOTHERED TO HAVE
- 6 ANY SAMPLES TAKEN WHATSOEVER.
- 7 THAT'S THE MAIN RESULT OF MY
- 8 INVESTIGATION, AND THAT'S WHAT IS REALLY NOT A
- 9 TECHNICAL ISSUE AT ALL. AND IN MY LETTER I
- 10 HAVE A FEW POINTS THAT SUPPORT THAT I
- 11 WOULD LIKE TO GO OVER VERY QUICKLY, IF I COULD,
- 12 PLEASE.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CERTAINLY.
- DR. RUSSELL: THE PRIMARY INDUSTRIAL
- 15 ACTIVITY THAT WENT ON AT THE FACILITY, OF WHICH
- 16 THIS SITE IS A PART, WAS WOOD MANUFACTURING.
- 17 IT INCLUDED, BEGINNING WITH BARREL
- 18 MANUFACTURING AND THEN VARIOUS WOOD CONTAINERS,
- 19 RECREATIONAL, HOUSING PARTS, DRAWER SIDES, GLUE
- 20 LAMINATED BEAMS, AND A LARGE NUMBER OF
- 21 PRODUCTS.
- 22 THE MANUFACTURE OF THESE PRODUCTS
- 23 IS ASSOCIATED WITH A NUMBER OF CHEMICALS THAT
- 24 ARE OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN. FIRST YOU HAVE
- 25 PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, WHICH WE HAVE ALREADY FOUND

- 1 THERE, WHICH COULD BE FROM FUELS OR THEY COULD
- 2 BE USED AS LUBRICANTS OR AS SOLVENTS, PAINTS,
- 3 AND ALONG WITH THAT GOES PAINT THINNERS,
- 4 STRIPPERS, AND SOLVENTS.
- 5 EARLY ON THE WOOD CONTAINER
- 6 MANUFACTURING OPERATION USED INKS SO THAT THEY
- 7 COULD PUT LABELS ON THEIR PRODUCTS, AND SO YOU
- 8 HAVE INKS AND THE ASSOCIATED SOLVENTS, AND THEN
- 9 YOU HAD THE GLUE-LAM BEAMS, THE LAMINATED
- 10 WOODEN BEAMS THAT USE A LOT OF GLUE AND ITS
- 11 SOLVENTS.
- 12 AND THEN ON TOP OF ALL OF THIS, AS
- 13 WAS VERY COMMON HISTORICALLY, PARTICULARLY IN
- 14 INDUSTRIAL AREAS BUT IN OTHER AREAS, WHERE YOU
- 15 HAVE UNPAVED AREAS WITH DUST PROBLEMS,
- 16 PETROLEUM WAS APPLIED, USUALLY IN THE FORM OF
- 17 WASTE OIL, TO KEEP THE DUST DOWN.
- 18 AND WASTE OIL IS ASSOCIATED ITSELF
- 19 WITH A LARGE NUMBER OF CHEMICALS, EITHER FROM
- 20 THE CRANK CASE, THAT IT PICKS UP IN A CRANK
- 21 CASE IN AN INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE, SUCH AS
- 22 VARIOUS METALS AND GASOLINE COMPONENTS, BUT
- 23 ALSO IT'S VERY COMMON AT GAS STATIONS WHERE THE
- 24 WASTE OIL WAS COLLECTED FOR OTHER TYPES OF
- 25 THINGS THAT ARE OILY IN NATURE TO BE DUMPED IN

- 1 WITH THE WASTE OIL JUST SORT OF AS A WAY OF
- 2 GETTING RID OF THEM EASILY.
- 3 AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE VARIOUS
- 4 SOLVENTS THAT ARE USED IN AUTOMOBILE
- 5 MAINTENANCE, OILS FROM TRANSFORMERS AND
- 6 CAPACITORS THAT MIGHT CONTAIN PCB'S, AND A
- 7 VARIETY OF OTHER COMPOUNDS, ALSO INCLUDING
- 8 PRESERVATIVES THAT WOULD BE USED IN WOOD
- 9 TREATMENT.
- 10 THERE IS ANOTHER PART OF THE
- 11 FACILITY THAT IS NOT ON THE PARCEL, WHICH AS IT
- 12 IS NOW DEFINED, THAT HAS A KNOWN PROBLEM FROM
- 13 WOOD MANUFACTURING, AND THAT INVOLVES
- 14 PRESERVATIVES. PCP AND TCP AND DIOXIN HAVE ALL
- 15 BEEN MEASURED IN THE SOIL, AND PCP AND TCP HAVE
- 16 BEEN FOUND IN THE GROUNDWATER.
- 17 THAT IS NOT ON THE FOOTPRINT OF
- 18 THIS TRANSFER STATION, BUT AT THE TIME THE
- 19 CHEMICALS WERE BEING HANDLED, THIS WAS ALL PART
- 20 OF THE SAME OPERATION.
- 21 AND YOU MAY SAY THAT, WELL, THE
- 22 PCP AND TCP WERE BEING MANAGED OVER AT THE DIP
- 23 TANK OR THE SPRAY BOOTH WHERE IT WAS BEING
- 24 APPLIED, BUT IN USING THESE WOOD PRESERVATIVES
- 25 THERE ARE WASTES THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH IT.

- 1 THERE ARE CLEANUP WASTES, THERE
- 2 ARE DIP TANK SLUDGES FROM THE BOTTOM. THESE
- 3 ARE NORMALLY NOT SPREAD AROUND THE FRONT YARD
- 4 OF THE OPERATION, BUT INSTEAD THEY ARE PUT OUT
- 5 ON THE BACK 40, WHICH I UNDERSTAND IS CLOSER TO
- 6 THE STATUS OF THIS PARCEL. IT WAS, AT LEAST TO
- 7 MY KNOWLEDGE, A STORAGE AREA, AND THERE HAS
- 8 BEEN NEXT TO NO SAMPLING FOR THESE TYPES OF
- 9 SUBSTANCES.
- 10 THE SAMPLING THAT WE DO KNOW IS OF
- 11 THIS WASTE SOIL THAT WAS TO BE DISPOSED OF, AND
- 12 IT FOUND OIL PREDOMINANTLY AND DIESEL.
- 13 THE CONCENTRATIONS THAT WE FOUND
- 14 ARE IN AND OF THEMSELVES, WITHOUT THINKING
- 15 ABOUT IT, NOT PARTICULARLY HIGH. IF THEY HAD
- 16 BEEN DETECTED IN SOIL UNDERNEATH A FUEL TANK
- 17 THAT WAS BEING REMOVED IN THE STATE OF
- 18 CALIFORNIA OR AT LEAST IN THE REGIONAL BOARDS
- 19 IN THIS AREA, THEY WOULD CERTAINLY HAVE
- 20 TRIGGERED AN INVESTIGATION.
- 21 BUT THOSE NUMBERS BY THEMSELVES
- 22 DON'T REALLY STATE THE ISSUE. ASIDE FROM THE
- 23 FACT THAT IT WAS -- THAT THEY DON'T RESULT FROM
- 24 AN ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION, BUT RATHER THEY
- 25 ARE CHARACTERIZING A WASTE THAT WAS COLLECTED

- 1 INCIDENTALLY, THEY ARE MEASUREMENTS ON
- 2 COMPOSITE SAMPLES.
- 3 COMPOSITE SAMPLES ARE SAMPLES THAT
- 4 ARE CREATED IN AN EFFORT TO MINIMIZE LABORATORY
- 5 COSTS, AND THE WAY YOU DO COMPOSITING IS TO
- 6 COLLECT, IN THIS INSTANCE, SIX SAMPLES. YOU
- 7 PHYSICALLY MIX THEM INTO ONE SAMPLE, AND THEN
- 8 YOU MEASURE THE ONE SAMPLE, RATHER THAN
- 9 MEASURING THE SIX INDIVIDUAL SAMPLES WHICH CAME
- 10 FROM DIFFERENT LOCATIONS, SO THAT YOU WOULD
- 11 UNDERSTAND THE RANGE OF CONCENTRATIONS.
- 12 THE PROBLEM WITH COMPOSITING IS
- 13 THAT WHILE IT MAY GIVE YOU A MEASURE OF THE
- 14 OVERALL STATUS, WHERE YOU ARE INTERESTED IN
- 15 UNDERSTANDING HOW BAD IT MIGHT BE OR WHETHER
- 16 THERE ARE HOT SPOTS THAT ARGUABLY WOULD BE
- 17 MASKED, YOU DON'T HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT IT
- 18 AT ALL.
- 19 FOR MOTOR OIL THE HIGHEST
- 20 MEASUREMENT WAS ABOUT 700 PARTS PER MILLION OF
- 21 OIL IN THE COMPOSITE. THAT MEANS THAT ALL SIX
- 22 SAMPLES HAD 700 PARTS PER MILLION IN THEM, OR
- 23 IT COULD MEAN THAT FIVE OF THE SAMPLES WERE
- 24 CLEAN AND ONE SAMPLE HAD ABOUT 4300 PARTS PER
- 25 MILLION OF OIL IN THEM, BUT BECAUSE OF THE

- 1 MIXING OF SIX INTO ONE, YOU DON'T KNOW THAT.
- 2 THIS IS NOT NORMALLY DONE EXCEPT
- 3 AS A SCREENING STEP IN AN INVESTIGATION, AND
- 4 ONCE YOU FIND THAT THE COMPOSITE SAMPLE IS AS
- 5 HIGH AS IT IS, YOU SAY, WELL, WE NEED TO LOOK
- 6 FURTHER, FURTHER INVESTIGATION.
- 7 SECONDLY, THE SAMPLES THAT WERE
- 8 COLLECTED WERE OF STOCKPILED SOIL. THE PROCESS
- 9 OF EXCAVATING THE SOIL OUT OF THE GROUND,
- 10 PUTTING IT ONTO TRUCKS, HAULING IT AROUND A BIT
- 11 AND THEN PUTTING IT INTO A STOCKPILE MIXES IT
- 12 EVEN FURTHER. IT'S SORT OF AN EXTENSION OF THE
- 13 COMPOSITING TYPE ISSUE. IT'S OF VERY LIMITED
- 14 VALUE IN TERMS OF UNDERSTANDING THE CONTAMINANT
- 15 STATUS OF THE SITE. IT SIMPLY RAISES A RED
- 16 FLAG.
- 17 AND THEN FINALLY MANY OF THE
- 18 SUBSTANCES THAT WE ARE INTERESTED IN AND THAT
- 19 WERE MEASURED IN THIS STOCKPILED SOIL ARE
- 20 SUBSTANCES THAT EVAPORATE VERY READILY ONCE
- 21 THEY ARE EXPOSED TO AIR.
- 22 WHEN YOU DO AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 23 INVESTIGATION OF A SITE, YOU GO TO RATHER
- 24 EXTREME MEASURES TO COLLECT THE SAMPLE FROM
- 25 UNDERGROUND BEFORE IT'S BEEN REMOVED FROM THE

- 1 GROUND, AND YOU TAKE MEASURES TO AVOID EXPOSING
- 2 IT TO THE AIR SO THAT THE STUFF YOU ARE TRYING
- 3 TO MEASURE DOESN'T EVAPORATE OFF BEFORE YOU GET
- 4 IT TO THE LAB TO MEASURE IT.
- 5 WELL, A LOT OF THE THINGS, A LOT
- 6 OF THE PETROLEUM SUBSTANCES THAT THEY FOUND THE
- 7 HEAVIER COMPONENTS OF, THEY DIDN'T FIND SO MUCH
- 8 OF THE LIGHT COMPONENTS, AND PART OF THE
- 9 EXPLANATION FOR THAT MAY BE THAT THE MIXING
- 10 AROUND THAT OCCURRED BEFORE IT GOT TO THE
- 11 LABORATORY MADE IT APPEAR THAT THERE WAS VERY
- 12 LITTLE THERE, WHEN IN FACT THERE MAY BE MORE
- 13 THERE.
- 14 I DON'T WANT TO LOSE SIGHT OF THE
- 15 FACT THAT THE ANALYSES THAT HAVE BEEN DONE WERE
- 16 RESTRICTED TO PETROLEUM RELATED CHEMICALS, AND
- 17 THERE WERE SOME ANALYSES OF METALS AS WELL.
- 18 THEY DID NOT ANALYZE FOR PAINT
- 19 RELATED SUBSTANCES. THERE WAS VERY LITTLE
- 20 ANALYSIS FOR JUST A FEW OF THE WOOD PRESERVING
- 21 TYPE COMPOUNDS. SUBSTANCES ASSOCIATED WITH THE
- 22 GLUE AND THE GLUE LAMINATION WERE ALSO NOT
- 23 ANALYZED FOR, AND IF THEY ARE THERE, THESE
- 24 WOULD ALL BE OF CONCERN IN THE INTERESTS OF
- 25 PROTECTING PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT.

- 1 I ALSO MENTIONED BEFORE THAT THERE
- 2 HAS BEEN NO GROUNDWATER SAMPLING AT ALL, AND
- 3 THAT'S SIGNIFICANT HERE BECAUSE THE GROUNDWATER
- 4 IS VERY SHALLOW, AND IT MAY BE IN CLOSE CONTACT
- 5 WITH ANY CONTAMINATED SOIL. GROUNDWATER IN THE
- 6 ARCATA AREA IS USED AS A DOMESTIC WATER SUPPLY.
- 7 AND FINALLY THE SUBSTANCES THAT WE
- 8 ARE CONCERNED ABOUT HERE WOULD BE OBJECTIONABLE
- 9 IN DRINKING WATER AT VERY, VERY LOW
- 10 CONCENTRATIONS, AT TRACE LEVELS.
- 11 AGAIN THE FOUR POINTS OF WHY AN
- 12 INVESTIGATION IS IMPORTANT NOW RATHER THAN
- 13 LATER ON WHEN WE GET AROUND TO IT IS TO PROTECT
- 14 THE HEALTH OF THE BUILDERS AND THE USERS; TO
- 15 AVOID UNKNOWINGLY SPREADING AROUND THE
- 16 CONTAMINATION THAT IS THERE; TO HELP THE
- 17 INTERPRETATION OF THE RESULTS OF THE
- 18 ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION WHEN IT TAKES
- 19 PLACE; AND TO NOT LIMIT OUR CLEANUP OPTIONS FOR
- 20 THE CONTAMINATION THAT IS LIKELY TO STILL
- 21 REMAIN. I THINK IT'S EXTREMELY UNLIKELY THAT
- 22 ALL THE CONTAMINATION THAT IS THERE HAPPENED TO
- 23 HAVE BEEN INCIDENTALLY REMOVED BY DIGGING UP
- 24 UNDER THE FOOTPRINT OF THIS BUILDING.
- 25 AS TO WHETHER OR NOT AN

- 1 INVESTIGATION IS WARRANTED, THERE ARE THREE
- 2 REMARKS I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THAT ARE A BIT
- 3 MORE OBJECTIVE THAN JUST MY OWN OPINION.
- 4 I HAD MENTIONED ONCE BEFORE THAT
- 5 THE CENTRAL VALLEY, THE NORTH COAST, AND THE
- 6 SAN FRANCISCO REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL
- 7 BOARDS HAVE PUBLISHED WHAT'S COMMONLY CALLED
- 8 TRI-REGIONAL GUIDELINES FOR UNDERGROUND TANK
- 9 PULLS.
- 10 IF THE CONTAMINATION THAT HAS BEEN
- 11 FOUND HERE HAD BEEN DETECTED IN SAMPLES THAT
- 12 WERE COLLECTED FOLLOWING THOSE GUIDELINES, THEN
- 13 A FURTHER INVESTIGATION WOULD HAVE BEEN
- 14 REQUIRED. AND BY THE WAY, THOSE GUIDELINES
- 15 WOULD NOT ALLOW SAMPLE COMPOSITING.
- 16 SECONDLY, THE HUMBOLDT COUNTY OF
- 17 PUBLIC HEALTH RECOMMENDED TO THE AUTHORITY, AND
- 18 I WILL QUOTE IN THEIR LETTER OF OCTOBER 9TH,
- 19 "WE ALSO RECOMMEND THAT THE AUTHORITY CONSIDER
- 20 INVESTIGATION AND SAMPLING OF ANY ADDITIONAL
- 21 SOIL THAT MAY NEED TO BE TREATED AND DISPOSED."
- 22 THIS OF COURSE PRESUMES THAT ALL
- 23 OF THE SOIL THAT IS A THREAT TO THE ENVIRONMENT
- 24 AND WOULD NEED TO BE EITHER TREATED OR DISPOSED
- 25 WOULD BE DISCOVERED FIRST.

- 1 AND THEN I UNDERSTAND THAT THE
- 2 BOARD GOT A LETTER JUST TODAY FROM THE NORTH
- 3 COAST REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD THAT
- 4 IN EFFECT, AT THE END OF THE MAJOR PARAGRAPH,
- 5 AND IT SAYS THAT THEY ARE PLANNING ON PROVIDING
- 6 OVERSIGHT TO THE INVESTIGATION OF THE
- 7 ENVIRONMENT THERE AND THAT IF ANY CONTAMINATION
- 8 IS FOUND THAT NEEDS REMEDIATION, THEY WILL BE
- 9 PROVIDING THE OVERSIGHT FOR THAT AS WELL.
- 10 AS IT SITS RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE
- 11 TWO STOCKPILES OUT THERE OF SOIL THAT HAVE
- 12 PETROLEUM CONTAMINATION IN THEM. I THINK THERE
- 13 MAY WELL BE MORE ONCE THE INVESTIGATION HAS
- 14 BEEN DONE. AND I WANT TO MAKE THE POINT THAT
- 15 THESE 4700 CUBIC YARDS ARE GOING TO CONSTITUTE
- 16 ABOUT 300 TRUCKLOADS, EVEN IF NO MORE IS
- 17 UNCOVERED.
- 18 THE MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL OF
- 19 THIS SHOULD ONLY BE DONE ONCE THE APPROPRIATE
- 20 HEALTH AND SAFETY PLANS HAVE BEEN PREPARED,
- 21 TRANSPORTATION PLANS, CONTINGENCY PLANS, AND IT
- 22 GOES WITHOUT SAYING THAT THE DESTINATION FOR
- 23 THIS MATERIAL SHOULD ONLY BE TO A LANDFILL OR A
- 24 TREATMENT FACILITY THAT'S PERMITTED TO ACCEPT
- 25 IT.

- 1 IF I CAN LEAVE ONLY ONE MESSAGE
- 2 WITH YOU IT'S THAT THE TESTING THAT HAS BEEN
- 3 DONE SO FAR IS NOT AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 4 INVESTIGATION. IT HAS RAISED A RED FLAG THAT
- 5 SHOWS THAT AN ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION IS
- 6 NEEDED, BUT IT IS SIMPLY PROFILING A LOAD OF
- 7 WASTE THAT WAS INCIDENTALLY CREATED AND NOT
- 8 PART OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION, TO SEE
- 9 WHETHER OR NOT THAT WASTE CAN GO TO X LANDFILL
- 10 OR Y LANDFILL. AN ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION
- 11 IS NEEDED.
- 12 UNLESS THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS,
- 13 THAT'S THE END OF MY REMARKS. TO PUT THEM IN A
- 14 BIT OF A LEGAL CONTEXT, I THINK MR. ISHERWOOD
- 15 WILL BE BACK UP HERE, BUT I WOULD BE HAPPY TO
- 16 ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE EITHER NOW OR
- 17 LATER.
- 18 THANK YOU.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 20 THANK YOU. I GUESS NOW WE GET -- DO YOU WANT
- 21 TO SPEAK AGAIN, MR. ISHERWOOD?
- MR. ISHERWOOD: JUST A COUPLE WORDS.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- MR. ISHERWOOD: THANK YOU. AGAIN
- 25 AARON ISHERWOOD.

- 1 WHAT'S THE POINT OF ALL THIS?
- 2 WELL, I THINK THERE ARE TWO POINTS TO BE MADE
- 3 ABOUT THIS.
- 4 FIRST OF ALL, THIS INVESTIGATION
- 5 OF THE SITE UNDER CEQA SHOULD HAVE OCCURRED
- 6 BEFORE THE SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT WAS
- 7 ISSUED AND BEFORE THE CEOA DOCUMENT WAS
- 8 APPROVED.
- 9 AS IT STANDS NOW, WE HAVE GOT AN
- 10 INITIAL STUDY THAT SAYS THERE IS NO POTENTIAL
- 11 HEALTH HAZARDS AT THE SITE, AND THAT'S WHAT THE
- 12 PUBLIC WAS TOLD AND THAT'S WHAT THE
- 13 DECISION-MAKERS WERE TOLD. THE INVESTIGATION
- 14 SHOULD HAVE OCCURRED BEFOREHAND, AND IT DIDN'T.
- 15 THE SECOND POINT TO BE MADE IS
- 16 THAT THE PROJECT PROPONENTS HAVE KNOWN, AS
- 17 MR. KINDSFATHER'S LETTER ACKNOWLEDGES, THEY
- 18 HAVE KNOWN ALL ALONG THAT THERE COULD BE SOME
- 19 CONTAMINATION OUT THERE.
- 20 SO WHAT WE HAVE REALLY GOT HERE IS
- 21 A FAILURE TO DISCLOSE RELEVANT FACTS ABOUT THE
- 22 SITE. AND WHILE WE KNOW AND WE UNDERSTAND THAT
- 23 THE BOARD'S PRINCIPAL CONCERN AT THE LAST
- 24 MEETING WAS THE CITY'S FAILURE TO RATIFY THE
- 25 ADDENDUM -- NOW THE CITY HAS RATIFIED THE

- 1 ADDENDUM -- WE BELIEVE THAT THIS SOIL
- 2 CONTAMINATION ISSUE RAISES AN INDEPENDENT
- 3 GROUNDS TO CONTINUE THE PROCESS TOWARDS
- 4 TEMPORARILY SUSPENDING OR REVOKING THE SOLID
- 5 WASTE FACILITY PERMIT.
- 6 I WOULD URGE THE BOARD TO CONTINUE
- 7 THAT PROCESS UNTIL WE HAVE AN INVESTIGATION OF
- 8 THE SITE TO, AS DR. RUSSELL RECOMMENDS, TO
- 9 DETERMINE THE EXTENT OF THE CONTAMINATION OUT
- 10 THERE, AND THAT'S WHAT WE ARE REALLY ASKING YOU
- 11 TO DO. THANK YOU.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 13 OKAY. NEXT IS LARRY SWEETSER.
- DR. RUSSELL: EXCUSE ME. IF I COULD,
- 15 PLEASE, I NOTICED A FACTUAL TYPO IN MY
- 16 PRESENTATION THAT I WISH YOU WOULD CORRECT.
- 17 ON PAGE 3, LINE 3-D, IT MENTIONS A
- 18 CHEMICAL ETHYLBENZENE. IN FACT IT'S A TYPO.
- 19 IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN TOLUENE.
- 20 I DIDN'T WANT TO LEAVE THAT
- 21 UNCORRECTED. THANK YOU.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. SWEETSER.
- MR. SWEETSER: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN,
- 24 MEMBERS. MY NAME IS LARRY SWEETSER, DIRECTOR
- 25 OF REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR NORCAL WASTE SYSTEMS.

- 1 I HOPE TO BE UP HERE SUMMARIZING
- 2 FOR THE LAST TIME. I KNOW THERE IS A LOT OF
- 3 CONFUSION. IN FACT I HAVE TO ADMIT I'M
- 4 CONFUSED A LOT OF TIMES ON WHERE THINGS ARE AND
- 5 WHAT THE LEVELS ARE, BUT NONETHELESS I WILL DO
- 6 WHAT I CAN TO SUMMARIZE HERE.
- 7 THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF TALK ON
- 8 THE MERITS OF THE FACILITY, AND QUITE FRANKLY,
- 9 AS WE ALL HAVE SAID MANY TIMES, THE MERITS OF
- 10 HAVING THAT TRANSFER STATION IS A LOCAL ISSUE,
- 11 IT'S A LOCAL DEBATE. IT'S NOT HERE.
- 12 WHAT'S IN QUESTION IS THE PROCESS
- 13 AND APPROVAL OF THE PERMIT, AND THAT IS A WASTE
- 14 BOARD ISSUE, AND I'LL SPEAK TO THE RELEVANCE OF
- 15 HOW WE GOT THERE AND HOW THE SOIL IS RELEVANT.
- 16 AS YOU ALL KNOW, AND MOSTLY FOR
- 17 THE BENEFIT OF THE AUDIENCE, THE SOLID WASTE
- 18 FACILITY PERMIT PROCESS IS A VERY
- 19 STRAIGHTFORWARD, SIMPLE ONE. INFORMATION IS
- 20 PREPARED, IT'S SUBMITTED, AND WHEN IT'S
- 21 SUBMITTED TO THE WASTE BOARD IT'S DEEMED
- 22 COMPLETE, IT'S DEEMED APPROVED, IT'S DEEMED
- 23 CORRECT.
- 24 ALL THAT INFORMATION IS SUPPOSED
- 25 TO BE FACTUALLY CORRECT IN THAT DOCUMENT, AND

- 1 IT'S EVEN CERTIFIED BY THE OPERATOR OR THE
- 2 OWNER-OPERATOR OF THE FACILITY.
- 3 AND WE HAVE QUESTIONED THE
- 4 COMPLETENESS OF THOSE DOCUMENTS, PARTICULARLY
- 5 THE RSI IN THE PAST, AND THE BOARD HAS GONE
- 6 AHEAD AND APPROVED THAT SOLID WASTE FACILITY
- 7 PERMIT BASED UPON THE INFORMATION THAT'S BEEN
- 8 SUBMITTED.
- 9 AND WE APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT
- 10 THE WASTE BOARD HAS ATTEMPTED TO HELP THEM GET
- 11 THIS PERMIT. IN FACT I THINK IT'S SOME TWIST
- 12 OF FATE WE HAVE ACTUALLY HELPED THEM CLEAN UP
- 13 THE PROCESS AS WELL BY RAISING ISSUES THAT THEY
- 14 HAVE FIXED, AND THE WASTE BOARD HAS ESSENTIALLY
- 15 BENT OVER BACKWARDS TO PROVIDE THAT BENEFIT.
- 16 THEY HAVE DONE IT FOR OTHERS. THEY HAVE DONE
- 17 IT FOR US FROM TIME TO TIME. AND I THINK IT'S
- 18 A QUESTION OF HOW FAR YOU GO WITH THAT PROCESS.
- 19 THE POINT IS THOUGH THEY KNOW
- 20 BETTER OR THEY SHOULD HAVE KNOWN BETTER IN THIS
- 21 PROCESS, AND IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE RIGHT.
- 22 THERE HAVE BEEN NUMEROUS
- 23 OPPORTUNITIES TO FIX THIS PROCESS. THERE HAVE
- 24 BEEN NUMEROUS OPPORTUNITIES TO APPROVE THE
- 25 ADDENDUMS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. INSTEAD THEY

- 1 CHOSE TO PIECEMEAL THESE FIXES.
- 2 AND AS YOU KNOW, THE CITY OF
- 3 ARCATA RATIFIED BY A THREE-TWO VOTE. THEY
- 4 RATIFIED THE ADDENDUM AS PROPOSED AND REVISED
- 5 BY THE WASTE BOARD STAFF. BUT WE HAVE TO LOOK
- 6 AT WHAT WAS RATIFIED, AND THE FACT IS THAT THE
- 7 ORIGINAL MITIGATED NEGATIVE DEC WE HAVE OUT
- 8 THERE, THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF CHANGES,
- 9 ADDENDUMS TO THAT DOCUMENT. THOSE HAVE ALL
- 10 BEEN DEEMED ACCEPTABLE BASED UPON THE FACTS
- 11 THAT THEY WERE THERE.
- 12 THE KEY POINT IS WAY BACK, A LONG TIME
- 13 AGO, AND THAT'S WHERE WE STARTED, IS THAT THE
- 14 INITIAL STUDY STATED THAT THERE WERE NO
- 15 EXISTING SOURCES OF POTENTIAL HEALTH HAZARDS AT
- 16 THE SITE, AND WE BELIEVE THAT HAS BEEN
- 17 DEMONSTRATED REPEATEDLY NOT TO BE TRUE.
- 18 THERE IS QUESTIONS OVER THE
- 19 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE LEVELS AND THE FACT THAT
- 20 THE SOIL STOCKPILE IS NOW OVER HERE, WHERE IT
- 21 CAME FROM, WHAT THE LEVELS WERE AT DIFFERENT
- 22 PLACES.
- 23 AND THOSE LEVELS ARE ABOVE ACTUAL
- 24 LIMITS THAT WE HAVE TO LOOK AT. IN FACT I'VE
- 25 BEEN INVOLVED IN CONTAMINATION ISSUES AND TANK

- 1 ISSUES, AND ANYTHING OVER 100 PARTS PER MILLION
- 2 IS WHERE WE HAVE TO TAKE FURTHER ACTION, AND
- 3 WHAT WE HAVE HERE, AS WAS SAID EARLIER, IS A
- 4 RED FLAG TO GO BACK AND CONSIDER WHAT THE
- 5 IMPACT IS.
- 6 THOSE SOILS CAME FROM SOMEWHERE.
- 7 THEY CAME FROM THE SITE. THERE IS GOING TO BE
- 8 TALK, I'M SURE, ABOUT WHICH PARCEL THESE SOILS
- 9 CAME FROM. THE FACT IS THE CONSTRUCTION IS
- 10 GOING ON OVER MULTIPLE PARCELS, SO IT CAME FROM
- 11 SOMEWHERE WITHIN THE CONFINES OF THIS PROJECT,
- 12 AND SO THAT SHOULD BE SUFFICIENT TO RAISE
- 13 QUESTIONS, AND IN FACT IT'S BEEN IGNORED.
- 14 AS FAR AS THE LEVELS -- AS I SAID,
- 15 I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN A NUMBER OF CONTAMINATION
- 16 AND TANK ISSUES -- THOSE ARE LEVELS AT WHICH WE
- 17 HAVE TO TAKE ACTION. USUALLY WE HAVE TO
- 18 INVOLVE THE LOCAL AGENCIES, WE HAVE TO INVOLVE
- 19 THE WATER BOARD, ALL TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE
- 20 IS A PROBLEM THERE.
- 21 AND IN FACT THE SOIL STOCKPILE DID
- 22 COME FROM BELOW GROUNDWATER LEVEL, AND THAT'S
- 23 AN INDICATION, AND THAT'S PROBABLY WHY THE
- 24 WATER BOARD HAS EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN
- 25 LOOKING AT THIS ISSUE, IS THE FACT THAT THERE

- 1 IS POTENTIAL FOR WATER CONTAMINATION.
- 2 ALL THOSE ARE SIGNS THAT INDICATE
- 3 THAT THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN SOMETHING LOOKED AT
- 4 WAY BACK WHEN, BECAUSE THEY KNEW ABOUT IT
- 5 BEFORE. AND EVEN IF THIS INFORMATION WAS NEWLY
- 6 DISCOVERED, IT WOULD ALSO BE CAUSE TO PAUSE,
- 7 TAKE A LOOK AT WHERE WE ARE, AND ASSESS.
- 8 IT'S AMAZING THAT THIS WHOLE
- 9 PROCESS COULD EASILY HAVE BEEN FIXED A LONG
- 10 TIME AGO, AS I SAID. IT COULD HAVE BEEN
- 11 ADDRESSED QUITE SIMPLY, AND IT MIGHT EVEN HAVE
- 12 BEEN ADDRESSED IN THE MITIGATED NEG DEC, THAT
- 13 THERE IS CONTAMINATION THERE.
- 14 AS DR. RUSSELL SAID, THESE LEVELS
- 15 ARE NOT EXTREMELY HIGH. THEY COULD HAVE BEEN
- 16 ADDRESSED. IN FACT ALL THEY HAD TO DO
- 17 INITIALLY WAS JUST SAY THAT THEY WERE GOING TO
- 18 REMOVE THE EXTENT OF THE CONTAMINATION.
- 19 IN FACT WHAT THEY DID IS
- 20 ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE IS NO POTENTIAL HAZARD
- 21 THERE, AND THAT'S WHERE THE MISTAKE LIES.
- 22 SO WHERE WE END UP IS THAT A
- 23 DOCUMENT WAS SUBMITTED TO YOU, ASSUMABLY
- 24 CORRECT. IT WAS NOT. AND BASED UPON THAT WE
- 25 FOLLOWED THROUGH THE PROCESS. YOU REVIEWED THE

- 1 DOCUMENT. YOU RATIFIED THAT DOCUMENT. YOU
- 2 REQUESTED THEY RATIFY IT. YOU APPROVED THE
- 3 SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT.
- 4 IT IS ALL BASED UPON THE
- 5 INACCURATE ASSUMPTION THAT THERE WAS NO
- 6 POTENTIAL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD THERE. AND
- 7 I THINK IT'S BEEN ADEQUATELY DEMONSTRATED ON
- 8 THE RECORD THAT THAT POTENTIAL EXISTS AND
- 9 SHOULD HAVE BEEN LOOKED AT.
- 10 SO THEREFORE, SINCE THERE IS A
- 11 CONTAMINATION ISSUE -- THE SIGNIFICANCE IS
- 12 BEING DEBATED, BUT THE FACT IS IT'S THERE -- 13 THAT
- SHOULD HAVE BEEN LOOKED AT INITIALLY, AND
- 14 SINCE IT WASN'T, THAT DEEMS THE CEQA PROCESS,
- 15 EVEN THE RATIFICATION THAT HAPPENED LAST NIGHT,
- 16 AS INVALID AND INACCURATE, BASED UPON
- 17 INACCURATE INFORMATION.
- 18 SO SINCE THAT IS TRUE, THEN I
- 19 THINK WE HAVE TO FOLLOW THROUGH ON THE WHOLE
- 20 PROCESS AND AGREE WITH THE FACT THAT THAT CEQA
- 21 DETERMINATION WAS INACCURATE, THAT THE PROCESS
- 22 WAS INVALID, WHAT WAS HANDED TO YOU WAS AN
- 23 INCOMPLETE DOCUMENT, AND THEREFORE THE WHOLE
- 24 PACKAGE, INCLUDING THE SOLID WASTE PERMIT,
- 25 SHOULD BE RESCINDED.

- 1 AND WE URGE YOU TO TAKE THAT KIND
- 2 OF ACTION, AND AS I SAID, WE CAN'T UNDERSTAND
- 3 WHY THEY DIDN'T FIX THIS PROCESS A LONG TIME
- 4 AGO. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN QUITE EASY, AND WE
- 5 WOULDN'T BE HERE TODAY.
- 6 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS OF
- 8 MR. SWEETSER?
- 9 OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 10 NOW WE WILL HEAR FROM VICTOR
- 11 SCHAUB.
- MR. SCHAUB: ALL I WAS GOING TO INFORM
- 13 YOU WAS THAT THE CITY COUNCIL RATIFIED THE
- 14 ADDENDUM AS YOU HAD REQUESTED LAST NIGHT.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 16 THANK YOU.
- 17 NEXT WE WILL HEAR FROM STEVE
- 18 SALZMAN. IS THAT CORRECT? SALZMAN?
- 19 MEMBER JONES: BILL GRAHAM WANTS TO
- 20 SPEAK FIRST.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. BILL
- 22 GRAHAM.
- MR. GRAHAM: THANK YOU. I'M BILL
- 24 GRAHAM, THE PROJECT MANAGER FOR ECDC
- 25 ENVIRONMENTAL, AND I JUST WANTED TO MAKE A

- 1 COUPLE OF QUICK COMMENTS.
- 2 WE ARE GOING TO BE THE OPERATOR
- 3 FOR THE PROJECT UP THERE. I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED
- 4 MYSELF WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CEQA
- 5 DOCUMENTS AND THE SITE DEVELOPMENT, AND I CAN
- 6 ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS RELATED TO THOSE THAT YOU
- 7 MAY HAVE.
- 8 I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS
- 9 PROJECT FOR OVER SIX YEARS. I HAVE INVESTED A
- 10 LOT OF TIME AND EFFORT INTO IT, AND I'M VERY
- 11 FAMILIAR WITH THE PROJECT.
- 12 WE HAVE A TEAM OF PEOPLE HERE TO
- 13 ANSWER ANY OTHER QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE
- 14 REGARDING THE PROJECT. WE HAVE VICTOR SCHAUB,
- 15 WHO IS THE AUTHORITY COUNSEL. HE JUST GOT UP
- 16 AND INTRODUCED HIMSELF. WE HAVE STEVE SALZMAN
- 17 WITH WINZLER AND KELLY. HE IS A PROFESSIONAL
- 18 ENGINEER AND A GEOLOGIST WHO IS KNOWLEDGEABLE
- 19 ABOUT THE SITE. HE HAS DONE WORK ON IT FOR A
- 20 NUMBER OF YEARS.
- 21 WE HAVE MICHAEL REMY, WHO IS AN
- 22 ATTORNEY AND A CEQA SPECIALIST AS WELL, WITH
- 23 US, AND JIM BRADBURY, WHO IS A REPRESENTATIVE
- 24 OF THE DRYCREEK LANDFILL UP IN OREGON.
- 25 SO ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY

- 1 HAVE, WE ARE CERTAINLY PREPARED TO ANSWER THEM.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD. ANY
- 3 QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT?
- 4 I HAVE SPEAKER SLIPS FROM STEVE
- 5 SALZMAN AND FROM MICHAEL REMY. DID YOU WANT TO
- 6 SPEAK?
- 7 MR. SALZMAN: YES.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. GREAT.
- 9 MR. SALZMAN: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME
- 10 IS STEVE SALZMAN. LIKE BILL INTRODUCED ME, I'M
- 11 A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER FROM WINZLER AND KELLY.
- 12 I WAS ASKED TO COME AND ADDRESS THIS ISSUE OF
- 13 THE SOILS ON THE SITE.
- 14 JUST A QUICK ROLL-BACK TO ABOUT
- 15 1988, 1989. THERE WAS A PHASE I AND PHASE II
- 16 ASSESSMENTS DONE ON THE PARCEL NEXT DOOR TO THE
- 17 ONE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT NOW. THAT'S WHERE THE
- 18 PCP WAS FOUND. A PCP DIP TANK WAS FOUND TO BE
- 19 LEAKING THERE AND HAD CONTAMINATED SOIL AND
- 20 GROUNDWATER ON THAT SITE.
- 21 I WAS INVOLVED IN THAT
- 22 INVESTIGATION WITH A DIFFERENT COMPANY AT THAT
- 23 POINT, AND WE EXCAVATED A LOT OF THE SOIL OUT
- 24 OF THAT AREA AND SET UP THE GROUNDWATER
- 25 EXTRACTION SYSTEM, AND THAT WAS ALL DONE UNDER

- 1 A REGIONAL BOARD.
- 2 BILL HAS JUST PROVIDED US WITH A
- 3 MAP OF THAT SITE.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: IS THAT EXISTING, OR IS
- 5 THAT WHAT'S PROPOSED, OR WHAT?
- 6 MR. SALZMAN: THIS IS THE PROPOSED
- 7 SITE. THIS IS THE TRANSFER STATION HERE. YOU
- 8 CAN'T REALLY SEE EVERYTHING HERE, BUT THE OTHER
- 9 PARCEL, THE OTHER SITE, IS OFF THE MAP. IT'S A
- 10 COUPLE OF PARCELS OVER.
- 11 THERE WAS AN EXTENSIVE
- 12 INVESTIGATION DONE THERE. IT WAS A LARGE
- 13 CLEANUP ALL DICTATED BY THE REGIONAL BOARD.
- 14 AND IT'S ONGOING. THERE IS STILL GROUNDWATER
- 15 CLEANUP HAPPENING THERE NOW. BUT THEY
- 16 DETERMINED THE EXTENT OF THE CONTAMINATION AND
- 17 REMOVED THE SOIL THAT WAS CONTAMINATED AT THAT
- 18 POINT AND THEY ARE STILL WORKING ON THE GROUND
- 19 WATER.
- 20 THE CONTAMINATION WE ARE TALKING
- 21 ABOUT ON THE TRANSFER SITE IS SURFACE SURFICIAL
- 22 CONTAMINATION FROM PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS. AND
- 23 AS HAS BEEN POINTED OUT HERE, WE CAN ARGUE
- 24 ABOUT THE LEVELS AND CONCENTRATIONS AND HOW
- 25 SIGNIFICANT THEY ARE OR AREN'T SIGNIFICANT, BUT

- 1 THE FACT REMAINS THAT THEY DO NEED TO BE DEALT
- 2 WITH, AND THEY ARE BEING DEALT WITH.
- 3 FROM REGIONAL BOARD'S POINT OF
- 4 VIEW, AND THIS IS FROM TESTIMONY LAST NIGHT AT
- 5 ARCATA'S COUNCIL MEETING, THE LEVELS ARE
- 6 NOTHING THAT'S A REAL BIG DEAL FOR THEM. YOU
- 7 KNOW, IT'S JUST A FAIRLY TYPICAL HYDROCARBON
- 8 CLEANUP SITE, AND THEY ARE WORKING WITH THE
- 9 PROPERTY OWNER NOW.
- 10 I CHARACTERIZED THE STOCKPILES TO
- 11 BEGIN WITH FOR DISPOSAL. I WASN'T TRYING TO
- 12 CHARACTERIZE THE SITE. I ONLY CHARACTERIZED
- 13 THE STOCKPILES WHEN WE DECIDED THAT THEY HAD TO
- 14 BE MOVED OFF SITE OR DISPOSED. AND SO THE
- 15 COMPOSITE SAMPLING WAS DONE SPECIFICALLY TO
- 16 CHARACTERIZE FOR DISPOSAL, NOT TO CHARACTERIZE
- 17 FOR WHERE THE CONTAMINATION HAD COME FROM OR
- 18 ANYTHING ELSE.
- 19 AND COMPOSITE SAMPLING IS A WELL
- 20 ACCEPTED PRACTICE FOR DISPOSAL. YOU ARE TRYING
- 21 TO FIND OUT WHAT THE AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IS
- 22 THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE PILE. YOU'RE NOT TRYING
- 23 TO FIND HOT SPOTS.
- 24 SO THE REGIONAL BOARD'S FEELING
- 25 AND MY FEELING IS THIS IS NOT A HEALTH HAZARD

- 1 SITE. THE HYDROCARBON CONTAMINATION AND DIESEL
- 2 OIL THAT'S FOUND IN THAT SITE IS NOT REALLY A
- 3 HEALTH HAZARD. IT'S SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE
- 4 CLEANED UP. AND TYPICALLY THE WAY THESE THINGS
- 5 ARE CLEANED UP, EVEN IN UNDERGROUND TANK
- 6 SITUATIONS, THEY DIG OUT THE SOIL, AND THEN
- 7 THEY GO BACK AND PAVE IT OVER.
- 8 THAT'S WHAT'S HAPPENING AT THIS
- 9 SITE. WE HAVE DUG OUT THAT SOIL. AND THERE
- 10 MAY BE OTHER SPOTS THAT GET FOUND DURING THAT
- 11 WORK. I UNDERSTAND MOST OF THE SITE IS PAVED
- 12 OVER NOW, SO THERE PROBABLY WON'T BE A LOT MORE
- 13 EXCAVATION THAT'S GOING ON.
- 14 SO THE REMEDIATION, YOU KNOW, IT
- 15 MAY PROGRESS IN TO GROUNDWATER STUDY, BUT
- 16 THAT'S NOT LIMITED BY PAVEMENT. YOU CAN DRILL
- 17 RIGHT THROUGH THAT. AND IF THERE IS A
- 18 GROUNDWATER CLEANUP THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE, IT'S
- 19 GOING TO BE DONE UNDER REGIONAL BOARD
- 20 GUIDELINES, THE TRI-REGIONAL GUIDELINES, AND
- 21 THEY CAN PROCEED WITH IT JUST LIKE THEY WOULD
- 22 AT ANY OTHER GAS TANK SITE OR ANYTHING ELSE.
- 23 YOU HAVE SEEN SERVICE STATIONS ALL
- 24 OVER THE PLACE WITH PILES OF SOIL DUG UP, PUT
- 25 BACK. THE SERVICE STATIONS ARE UP AND RUNNING,

- 1 AND THERE IS MONITORING WELLS AROUND THE
- 2 STATION, WORKING ON THE PROBLEM. SO IT'S NOT
- 3 AN UNUSUAL THING TO HAVE HAPPEN.
- 4 THE STOCKPILES THAT ARE OUT THERE,
- 5 THERE IS A 3500 CUBIC YARD STOCKPILE THAT WAS
- 6 THE MAIN EXCAVATION. THAT WAS THE MATERIAL
- 7 THAT WAS FIRST BROUGHT TO THE LOCAL LANDFILL
- 8 FOR DISPOSAL AND WAS REJECTED FROM THAT LOCAL
- 9 LANDFILL ON THE BASIS OF THE OPERATOR LOOKING
- 10 AT IT OR SMELLING IT. HIS OPINION OF IT WAS
- 11 THAT IT WAS CONTAMINATED.
- 12 AND THIS SAME MATERIAL, WHEN I
- 13 WENT TO EXAMINE THE STOCKPILE, DID NOT LOOK
- 14 CONTAMINATED AT ALL. IT LOOKED LIKE A PILE OF
- 15 RIVER-RUN GRAVEL. IT DIDN'T SMELL
- 16 CONTAMINATED. IT DIDN'T LOOK CONTAMINATED. IT
- 17 DIDN'T FEEL CONTAMINATED.
- 18 IT HAD LOW LEVELS OF CONTAMINATION
- 19 IN IT. IT WAS ABOUT FIVE PARTS PER MILLION
- 20 DIESEL, AVERAGE, AND LESS THAN 100 PARTS PER
- 21 MILLION MOTOR OIL, AVERAGE.
- 22 I ALSO LOOKED FOR PCP, BECAUSE I
- 23 WAS AWARE OF THE HISTORY OF THE SITE, AND THERE
- 24 WAS NONE THERE. WE ALSO LOOKED FOR GASOLINE
- 25 AND BTEX, BENZENE, ETYLBENZENE AND TOLUENE.

- 1 WE DID FIND TOLUENE AT VERY LOW
- 2 LEVELS. THE MAXIMUM CONTAMINANT LEVEL FOR
- 3 DRINKING WATER STANDARDS IN CALIFORNIA ARE .15
- 4 PARTS PER MILLION. THE CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN
- 5 THIS SOIL ARE ABOUT -- AN ORDER OF MAGNITUDE
- 6 LESS THAN THAT. SO I DON'T THINK IT'S GOING TO
- 7 BE A DRINKING WATER PROBLEM. I DON'T THINK IT
- 8 WILL BE AN ISSUE IN THE GROUNDWATER.
- 9 ANOTHER POINT THAT WAS BROUGHT UP
- 10 WAS THE GROUNDWATER INTO THAT SITE IS PROBABLY
- 11 NOT USED. WATER IN THE CITY OF ARCATA IS
- 12 SUPPLIED OUT OF THE MADD RIVER. VERY FEW
- 13 PEOPLE USE WELLS, ESPECIALLY IN THAT AREA OF
- 14 ARCATA. THERE IS SOME WATER USE OUT IN THE
- 15 BOTTOMS, OUT IN THE ARCATA BOTTOMS, BUT MOST OF
- 16 IT COMES FROM THE RIVER.
- 17 THERE IS ANOTHER PILE THAT HAS
- 18 HIGHER CONTAMINANT LEVELS, MODERATE CONTAMINANT
- 19 LEVELS, 600 OR 700 PARTS PER MILLION MOTOR OIL.
- 20 THIS IS A CLASS 2 DISPOSAL MATERIAL. IT'S
- 21 EASILY HANDLED, AND MOST LANDFILLS ARE QUICK TO
- 22 TAKE THAT OR BIOREMEDIATE IT OR USE IT IN SOME
- 23 WAY. SO THAT MATERIAL PROBABLY WILL HAVE TO
- 24 GET REMOVED FROM THE SITE AND DISPOSED.
- 25 THE 3500 YARDS THAT WE ORIGINALLY

- 1 STARTED TALKING ABOUT IS SOMETHING THAT CAN BE
- 2 USED BY MOST LANDFILLS AS DAILY COVER. IT'S
- 3 NOT A BIG DISPOSAL PROBLEM. IN FACT IT CAN
- 4 PROBABLY BE USED IN THE LOCAL AREA FOR BACKFILL
- 5 OF TANK INVESTIGATION EXCAVATIONS OR OTHER
- 6 USES. YOU COULD USE IT UNDER ROAD BASE. AS
- 7 LONG AS IT'S PAVED OVER AND TAKEN OUT OF THE
- 8 SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER CONTACT, YOU CAN
- 9 USE THIS KIND OF SOIL PRETTY READILY.
- 10 I'M HERE TO ANSWER ANY OTHER
- 11 QUESTIONS, IF YOU HAVE ANY.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY
- 13 QUESTIONS OF MR. SALZMAN?
- 14 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 16 MEMBER JONES: I HAVE A FEW.
- 17 WHEN DID YOU GET INVOLVED WITH
- 18 THIS SOIL ISSUE? AFTER IT HAD BEEN EXCAVATED?
- 19 MR. SALZMAN: AFTER IT HAD BEEN
- 20 EXCAVATED. I WAS REQUESTED BY THE CONTRACTOR
- 21 TO SAMPLE THE SOILS AFTER IT WAS REJECTED BY
- 22 THE LOCAL LANDFILL.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: AND HOW LONG HAD IT
- 24 BEEN SITTING OUTSIDE?
- MR. SALZMAN: ABOUT A DAY, MAYBE TWO.

- 1 MEMBER JONES: AND NORMAL REMEDIATION
- 2 OF THOSE THINGS IS TO EXPOSE IT TO AIR?
- 3 MR. SALZMAN: IF YOU WANT TO REMEDIATE
- 4 MOTOR OIL AND DIESEL, YOU ARE PROBABLY TALKING
- 5 BIOREMEDIATION OR INCINERATION. MOTOR OILS
- 6 DON'T AERATE OUT VERY WELL. NEITHER DO DIESEL.
- 7 SO THE ISSUE OF MIXING IT AND LOSING IT THROUGH
- 8 EVAPORATION, I WOULDN'T EXPECT THAT TO HAPPEN.
- 9 ALSO I WOULD ANTICIPATE, AND THE
- 10 LAB RESULTS CAME BACK SAYING THAT THIS DIDN'T
- 11 HAVE THE APPEARANCE OF FRESH MOTOR OIL IN THE
- 12 CHROMATOGRAMS, WHICH MEANS IT HAD BEEN AROUND
- 13 FOR A WHILE AND DEGRADED. SO THE HEAVIER
- 14 COMPOUNDS ARE LEFT OVER. THE LIGHTER ONES HAVE
- 15 ALREADY BEEN EITHER BIOREMEDIATED OUT OR
- 16 AERATED OUT ON THEIR OWN.
- 17 SO MOVING THE SOIL STOCKPILES
- 18 AROUND, YOU MIGHT HAVE LOST SOME TOLUENE, SOME
- 19 OF THE MORE VOLATILE COMPONENTS, BUT I DON'T
- 20 THINK YOU WOULD LOSE THE MOTOR OIL AND DIESEL.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: IF YOU BUILD OVER
- 22 SOMETHING THAT'S GOT VOC'S, AND IT'S NOT
- 23 VENTED, BECAUSE YOU HAD SAID WHEN YOU DO A GAS
- 24 TANK EXCAVATION AND YOU ARE PUTTING SOILS BACK
- 25 IN, YOU VENT THAT SO THAT THERE IS NO BUILDUP

- 1 OF EXPLOSIVE GASSES OR FUMES UNDER THE GROUND.
- 2 WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU PUT A
- 3 CONCRETE SLAB OVER MATERIAL THAT IS COMBUSTIBLE
- 4 AND YOU HAVEN'T ENGINEERED IT FOR VENTING? IS
- 5 THERE AN ISSUE THERE?
- 6 MR. SALZMAN: I DON'T BELIEVE
- 7 THERE WOULD BE AN ISSUE THERE AT THIS POINT,
- 8 BECAUSE THE MATERIALS THAT CAME OUT OF THE
- 9 GROUND, THE VOLATILE STUFF WE FOUND IN THERE,
- 10 THE TOLUENE, IS AT LOWER THAN THE TASTE AND
- 11 ODOR THRESHOLDS EVEN IN GROUNDWATER. SO YOU
- 12 COULDN'T SMELL IT AND YOU COULDN'T TASTE IT.
- 13 DOUBT IF IT WOULD BE COMBUSTIBLE, BUT I HAVEN'T
- 14 DONE ANY ENGINEERING OR CALCULATIONS TO TRY TO
- 15 FIGURE OUT IF IT'S NEAR ITS COMBUSTIBLE LIMIT.
- 16 I SERIOUSLY DOUBT IT THOUGH.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: BUT IT'S AT THE
- 18 FOOTINGS, RIGHT? THE EXCAVATIONS WERE FOR THE
- 19 FOOTINGS?
- 20 MR. SALZMAN: AND THE SLAB, YEAH. THE
- 21 WHOLE FOOTING AND SLAB FOR THE WHOLE TRANSFER
- 22 STATION.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. SO EVERYTHING
- 24 HAS BEEN -- DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE SOIL LEVEL IS
- 25 UNDER WHERE THAT SLAB IS GOING TO GO?

- 1 MR. SALZMAN: SOIL LEVELS?
- 2 MEMBER JONES: I MEANS WHAT'S THE
- 3 CONTAMINATION LEVEL WHERE YOU STOPPED? I MEAN
- 4 WHERE THEY STOPPED EXCAVATING, WERE THEY SURE
- 5 THAT THEY HAD GOTTEN ALL THAT MATERIAL?
- 6 MR. SALZMAN: NO, THEY WEREN'T
- 7 EXCAVATING FOR CONTAMINANTS AT THAT POINT
- 8 MEMBER JONES: THEY WERE EXCAVATING
- 9 FOR--
- 10 MR. SALZMAN: THEY WERE TRYING TO
- 11 OVEREXCAVATE TO REMOVE UNSUITABLE SOILS THAT
- 12 ARE UNSUITABLE FOR FOUNDATION CONDITIONS.
- MR. GRAHAM: MR. JONES, IF I MAY?
- 14 MR. JONES: YES.
- MR. GRAHAM: THE EXCAVATION AT THE
- 16 SITE DUG DOWN AROUND THE ENTIRE FOOTPRINT OF
- 17 THE BUILDING DOWN TO NATIVE SOIL LEVELS, THE
- 18 NATIVE LEVELS. ANYTHING THAT WAS BACKFILLED
- 19 WAS TAKEN OUT. AND CLEAN FILLS IMPORTED BACK
- 20 IN WAS RIVER-RUN GRAVEL, SO THERE WAS NOTHING
- 21 THAT WOULD BE SITTING ON ANY FILL MATERIAL THAT
- 22 WAS AT THE SITE BEFORE, SO JUST AS A POINT OF
- 23 CLARIFICATION.
- 24 AND AS FAR AS THE VOLATILITY OF
- 25 THE TOLUENE, IT'S AT SUCH LOW LEVELS IT COULD

- 1 NOT BE CONSIDERED IGNITIBLE.
- 2 MEMBER JONES: FROM THE STUFF THAT WAS
- 3 EXCAVATED?
- 4 MR. GRAHAM: CORRECT.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: WE DON'T KNOW ABOUT THE
- 6 STUFF THAT'S STILL THERE?
- 7 MR. GRAHAM: THE MATERIALS THAT -- AS
- 8 A BIT OF ADDITIONAL CLARIFICATION, THE
- 9 MATERIALS THAT CAME UP WITH THE HIGHER LEVELS
- 10 OF HYDROCARBONS WERE GENERATED FROM SCRAPINGS
- 11 IN THE YARD.
- 12 THERE IS TWO DISTINCT PILES HERE.
- 13 THERE WAS THE MATERIAL THAT WAS EXCAVATED DOWN
- 14 TO THE NATIVE FILL, AND THAT IS THE BIGGER
- 15 STOCKPILE. THAT IS WHAT I WILL CALL THE CLASS
- 16 3 MATERIAL, UNDER 100 PARTS PER MILLION OF TPH.
- 17 AND THEN THERE WERE YARD
- 18 SCRAPINGS. THERE WERE ABOUT 1200 YARDS OF
- 19 THAT, WHICH WERE GENERATED -- YOU KNOW, A LOT
- 20 OF IT HAS GOT WOOD WASTE IN IT. THE SITE WAS
- 21 BY THE WAY PAVED INITIALLY. AND OTHER
- 22 SURFACE -- THINGS THAT CAME FROM THE SURFACE,
- 23 YOU KNOW. SO THAT'S WHERE THE HIGHER LEVELS IN
- 24 THE TOLUENE CAME FROM. SO TO OUR KNOWLEDGE
- 25 THERE IS NO TOLUENE SUBSURFACE.

- 1 MEMBER JONES: I AM WORKING OFF THE
- 2 PREMISE THAT THE JPA'S COUNSEL AT OUR LAST
- 3 MEETING TOLD US WE WOULD HAVE TO BE FROM MARS
- 4 NOT TO KNOW THAT THAT SOIL WAS CONTAMINATED, SO
- 5 I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT, IF IN FACT WE ARE NOT
- 6 FROM MARS, WHAT WOULD THE NORMAL -- WELL, LET
- 7 ME BACK UP.
- 8 THE MATERIAL THAT HAS BEEN
- 9 EXCAVATED HAS BEEN PUT IN PLACE, AND YOU HAVE
- 10 POURED CONCRETE -- AND NOW I NEED TO ASK YOUR
- 11 ENGINEER A QUESTION.
- MR. GRAHAM: SURE.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: DO YOU PROVIDE A
- 14 SERVICE TO SOMEBODY THAT'S BUYING A PIECE OF
- 15 PROPERTY AND DO SITE INVESTIGATIONS PRIOR TO
- 16 PURCHASE?
- MR. SALZMAN: YES.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: IS THAT A NORMAL COURSE
- 19 OF BUSINESS?
- MR. SALZMAN: CERTAINLY.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: IS IT A BUSINESS THAT
- 22 YOU ARE TELLING SOMEBODY THAT BUYS A PIECE OF
- 23 PROPERTY THAT IN FACT THAT SOIL OR THAT
- 24 PROPERTY IS IN A CONDITION THAT'S NOT GOING TO
- 25 COST THEM ANYTHING TO BUILD ON AS FAR AS

187

- 1 REMEDIATION GOES? THERE IS NO POTENTIAL
- 2 LIABILITY?
- 3 MR. SALZMAN: YOU ARE REFERRING TO
- 4 LIKE A PHASE I AND PHASE II SITE ASSESSMENT,
- 5 REAL ESTATE TRANSACTION SITE ASSESSMENT?
- 6 MEMBER JONES: REAL ESTATE
- 7 TRANSACTION. I'M GOING TO GO LOOK AT A PIECE
- 8 OF PROPERTY, I'M GOING TO BUY IT, I WANT TO DO
- 9 A SITE INVESTIGATION.
- 10 MR. SALZMAN: YES. OUR FIRM DOES
- 11 THAT. I WASN'T RETAINED TO DO THAT FOR THIS
- 12 SITE.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: WAS THIS DONE AT THIS
- 14 SITE?
- 15 MR. SALZMAN: IN 1988 AND '89 I THINK
- 16 THAT THE OWNER HAD THAT DONE FOR THE SITE, AND
- 17 THAT'S WHAT TURNED UP THE PCP CONTAMINANT
- 18 INVESTIGATION.
- 19 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.
- 20 MR. GRAHAM: ALSO IF I COULD ADD SOME
- 21 INFORMATION TO THAT.
- 22 IN THE SITE INVESTIGATION THEY DID
- 23 WITH THE CITY, IN CONJUNCTION WITH CITY
- 24 ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES STAFF, WE LOOKED AT OLD
- 25 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS FROM THE '40S AND '505 ON UP

- 1 THROUGH RECENT TIMES.
- 2 THIS PART OF THE PARCEL, ACTUALLY
- 3 THIS WHOLE YARD HERE WAS PAVED. IT WAS A
- 4 LUMBER STORAGE AREA. THEY PUT LUMBER OUTSIDE
- 5 AFTER IT HAD BEEN MILLED IN THE MILL, WHICH IS
- 6 IN THE BUILDING UP OVER HERE. AND THEY STORED
- 7 THAT LUMBER HERE. IT WAS ALL PAVED. IN FACT,
- 8 ON THE CITY GIS MAP WE LOOKED AT THE PARCEL,
- 9 AND IT SHOWS IT AS BEING IMPERVIOUS.
- 10 WE KNEW OF THE PHASE I AND THE
- 11 PHASE II THAT HAD BEEN DONE THAT HAD IDENTIFIED
- 12 THE CONTAMINATION WHICH IS UP OVER ON THE OTHER
- 13 SIDE OF THESE BUILDINGS. THAT CONTAMINATION OF
- 14 THE GROUNDWATER IN THOSE AREAS HAD BEEN
- 15 DELINEATED AND CONTINUES TO BE MONITORED AND
- 16 DELINEATED, AND IT IS NOT ANYWHERE NEAR THIS
- 17 PARCEL. SO THIS IS A POINT OF CLARIFICATION.
- 18 I WANTED TO MAKE THAT.
- 19 THANK YOU.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 21 THANK YOU.
- 22 NEXT WE WILL HEAR FROM MICHAEL
- 23 REMY.
- 24 MR. REMY: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF
- 25 THE COMMITTEE, I'M MIKE REMY FROM REMY, THOMAS

- 1 AND MOOSE HERE IN SACRAMENTO.
- 2 MY LEGAL EXPERTISE IS IN THE
- 3 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, AND I
- 4 JUST WANT TO BASICALLY MAKE MYSELF AVAILABLE
- 5 FOR ANY QUESTIONS ON THAT ACT, BUT I WOULD LIKE
- 6 TO ADDRESS A COUPLE POINTS THAT I HEARD MADE BY
- 7 AARON ISHERWOOD ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED
- 8 NEIGHBORHOOD ALLIANCE.
- 9 THERE IS A DIFFERENCE, AND IT'S AN
- 10 INTENTIONAL DIFFERENCE UNDER THE CALIFORNIA
- 11 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT FOR WHAT YOUR
- 12 OBLIGATIONS ARE, THE STANDARDS OF REVIEW, FOR
- 13 WHEN YOU INITIALLY ARE TO ENTERTAIN APPROVING A
- 14 PARTICULAR PROJECT VERSUS THIS KIND OF
- 15 SITUATION WHERE YOU HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK AT A
- 16 SUBSEQUENT -- AT THE POTENTIAL FOR A SUBSEQUENT
- 17 ANALYSIS. THAT MEANS ANALYSIS THAT WOULD COME
- 18 AFTER EITHER A NEGATIVE DECLARATION OR
- 19 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED.
- 20 THERE IS A VERY GOOD REASON FOR
- 21 THIS, AND THE ONLY ANALOGY I CAN GIVE YOU IS
- 22 THAT THERE A CONTEMPLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA
- 23 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT THAT INITIALLY WE
- 24 OUGHT TO BE OPERATING UNDER SOMETHING CALLED
- 25 THE FAIR ARGUMENT TEST AT THE THRESHOLD. IF

- 1 YOU CAN MAKE A GOOD ARGUMENT, YOU OUGHT TO BE
- 2 LOOKING AT IT.
- 3 IT'S QUITE DIFFERENT FOR AN AGENCY
- 4 TO LOOK AT A SITUATION WHERE THE PROCESS HAS
- 5 ALREADY BEEN UNDERTAKEN BY THE LEAD AGENCY.
- 6 THERE THE COURTS HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION
- 7 THAT ACTUALLY THERE OUGHT NOT TO BE A REOPENING
- 8 OF THAT PROCESS UNLESS THE AGENCY HAS VERY
- 9 CLEAR AND SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE BEFORE IT THAT
- 10 IT DOES IN FACT REQUIRE A RECIRCULATION OR
- 11 REASSESSMENT THROUGH AN ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT
- 12 THAT PREVIOUSLY HAD BEEN PREPARED.
- 13 AND THE ANALOGY I WOULD GIVE YOU
- 14 IS THAT -- OR THE REASONING FOR THIS IN SOME
- 15 RESPECTS IS SOMETHING LIKE THIS. WE ALL HAVE
- 16 HEARD OF THE DANGERS OF SOMEONE IN LET'S SAY
- 17 EVEN A CROWDED THEATER YELLING OUT SOMETHING
- 18 LIKE "FIRE," BECAUSE IT COULD PANIC, IT COULD
- 19 CAUSE COMMOTION AND SO ON.
- 20 IT DOESN'T REALLY HELP THAT MUCH
- 21 FOR SOMEONE UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES TO SAY,
- 22 "I'M NOT SURE, BUT I THINK THERE MIGHT BE A
- 23 FIRE," TO EXPLAIN THAT.
- 24 IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES WE FIND
- 25 OURSELVES IN, AND THIS IS WHAT THE COURTS

- 1 ANTICIPATED, MERELY THROWING UP THE TYPES OF
- 2 ALLEGATIONS THAT YOU HAVE HEARD, AFTER AN
- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT PROCESS OR LET'S
- 4 SAY AN ASSESSMENT PROCESS HAS TAKEN PLACE, HAS
- 5 TO BE SUBJECTED TO A DIFFERENT STANDARD, AND SO
- 6 THE COURTS HAVE BASICALLY SAID THAT THERE IS A
- 7 DIFFERENT INTENT IN CEQA WHEN IT'S AFTER A
- 8 COMPLETION OF THAT PROCESS BY THE LEAD AGENCY,
- 9 AND YOU MUST BE ABLE TO SHOW THAT EITHER
- 10 SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES IN THE PROJECT OR ITS
- 11 CIRCUMSTANCES WILL REQUIRE A MAJOR REVISION IN
- 12 THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.
- 13 NOW, THAT IS NOT TO SAY, AND I
- 14 WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT, WE ARE NOT SAYING THAT
- 15 WE DON'T HAVE SOME THINGS WE NEED TO DEAL WITH,
- 16 BUT WHAT I'M TRYING TO EMPHASIZE IS THAT IT
- 17 INAPPROPRIATELY LENDS THROUGH THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 18 IMPACT REPORT REQUIREMENTS TO SAY NOW YOU MUST
- 19 THEREFORE TAKE SOME ACTION TO REDO THAT
- 20 ANALYSIS.
- 21 THERE ARE IN FACT PROCEDURES IN
- 22 PLACE, INCLUDING PROCEDURES FOR THE LEAD AGENCY
- 23 TO UNDERTAKE REMEDIAL ANALYSIS IF IT IS
- 24 NECESSARY.
- 25 SO MY POINT IS SIMPLY THAT IT

- 1 ISN'T LIKE THE KIND OF CONSIDERATION WHERE YOU
- 2 INITIALLY ARE APPROACHED WITH A PROJECT AND YOU
- 3 MUST TAKE INTO ACCOUNT ANY FAIR ARGUMENT AND
- 4 DEAL WITH IT. HERE IT IS QUITE A DIFFERENT
- 5 STANDARD.
- 6 THIS IS ALL SET FORTH IN GUIDELINE
- 7 SECTION 5162, AND THE CASES THAT ARE DISCUSSED
- 8 THEREUNDER, SO IT'S QUITE A DIFFERENT STANDARD.
- 9 AND THAT'S MY POINT, AND I WOULD
- 10 BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS WHEN YOU GET
- 11 TO THE CEQA PORTION, THE CALIFORNIA
- 12 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT PORTION OF THIS
- 13 PARTICULAR PROBLEM.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. VERY
- 15 GOOD. ANY QUESTIONS OF MR. REMY?
- 16 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS.
- 19 OUR ACTION HERE, JUST SO YOU KNOW,
- 20 WAS BASED ON A MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION, AND
- 21 THAT'S WHY WE ASKED THAT IT BE RECONSIDERED.
- 22 THESE ISSUES HAVE COME UP SUBSEQUENT TO THAT.
- 23 BUT IT BEGS THE QUESTION, SINCE
- 24 YOU ARE A CEQA EXPERT AND THAT YOU HAVE LAID
- 25 THIS OUT FOR MY BENEFIT, AND I THINK IT WAS THE

- 1 SEPTEMBER 17TH MEETING OR MAY HAVE BEEN THE
- 2 AUGUST 26TH MEETING, I'M NOT SURE. PROBABLY
- 3 THE SEPTEMBER 17TH MEETING.
- 4 SOMEBODY FROM EITHER THE CITIZENS
- 5 ALLIANCE OR FROM CITY GARBAGE OR NORCAL
- 6 TESTIFIED THAT MATERIAL HAD BEEN DELIVERED TO
- 7 THE LANDFILL AND HAD BEEN REJECTED BECAUSE IT
- 8 DIDN'T SMELL RIGHT OR SOMETHING, AND THEY
- 9 DIDN'T HAVE A -- THEY DIDN'T GO INTO IT.
- 10 CLEARLY IT WASN'T APPROPRIATE FOR
- 11 US NOT TO -- I MEAN IT WAS CLEARLY APPROPRIATE
- 12 THAT WE DIDN'T MAKE THAT A BIG ISSUE HERE,
- 13 BECAUSE IT WAS THE FACT THAT SOMETHING HAD BEEN
- 14 DELIVERED AND THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT IT WAS, BUT
- 15 THEY BROUGHT IT UP.
- 16 BUT AT THE SAME TIME THERE WERE
- 17 FIVE MEMBERS OF THE JPA SITTING IN OUR AUDIENCE
- 18 THAT HEARD THAT THAT DIDN'T OFFER ANYTHING, YET
- 19 WE HAVE BEEN GIVEN INFORMATION THAT THEY KNEW
- 20 THAT THERE WAS CONTAMINATION ON THE SITE.
- 21 WE DIDN'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION AT
- 22 THIS TIME, BUT AS SUBSEQUENTLY CAME UP, THEY
- 23 KNEW THERE WAS CONTAMINATION ON THE SITE, IT
- 24 WAS PART OF THEIR RECORD FOR THEIR OWN JPA
- 25 MEETING PRIOR TO THESE MEETINGS AND PART OF THE

- 1 LEASE AGREEMENT THAT THEY WERE DEALING WITH,
- 2 YET THEY SAT IN THE AUDIENCE AND DID NOT OFFER
- 3 ANY KIND OF CLARIFICATION.
- 4 IS THAT AN ISSUE FOR US, WHEN WE
- 5 ARE TALKING ABOUT THINGS LIKE NOT HAVING ALL
- 6 THE INFORMATION IN FRONT OF YOU WHEN YOU ARE
- 7 MAKING A DETERMINATION? SHOULD WE FEEL
- 8 CONCERNED ABOUT THAT? SHOULD I FEEL CONCERNED
- 9 ABOUT THAT, THAT THE ISSUE WAS BROUGHT UP,
- 10 PEOPLE HAD EVIDENCE ABOUT IT AND DIDN'T OFFER
- 11 ANY EXPLANATION, EVEN THE EXPLANATION THAT IT
- 12 WAS BENIGN AND DIDN'T REALLY MATTER? IS THAT
- 13 AN ISSUE UNDER CEQA?
- 14 MR. REMY: WELL, THE LAST QUESTION YOU
- 15 ASK AS TO WHETHER OR NOT IT'S AN ISSUE UNDER
- 16 CEQA IS THE ONLY ONE REALLY THAT I HAVE ANY
- 17 KNOWLEDGE ABOUT. BUT LET ME SAY THIS. I THINK
- 18 THE EFFORT TODAY IS TO BRING BEFORE YOU
- 19 INFORMATION, BECAUSE IT'S CLEARLY RECOGNIZED
- 20 THAT YOU DO HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO DEAL WITH
- 21 THESE ISSUES AS A STATEWIDE BODY, REGULATORY
- 22 BODY, ON THIS ISSUE. THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT
- 23 YOU HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES TO MEET AND THAT YOU
- 24 HAVE IN FACT TO DEAL WITH THEM.
- 25 THE REASON THAT I'M ADDRESSING YOU

- 1 Is ONE OF THE METHODS OF DEALING WITH IT WOULD
- 2 BE THROUGH THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS OF THE
- 3 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. THAT'S A
- 4 METHODOLOGY.
- 5 THERE ARE OTHER WAYS AND OTHER
- 6 MEANS BY WHICH YOU MUST DEAL WITH THESE ISSUES.
- 7 AS WAS POINTED OUT, THE STATE WATER RESOURCE
- 8 CONTROL BOARD IS ALREADY INVOLVED. THERE ARE
- 9 NO DENIALS THAT I KNOW OF OR HIDING THAT'S
- 10 GOING ON IN ANY WAY.
- 11 BUT THE QUESTION REALLY
- 12 PROCEDURALLY AS TO WHETHER OR NOT WHAT YOU HAVE
- 13 BEFORE YOU PROVIDES YOU WITH THE AUTHORITY TO
- 14 NOW INTERCEDE UNDER THE CALIFORNIA
- 15 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, THAT'S WHAT I'M
- 16 ADDRESSING, AND THAT'S WHERE I'M SAYING NO, IT
- 17 DOESN'T. IT DOESN'T RISE TO THAT LEVEL.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: TODAY'S INFORMATION?
- 19 MR. REMY: YES.
- 20 MEMBER JONES: THE INFORMATION ON
- 21 SEPTEMBER 17TH, WHEN IT WAS BROUGHT FORWARD BY
- 22 THE OPPONENTS, AND THEY COULDN'T QUANTIFY IT,
- 23 BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT IT WAS, WAS THERE
- 24 AN OBLIGATION ON THE PART OF THE PROPONENTS,
- 25 WHO KNEW ABOUT IT, TO HAVE LET US KNOW, SINCE

- 1 WE WERE MAKING A CEQA RULING, AS TO WHETHER OR
- 2 NOT THE ENVIRONMENTAL RECORD HAD BEEN PUT IN
- 3 PLACE THAT PROTECTED THOSE PEOPLE?
- 4 MR. REMY: WELL, I WOULD ANSWER IT
- 5 THIS WAY. I WOULD THINK SO. I WOULD THINK
- 6 THAT THE OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE YOU WITH THE
- 7 INFORMATION AS YOU SIT HERE WOULD BE THERE, AND
- 8 I CAN'T POINT TO THE SPECIFIC --
- 9 MEMBER JONES: NO, I UNDERSTAND.
- 10 MR. REMY: -- BUT I WOULD THINK SO.
- 11 MEMBER JONES: IT'S VERY BOTHERSOME TO
- 12 ME, BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THIS IS PRECEDENT
- 13 SETTING, WHAT WE ARE DOING, BECAUSE WE HAD
- 14 ACTUALLY ISSUED A PERMIT.
- 15 MR. REMY: I AGREE, AND I THINK YOU
- 16 ARE CARRYING YOUR RESPONSIBILITY OUT VERY
- 17 RESPONSIBLY.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: THANKS.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY ADDITIONAL
- 20 QUESTIONS?
- 21 MEMBER EATON: I JUST HAVE --
- 22 MR. CHAIR?
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES.
- 24 MEMBER EATON: I JUST HAVE ONE.
- 25 COULD YOU PERHAPS EXPAND UPON THE

- 1 METHODS THAT YOU SPOKE OF WITH REGARD TO
- 2 MR. JONES'S QUESTIONS THAT THERE ARE METHODS IN
- 3 PLACE, AND IF SO WHAT ARE THEY? BECAUSE THAT
- 4 HAS BEEN ONE OF THE QUESTIONS, I THINK, JUST
- 5 FOR OUR BOARD, AS A WHOLE.
- 6 WHAT ARE THOSE METHODS? WE LOOK
- 7 AT THEM AS OPTIONS, AND YOU PROBABLY LOOK AT
- 8 THEM AS METHODS, BUT JUST SINCE YOU MENTIONED
- 9 IT, I THINK WE MIGHT AS WELL SEEK YOUR INPUT.
- 10 MR. REMY: WELL, AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR
- 11 ROLE, YOU ARE MORE OF AN APPELLATE BODY
- 12 REVIEWING THE ACTIONS, FOR EXAMPLE, OF LEAD
- 13 AGENCIES IN THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION.
- 14 AND THAT RESPONSIBILITY ULTIMATELY
- 15 YOU CAN PASS YOUR WISHES OR DESIRES ON TO, FOR
- 16 EXAMPLE, THE LEA OR THE LEAD AGENCY IN THIS
- 17 PARTICULAR CASE, BECAUSE THE OBLIGATION TO
- 18 REOPEN THE PROCESS UNDER CEQA IS ON THE LEAD
- 19 AGENCY, PRIMARILY.
- 20 NOW, IT IS POSSIBLE FOR YOU UNDER
- 21 CEQA TO COME TO EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES AND
- 22 BECOME THE LEAD AGENCY IN SOME INSTANCES. THAT
- 23 IS BECAUSE YOUR FEELING IS THAT THE LEAD AGENCY
- 24 HASN'T ADEQUATELY PROVIDED YOU WITH INFORMATION
- 25 OR ANALYSIS TO ALLOW YOU TO EXERCISE YOUR

- 1 JUDGMENT, YOUR INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT. THAT'S
- 2 POSSIBLE.
- 3 IN THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION,
- 4 HOWEVER, THERE ARE METHODS SHORT OF THAT
- 5 WHEREBY YOU CAN REQUEST ACTIONS BY THE
- 6 APPROPRIATE LEAD AGENCY AND BE SURE TO BE
- 7 APPRISED OF THOSE ACTIONS AND KEPT APPRISED AS
- 8 TO WHAT THEY ARE DOING. AND YOU WOULD PROBABLY
- 9 HAVE THE ABILITY TO MAINTAIN THAT ON AN ONGOING
- 10 BASIS, SO IF YOU ARE NOT SATISFIED WITH THE
- 11 PERFORMANCE, YOU MAY STILL INTERCEDE AT THAT
- 12 POINT.
- 13 WHAT I WAS REFERRING TO IS THAT
- 14 THERE ARE OTHER AGENCIES ACTING AS WELL, SUCH
- 15 AS THE STATE WATER RESOURCE CONTROL BOARD AND
- 16 THE LOCALS DEALING WITH THIS PARTICULAR
- 17 SITUATION.
- 18 SO I THINK YOU CAN MAINTAIN THE
- 19 ABILITY TO REACH DOWN IF YOU HAVE TO. YOU CAN
- 20 COMMUNICATE YOUR WISHES TO THE RESPONSIBLE
- 21 AGENCY LEA, AND I THINK THAT WOULD BE THE WAY
- 22 TO DO THAT.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK
- 24 YOU.
- 25 NOW WE WILL HEAR FROM KAY

- 1 STRICKLAND.
- 2 MR. SCHAUB: CHAIRMAN, VICTOR SCHAUB
- 3 GENERAL COUNSEL FOR JPA. I FEEL I NEED TO
- 4 CLARIFY --
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I GAVE YOU A
- 6 CHANCE. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR MS. STRICKLAND,
- 7 AND THEN WE WILL COME BACK TO YOU.
- 8 MR. SCHAUB: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
- 9 MS. STRICKLAND: KAY STRICKLAND FROM
- 10 EUREKA. I WOULD LIKE TO TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT
- 11 ABOUT MY EXPERIENCE AND INVOLVEMENT IN SOLID
- 12 WASTE ISSUES AND THEN JUST ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS
- 13 YOU MIGHT HAVE.
- 14 I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS FOR
- 15 30 YEARS, STARTING WITH THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN'S
- 16 VOTERS STUDY ON SOLID WASTE PRACTICES. BECAUSE
- 17 OF THAT I GOT INVOLVED IN THE COUNTY'S EARLY
- 18 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANNING, WHICH WAS
- 19 REQUIRED BY LEGISLATION IN THE EARLY '70S.
- 20 OUR COMMITTEE, WHICH AT THAT TIME
- 21 WAS CHAIRED BY MR. CHESBRO, WE WROTE THE FIRST
- 22 TWO SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANS, WHICH WERE
- 23 THEN REQUIRED, AND OUR COMMITTEE DISSOLVED WHEN
- 24 AB939 WAS APPROVED AND WENT ON FROM THERE.
- 25 BUT I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN IT ALL

- 1 ALONG. BASICALLY BECAUSE OF POOR MANAGEMENT
- 2 PRACTICES AT THE TIME. WE BELIEVED THAT
- 3 RECYCLING, WHICH WAS JUST COMING BACK INTO THE
- 4 FOREGROUND, WAS VERY IMPORTANT AND ALSO
- 5 REDUCING WASTE THAT WAS EVEN GENERATED.
- 6 I HAVE ALSO BEEN QUITE INVOLVED,
- 7 BECAUSE OF THE CUMMINGS ROAD LANDFILL BEING THE
- 8 ONLY ONE THAT WAS AVAILABLE AT THE TIME IN 1978
- 9 WHEN THE COUNTY PICKED THAT SITE, WE INSISTED,
- 10 OUR COMMITTEE INSISTED, THAT CITY GARBAGE BE
- 11 REQUIRED TO BUILD A TRANSFER STATION IN EUREKA,
- 12 WHICH HAS BEEN THERE FOR 20 YEARS AND IS NOW
- 13 VERY MUCH OUT OF DATE.
- 14 SO I HAVE HAD A LONG EXPERIENCE IN
- 15 THIS. I KNOW THAT CUMMINGS ROAD LANDFILL HAS
- 16 BEEN USED SINCE THE 30'S. IT WAS AN OLD DUMP
- 17 IT OVER THE SIDE AND BURN IT GARBAGE DUMP AT
- 18 THAT TIME.
- 19 CITY GARBAGE TOOK IT OVER, AND IN
- 20 FAIRNESS TO CITY GARBAGE THEY HAVE DONE A LOT
- 21 TO IMPROVE IT, BUT THERE ARE STILL MAJOR
- 22 PROBLEMS WITH THE CUMMINGS ROAD SITE, NOT THE
- 23 LEAST OF WHICH IS THE VERY SUBSTANDARD ROAD
- 24 THAT HAS TO BE USED TO GET TO THAT SITE, SO
- 25 THAT I CERTAINLY SYMPATHIZE WITH THE PEOPLE ON

- 1 CUMMINGS ROAD THAT HAVE SUFFERED WITH MANY,
- 2 MANY TRUCKS GOING UP AND DOWN THEIR INADEQUATE
- 3 ROAD ALL THESE YEARS AND THEIR CONCERN THAT
- 4 THIS SITE NOT BE USED.
- 5 WHAT WE WANT NOW IS FOR PROPER
- 6 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT TO TAKE PLACE IN
- 7 HUMBOLDT COUNTY. THE COUNTY DID A STUDY
- 8 SEVERAL YEARS AGO TO TRY TO FIND A NEW SOLID
- 9 WASTE SITE. IT WAS PROVEN BECAUSE OF THE
- 10 EXPENSE AND THE TERRAIN THERE WAS NO SITE IN
- 11 HUMBOLDT COUNTY THAT WAS ACCESSIBLE AND THAT
- 12 COULD BE USED AND THAT THE LANDOWNERS WOULD BE
- 13 EVEN WILLING TO HAVE USED.
- 14 SO WE HAVE BEEN SUPPORTERS ALL
- 15 ALONG, EVER SINCE THE POSSIBILITY OF RAILING IT
- 16 OUT TO AN APPROVED LAND SITE, LANDFILL, THAT
- 17 THAT BE THE WAY TO GO. AND WE CERTAINLY
- 18 SUPPORT THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED FOR THE
- 19 TEMPORARY, AND I MUST EMPHASIZE TEMPORARY, SITE
- 20 IN ARCATA AS THE FIRST STEP IN THE WAY OF
- 21 DEALING WITH HUMBOLDT COUNTY'S GARBAGE IN A
- 22 VERY RESPONSIBLE MANNER.
- 23 AND I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
- 24 QUESTIONS IF ANY OF YOU HAVE THEM.
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS OF

- 1 MS. STRICKLAND?
- 2 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 3 MR. SCHAUB, YOU WANTED TO SAY
- 4 SOMETHING?
- 5 MR. SCHAUB: THANK YOU. VERY
- 6 BRIEFLY, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I'M HAPPY TO
- 8 HAVE YOU, BUT I DON'T WANT TO GET A RAGING
- 9 DEBATE GOING HERE.
- 10 MR. SCHAUB: I DON'T INTEND TO DO
- 11 THAT. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY, BECAUSE I THINK
- 12 IT'S AN IMPORTANT POINT THAT HAS BEEN RAISED A
- 13 FEW TIMES, OF EXACTLY WHAT THE JPA KNEW ABOUT
- 14 THE SOIL AND WHEN.
- 15 WHEN WE WERE HERE BEFORE YOU ON
- 16 SEPTEMBER 17TH, WHAT WE KNEW ABOUT WAS THE
- 17 PHASE I AND PHASE II, TWO PARCELS AWAY, THAT
- 18 WAS THE SUBJECT OF THE ABATEMENT ORDER WITH
- 19 WATER QUALITY. WE KNEW ABOUT THAT, AND THAT'S
- 20 WHAT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE LEASE.
- 21 AS MR. KINDSFATHER SAID IN HIS
- 22 LETTER, HE ACKNOWLEDGED IT IN THE LEASE BECAUSE
- 23 HE WAS BEING CAUTIOUS IN THAT HE KNEW THERE
- 24 WERE SOME HOT SPOTS AROUND THE BUILDING HE
- 25 WOULD BE OCCUPYING TWO PARCELS AWAY FROM THE

- 1 TRANSFER STATION SITE ITSELF. AND SO THAT'S
- 2 WHAT WE KNEW ABOUT.
- 3 THE KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE SOILS
- 4 BEING EXCAVATED, THE EXCAVATION WAS STILL
- 5 HAPPENING WHEN WE WERE HERE ON SEPTEMBER 17TH,
- 6 AND THAT'S CONFIRMED BY THE LETTER FROM
- 7 MR. LEGGINNS FROM CITY GARBAGE THAT WE GAVE YOU
- 8 ON THE 17TH OR WHENEVER THE LAST TIME WAS THAT
- 9 WE WERE HERE.
- 10 AND THE LAB RESULTS ON THE TESTING
- 11 DIDN'T COME BACK FROM THE LAB UNTIL SEPTEMBER
- 12 24TH, SO WE DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THE CONTAMINATION
- 13 IN THE SOIL THAT WAS EXCAVATED WHEN WE WERE
- 14 HERE ON SEPTEMBER 17TH. ALL WE KNEW ABOUT WAS
- 15 THE CONTAMINATION TWO PARCELS AWAY THAT WE
- 16 DIDN'T FEEL THAT THAT SHOULD TRIGGER A FULL
- 17 ENVIRONMENTAL SOIL ANALYSIS OF OUR PARCEL.
- 18 THANK YOU.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 20 DR. RUSSELL.
- DR. RUSSELL: DR. PETER RUSSELL.
- 22 I WANTED TO ADD TO MY COMMENTS
- 23 JUST BRIEFLY. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR YOU
- 24 TO KNOW THAT STEVE SALZMAN, THE ENGINEER THAT
- 25 JUST SPOKE ABOUT THE PCP CLEANUP AND THEN ALSO

- 1 THE TESTING OF THE STOCKPILES, AND I AGREE ON
- 2 JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING.
- 3 THERE ARE A COUPLE OF POINTS THAT
- 4 HE MADE THAT I WANTED TO POINT OUT TO YOU THAT
- 5 ARE A LITTLE BIT WORTHY OF FURTHER DISCUSSION.
- 6 FIRST I BELIEVE HE MADE THE
- 7 STATEMENT THAT WHAT WE HAVE IS SURFICIAL
- 8 CONTAMINATION. IT THINK THAT BASED UPON
- 9 EVERYTHING I HAVE SEEN, THAT IS NOT A
- 10 SUPPORTABLE STATEMENT. THAT IN FACT BEGS THE
- 11 INVESTIGATION TO ESTABLISH WHETHER OR NOT IT IS
- 12 SIMPLY SURFICIAL CONTAMINATION OR WHETHER IT'S
- 13 MUCH DEEPER.
- 14 SECONDLY HE SAID THAT IT'S NOT A
- 15 HEALTH HAZARD. HERE AGAIN, UNLESS YOU HAVE
- 16 DONE AN INVESTIGATION TO UNDERSTAND THE
- 17 CONTAMINANT STATUS BOTH AS TO HOW FAR EXTENDS
- 18 THE CONTAMINATION WE KNOW ABOUT AND WHETHER
- 19 THERE ARE ANY OF THE OTHER CHEMICALS THAT
- 20 HAVEN'T BEEN TESTED FOR, THEN THAT'S A
- 21 STATEMENT THAT ALSO IS REALLY SIMPLY
- 22 SPECULATION.
- 23 HE STATED THAT HIS TESTING HAD
- 24 LOOKED AT PCP. ALL OF THE DATA THAT I SAW
- 25 RESULTING FROM HIS WORK INCLUDED ONE PCP TEST

- 1 ONLY. HE DID NOT DO THE PCP TESTING ON EVERY
- 2 SAMPLE, AS HE DID FOR THE PETROLEUM
- 3 HYDROCARBONS.
- 4 TWO MORE, BRIEFLY, AS TO THE
- 5 DURATION OF EXPOSURE. THE LOADS THAT WERE
- 6 TURNED AWAY BY THE LOCAL LANDFILL, ACCORDING TO
- 7 THE LOCAL LANDFILL WERE TURNED AWAY ON
- 8 SEPTEMBER 8TH. THE SAMPLING THAT WAS DONE BY
- 9 MR. SALZMAN THE FIRST TIME WAS ON THE 22ND, SO
- 10 WE HAVE APPARENTLY A COUPLE OF WEEKS OF
- 11 EXPOSURE TO AIR BEFORE THE SAMPLING OCCURRED.
- 12 AND THEN FINALLY A STATEMENT HAS
- 13 BEEN MADE THAT THE PARCEL IS PAVED. MY
- 14 UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE PARCEL WAS PAVED IN
- 15 1968. MANY OF THE CHEMICALS THAT WE ARE
- 16 CONCERNED ABOUT RESULT FROM THE CHEMICAL
- 17 REVOLUTION, IF YOU WILL, THAT ACCOMPANIED WORLD
- 18 WAR II.
- 19 AND IN FACT IT WAS PRECISELY
- 20 BETWEEN WORLD WAR II AND ENVIRONMENTAL
- 21 AWARENESS IN THE LATE '60S, EARLY '70S, THAT
- 22 THE INDISCRIMINATE DISPOSAL OF WASTE CHEMICALS,
- 23 SUCH AS SPREADING OF WASTE OIL FOR DUST CONTROL
- 24 TOOK PLACE, PRECISELY WHEN THIS WAS AN UNPAVED
- 25 AREA AND WHEN DUST WOULD HAVE BEEN A PROBLEM.

- 1 AND THAT I THINK IS PROBABLY THE
- 2 MAJOR CANDIDATE EXPLANATION FOR WHAT WE ARE
- 3 SEEING HERE. BUT I THINK IT -- I FEAR THAT IT
- 4 MAY EXTEND BEYOND PETROLEUM. ARGUABLY IT COULD
- 5 BE WOOD PRESERVING CHEMICALS AND ALSO THE
- 6 DIOXIN, WHICH HAS BEEN FOUND OVER AT THE OTHER
- 7 PARCEL.
- 8 THANK YOU.
- CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 10 QUESTIONS OF DR. RUSSELL?
- 11 IF NOT, THAT CONCLUDES OUR PUBLIC
- 12 TESTIMONY PERIOD.
- MR. SCHAUB: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES.
- MR. SCHAUB: IF I MAY SPEAK ONE QUICK
- 16 WORD?
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WELL, THE
- 18 PROBLEM IS WE'RE GETTING OUT OF TIME HERE, AND
- 19 YOU GO AND THEN SOMEBODY ELSE GOES. I THINK WE
- 20 HAVE HEARD ENOUGH. THANK YOU.
- 21 DR. RUSSELL: EXCUSE ME. I MISSPOKE
- 22 MYSELF. THE TESTING FOR PCP'S WAS NOT AS
- 23 EXTENSIVE AS IT WAS FOR PETROLEUM, BUT IT WAS
- 24 MORE THAN THE SINGLE SAMPLE.
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.

- 1 OKAY. IN ORDER FOR US TO MOVE
- 2 FORWARD, WE NEED TO HAVE A MOTION TO RECONSIDER
- 3 OUR PREVIOUS ACTION, IF THAT'S THE DESIRE OF
- 4 THE BOARD.
- 5 WOULD ANYBODY LIKE TO DO THAT?
- 6 MEMBER EATON: I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO
- 7 RECONSIDER OUR PREVIOUS ACTION, MR. CHAIR.
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: I WILL SECOND THAT
- 9 MOTION.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT HAS
- 11 BEEN MOVED BY MEMBER EATON AND SECONDED BY
- 12 MR. RHOADS THAT WE RECONSIDER OUR PREVIOUS
- 13 ACTION, AND WE WILL NEED A VOTE ON THAT.
- 14 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL,
- 15 PLEASE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: MEMBER EATON?
- 17 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: MEMBER -- I'M SORRY.
- 19 MEMBER FRAZEE IS ABSENT.
- 20 MEMBER JONES?
- MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: MEMBER RHOADS?
- MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.

- 1 MOTION CARRIES.
- 2 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: I THINK IT HAS TO BE
- 5 REAL CLEAR THAT OUR ACTION WAS TO SEND THIS
- 6 THING BACK FOR PURPOSES OF TALKING ABOUT THIS
- 7 RATIFICATION OF THIS ADDENDUM THAT PEOPLE HAD
- 8 FIVE OPPORTUNITIES TO DO, AND WHAT GOT SENT
- 9 BACK AND HOW THAT HAS BEEN PORTRAYED IS PRETTY
- 10 AMAZING.
- 11 AND I THINK BASED ON THAT I DON'T
- 12 HAVE A PROBLEM WITH TALKING ABOUT THAT ISSUE,
- 13 THAT IN FACT THE CITY OF ARCATA ADOPTED AN
- 14 ADDENDUM, OUR ADDENDUM, BUT I CERTAINLY HAVE A
- 15 PROBLEM WITH AND CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT THE
- 16 ISSUE OF MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION IS NOT I
- 17 HAVEN'T BEEN SATISFIED, AND I JUST WANTED
- 18 PEOPLE TO KNOW THAT THAT IS A CAUSE FOR
- 19 REVOCATION AND SUSPENSION OF A PERMIT,
- 20 IRREGARDLESS OF CEQA.
- 21 SO I THINK WHAT WE ARE DEALING
- 22 WITH RIGHT NOW IS A CEOA ISSUE. DID THAT CITY
- 23 COUNCIL -- AND I THINK WHEN YOU LOOK SIDE BY
- 24 SIDE AT WHAT THAT CITY COUNCIL APPROVED AND
- 25 WHAT WE HAD DONE IS INTERESTING, BUT PROBABLY

- 1 GETS TO THE HEART OF THE CEQA PART OF THIS
- 2 ISSUE.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY WELL.
- 4 THE BOARD'S PREVIOUS ACTION WAS TO
- 5 SEND A REQUEST TO THE LEA TO COMMENCE WITH THE
- 6 PROCESS TO SUSPEND OR REVOKE THE PERMIT, AND
- 7 THIS WAS BASED ON THE FAILURE OF THE CITY TO
- 8 RATIFY THE ORIGINAL ADDENDUM.
- 9 SO WE BASICALLY HAVE TWO OPTIONS
- 10 HERE IN TERMS OF THE ADDENDUM, SINCE THEY HAVE
- 11 ADOPTED IT, AND THAT'S TO TAKE NO ACTION OR TO
- 12 WITHDRAW THAT REQUEST FOR THEM TO GO FORWARD.
- 13 SO I GUESS I NEED A MOTION TO --
- 14 WELL, LET ME TRY TO MAKE A MOTION, AND THEN
- 15 MAYBE THAT WILL DO IT. MAYBE WE CAN PUT THIS
- 16 ALL INTO ONE MOTION, AND THAT IS THAT WE MOVE
- 17 TO WITHDRAW THE REQUEST OF THE LEA AT THIS
- 18 POINT AND DIRECT OUR STAFF TO MAKE A FURTHER
- 19 INQUIRY INTO DETERMINING WHETHER OR NOT THERE
- 20 HAS BEEN A MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION.
- 21 I ALSO THINK WE SHOULD MAKE IT
- 22 CLEAR THAT WE WILL RESERVE OUR RIGHT TO REQUEST
- 23 TO THE LEA, IF WE DISCOVER INFORMATION, TO
- 24 SUPPORT OR SUSPEND OR REVOKE THE PERMIT. I
- 25 WILL MAKE THAT AS A MOTION, AND I WILL MAKE

- 1 SURE YOU GET A COPY OF THAT.
- 2 50 WHAT IN ESSENCE MY MOTION IS
- 3 SAYING IS THAT WE WILL WITHDRAW THE REQUEST TO
- 4 HAVE THE LEA REVOKE THE PERMIT, BUT THAT WE ARE
- 5 ALSO GOING TO INSTRUCT OUR STAFF TO MAKE A
- 6 FURTHER INQUIRY INTO THE OTHER SUBSTANCE ISSUES
- 7 THAT WE BELIEVE RESULTED IN A MISREPRESENTATION
- 8 AND THEN MAKE IT CLEAR THAT WE CAN AND WILL GO
- 9 BACK TO THE LEA IF WE DISCOVER OR FEEL THAT
- 10 THERE IS MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION HERE.
- 11 MS. TOBIAS: AND SO THAT'S A MATERIAL
- 12 MISREPRESENTATION IN THE INFORMATION THAT WAS
- 13 SUBMITTED IN SUPPORT OF THE PERMIT?
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CORRECT.
- 15 MEMBER JONES: AND I CAN SECOND THAT.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IS THERE ANY
- 17 DISCUSSION ON THAT?
- 18 MR. RHOADS.
- 19 MEMBER RHOADS: A BRIEF DISCUSSION.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SURE.
- 21 MEMBER RHOADS: OUR STAFF CONTACTED --
- 22 AND I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS THE APPROPRIATE
- 23 TIME TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION, BUT I WOULD LIKE
- 24 TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF DISCUSSION ON THE
- 25 CONTACTS OUR STAFF HAS MADE WITH THE WATER

- 1 QUALITY CONTROL BOARD.
- 2 MR. CHANDLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, PERHAPS I
- 3 CAN ANSWER.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. CHANDLER.
- 5 MR. CHANDLER: I DID MAKE AN INQUIRY
- 6 FIRST WITH THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL
- 7 BOARD AND DID UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WAS
- 8 COUNSEL, PHIL WILEY -- I MISPRONOUNCED HIS NAME
- 9 EARLIER, PHIL WILEY -- WHO INDICATED THAT HE
- 10 WAS PRESENTLY AT THE NORTH COAST REGIONAL BOARD
- 11 AND LOOKING INTO THIS MATTER, AND I ASKED FOR
- 12 CLARIFICATION AS TO WHAT THE REGIONAL BOARD'S
- 13 ROLE WOULD BE IN THIS MATTER, AND AS YOU ARE
- 14 ALL AWARE HE RESPONDED IN A LETTER THAT WAS
- 15 FAXED TO MY OFFICE THIS LAST EVENING, DATED
- 16 NOVEMBER 18TH, AND YOU ALL HAVE COPIES OF THIS
- 17 LETTER.
- 18 THEY INDICATED THAT THEY ARE NOW
- 19 AWARE OF THE ISSUE, AND THE STAFF IS INVOLVED
- 20 IN THE OVERSIGHT OF TWO ASPECTS, THOSE BEING,
- 21 FIRST, INSURING THAT THE EXCAVATED SOILS ARE
- 22 PROPERLY HANDLED TO AVOID CAUSING NEW
- 23 DISCHARGES OF WASTE; AND SECOND, TO BE INVOLVED
- 24 IN FUTURE OVERSIGHT AND ASSESSMENT AND
- 25 REMEDIATION, IF NECESSARY, OF ANY CONTAMINATION

- 1 REMAINING AT THE FACILITY.
- 2 SO THIS LETTER WAS THEIR ATTEMPT
- 3 TO TRY TO, AS STATED IN THE SUBJECT HEADING,
- 4 CLARIFY ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES RELATIVE TO
- 5 ANY ONGOING INVESTIGATIVE WORK TO BE DONE BY
- 6 THE NORTH COAST REGIONAL WATER BOARD. AND THAT
- 7 WAS SIGNED BY ACTING DIRECTOR SUSAN HORNER,
- 8 EXECUTIVE OFFICER FOR THE NORTH COAST REGIONAL
- 9 WATER BOARD.
- 10 MEMBER RHOADS: I ASSUME THAT YOU WILL
- 11 ALSO COMMUNICATE TO THE BOARD ABOUT THE ACTIONS
- 12 THAT TOOK PLACE TODAY.
- 13 MR. CHANDLER: YOU'RE REFERRING NOW TO
- 14 THE REGIONAL BOARD?
- 15 MEMBER EATON: TO THE REGIONAL BOARD.
- 16 MR. CHANDLER: YES, I WILL.
- 17 MEMBER RHOADS: AND KEEP US INFORMED
- 18 OF THE PROGRESS AND THE ACTIONS THAT THEY ARE
- 19 TAKING IN THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE?
- 20 MR. CHANDLER: CERTAINLY.
- 21 MEMBER EATON: MR. CHAIR?
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON.
- 23 MEMBER EATON: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO
- 24 COMMENT ON A COUPLE OF THINGS, AND I THINK ONE
- 25 IS THAT THE MOTION YOU HAVE PROPOSED IS A FAIR

- 1 ONE.
- 2 THE ONE QUESTION I THINK THAT I
- 3 HAVE IS THAT IN THE INSTRUCTIONS TO THE STAFF,
- 4 IT DOESN'T FORECLOSE ANY OF OUR OPTIONS --
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CORRECT.
- 6 MEMBER EATON: CORRECT? AND THOSE
- 7 COULD BE, SINCE WE ARE ALSO PARTY TO
- 8 LITIGATION, TO FORECLOSE US FROM RAISING ANY
- 9 ISSUES IN ANY FORM WE DESIRE?
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CORRECT.
- 11 MEMBER EATON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY OTHER
- 13 FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION?
- 14 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 16 MEMBER JONES: WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A
- 17 VOTE, AND I NEED A COUPLE OF MINUTES AFTER THAT
- 18 VOTE TO TALK ABOUT SOME OTHER ISSUES THAT CAME
- 19 UP, BUT I DON'T WANT TO CLOUD THIS ISSUE,
- 20 BECAUSE THIS IS AN ISSUE ABOUT -
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I HAVE SOME
- 22 THOUGHTS TOO.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: THANK YOU.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WILL THE
- 25 SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: CERTAINLY.
- 2 MEMBER CHESBRO IS RECUSED.
- 3 MEMBER EATON?
- 4 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: MEMBER JONES?
- 6 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: MEMBER RHOADS?
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 11 MOTION CARRIES.
- 12 MR. JONES.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, A COUPLE
- 14 OF THINGS. ONE, WE ARE GOING TO -- WE HAVE
- 15 INSTRUCTED STAFF TO START LOOKING INTO ALL OF
- 16 THE THINGS AROUND THIS PROCESS, TO TALK ABOUT A
- 17 MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATION IF IN FACT THERE IS
- 18 ONE.
- 19 I WANT TO JUST STATE FOR THE
- 20 RECORD A COUPLE OF THINGS. IN LAST NIGHT'S
- 21 ARCATA CITY COUNCIL MEETING, A MEMBER OF THE
- 22 JPA STOOD UP AND ACCUSED ME OF BEING A SERIOUS
- 23 STOCKHOLDER IN NORCAL.
- 24 WHEN HE WAS TOLD BY A MEMBER OF
- 25 CITY GARBAGE, SOMEBODY I DON'T EVEN KNOW, THAT

- 1 I WASN'T A SERIOUS STOCKHOLDER OF NORCAL, THAT
- 2 SAME JPA MEMBER SAID, "NO, HE IS. THAT'S A
- 3 LIE. HE IS."
- 4 ALSO LATER THAT NIGHT HE SAID, "IF
- 5 WE HAVE ANY PROBLEMS WITH OUR PERMANENT
- 6 TRANSFER STATION, WE WILL KNOW WHERE TO GO. IT
- 7 WILL BE MR. JONES."
- 8 PEOPLE NEED TO UNDERSTAND ONE
- 9 THING. I STATED IN EVERY MEETING WE HAD THAT I
- 10 HAD BEEN A FORMER MEMBER OF NORCAL.
- 11 WHEN I GOT ASSIGNED TO THIS BOARD,
- 12 WHEN I GOT PLACED ON THIS BOARD, I TOOK ALL OF
- 13 MY STOCK AND ASKED NORCAL TO DO SOMETHING THEY
- 14 HAD NEVER DONE, AND THAT WAS GIVE ME THE
- 15 ABILITY TO ROLL THAT STOCK INTO MY OWN
- 16 INDEPENDENT, INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNT.
- 17 AND I DID THAT FOR ONE REASON. I
- 18 KNOW MY LEVEL OF INTEGRITY, BUT I DID NOT WANT
- 19 TO GIVE ANYBODY THE OPPORTUNITY TO QUESTION
- 20 THAT INTEGRITY, BASED ON PAST EMPLOYMENT.
- 21 THE QUESTION CAME UP, AS I
- 22 UNDERSTAND IT, THREE TIMES IN THE LAST MONTH
- 23 WHERE ADVOCATES OR PROPONENTS WANTED TO KNOW MY
- 24 SITUATION, AND IN ALL THOSE TIMES THEY WERE
- 25 DIRECTED THAT IN FACT I HAD TAKEN CARE OF THAT

- 1 OBLIGATION, ONE THAT I BY LAW DIDN'T EVEN HAVE
- 2 TO, BUT I DID. AND YET WITH THAT KNOWLEDGE,
- 3 WITH THAT PRE-EVENT KNOWLEDGE, THE JPA DECIDED
- 4 TO RAISE THE ISSUE.
- 5 AND THEN THIS MORNING AT 7:00
- 6 O'CLOCK, CHARLES BENEMAN WRITES ME A LETTER
- 7 SAYING, "AT LAST NIGHT'S ARCATA CITY COUNCIL
- 8 MEETING, I STATED THAT YOU WERE A SERIOUS
- 9 STOCKHOLDER IN NORCAL, THE PARENT COMPANY OF
- 10 CITY GARBAGE. THE BASIS FOR MY STATEMENT WAS
- 11 IN RELIANCE ON INFORMATION THAT I BELIEVED TO
- 12 BE TRUE AND WAS TRUE UNTIL EARLY LAST YEAR.
- 13 HOWEVER I LEARNED FROM COUNSEL THIS MORNING
- 14 THAT THERE IS AN AMENDMENT TO ATTACHMENT NO. 1
- 15 OF YOUR FPPC FILING THAT SHOWS THAT THE VALUE
- 16 OF YOUR 20,000 SHARES OF NORCAL WAS ROLLED OVER
- 17 INTO YOUR PERSONAL B-OF-A INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT
- 18 ACCOUNT. I APOLOGIZE FOR MY INADVERTENT
- 19 MISSTATEMENT."
- 20 I WANT TO JUST TELL EVERYBODY IN
- 21 THIS ROOM THAT I BROUGHT THE ISSUE OF NORCAL UP
- 22 EVERY TIME THAT THERE WAS AN ITEM HERE SO THAT
- 23 IT WOULD BE DISCLOSED. I ALSO BROUGHT UP THE
- 24 ISSUE THAT I WAS FIRED FROM THAT COMPANY. AND
- 25 ADVOCATES KNEW THAT MY MONEY WASN'T IN THAT

- 1 COMPANY YET -- AS YET ANOTHER CASE IN THIS
- 2 WONDERFUL PROCESS WE ARE IN, IN DEALING WITH
- 3 THIS, IT'S BROUGHT UP AGAIN IN A CITY COUNCIL
- 4 MEETING.
- 5 I WANT TO THANK BOB FREDENBURG AND
- 6 BENDAN FOR DOING MY WORK. I NEVER KNEW THAT A
- 7 BOARD MEMBER AND ANYBODY ELSE THAT WOULD HAVE
- 8 SAID IT WAS OKAY, THAT IT WAS OKAY FOR A BOARD
- 9 MEMBER TO DIRECT SOMEBODY ELSE'S STAFF TO DO
- 10 WORK, WHICH IS WHAT WAS PORTRAYED IN THE PAPER
- 11 ON A COUNCIL MEMBER WHO WE HAD GOTTEN A CALL
- 12 THE NIGHT BEFORE THE LAST BOARD MEETING HAD
- 13 BEEN RUSHED TO THE HOSPITAL FOR AN EMERGENCY
- 14 APPENDECTOMY SURGERY.
- 15 THAT IS WHAT WAS REPORTED TO US AT
- 16 5:30 THAT NIGHT, I THINK, 5:00, AND YET THE
- 17 NEXT DAY WHEN WE WALKED IN THE BOARD MEETING,
- 18 WE SAY, WELL, YOU KNOW, IT'S REASONABLE THAT
- 19 THEY TAKE TIME, BECAUSE SHE GOT TAKEN IN FOR
- 20 EMERGENCY APPENDECTOMY, AND EVERYBODY THAT'S
- 21 SITTING THERE IS SAYING, "WHAT ARE YOU TALKING
- 22 ABOUT? SHE IS SITTING AT HOME IN HER LIVING
- 23 ROOM."
- 24 THAT RAISES AN ISSUE IN MY MIND,
- 25 AND I OFFHANDEDLY SAID, "HOW COULD SHE BE

- 1 SITTING AT HOME IF SHE IS HAVING AN EMERGENCY
- 2 APPENDECTOMY? SOMEBODY OUGHT TO CALL THE
- 3 HOSPITALS."
- 4 I DIDN'T DIRECT ANYBODY'S STAFF TO
- 5 DO THAT. BUT IT'S A PRETTY GOOD STRATEGY OF
- 6 MOVING THE BALL. IT'S A PRETTY GOOD STRATEGY
- 7 OF TAKING YOUR EYE OFF WHAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT
- 8 HERE.
- 9 ONE THING THAT GETS LOST IN THIS
- 10 WHOLE PROCESS IS THAT THE ARCATA JPA RECEIVED A
- 11 PERMIT FROM THIS BOARD ON A 4-0 VOTE, INCLUDING
- 12 ONE OF THE FORMER NORCAL SERIOUS STOCKHOLDERS.
- 13 THEY VOTED TO GIVE YOU A PERMIT,
- 14 AND YOU WALKED OUT OF HERE, AND THEN YOU DIDN'T
- 15 DO WHAT YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO DO. YOU LIED TO
- 16 US, OR YOU MISUNDERSTOOD WHAT WE SAID, SO WE
- 17 BROUGHT THE ITEM BACK.
- 18 I'VE ONLY GOT ONE THING, AND THE
- 19 REASON THAT I WAS ENDORSED FOR THIS JOB BY
- 20 INDUSTRY PEOPLE, BY ENVIRONMENTAL PEOPLE, BY
- 21 UNION PEOPLE, BY CITY GOVERNMENT PEOPLE, WAS
- 22 THAT I WAS ALWAYS CONSIDERED A STRAIGHT
- 23 SHOOTER, AND GUESS WHAT, THAT DIDN'T CHANGE.
- 24 SO IF ANY OF YOU HAVE GOT A
- 25 QUESTION ABOUT WHERE I'M COMING FROM ON ANY

- 1 ISSUE, OR IF ANY OF YOU WANT TO KNOW WHERE MY
- 2 MONEY IS, COME AND ASK ME DIRECTLY. HAVE THE
- 3 GUTS TO WALK INTO MY OFFICE AND SAY, "I WANT TO
- 4 QUESTION YOUR INTEGRITY," AND I WILL BE MORE
- 5 THAN HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY ONE OF YOU.
- 6 BUT TO MALICIOUSLY MALIGN ME TO
- 7 TRY TO GET A POINT ACROSS, BECAUSE I'M DOING MY
- 8 JOB, IS CONSISTENT WITH THIS ENTIRE PROCESS.
- 9 IT'S ABSOLUTELY CONSISTENT. AND THIS ISN'T
- 10 OVER, BECAUSE YOU CAN'T GO AROUND SPREADING
- 11 RUMORS OR MAKING ACCUSATIONS WHEN YOU HAVE
- 12 KNOWN FOR A MONTH THAT THE CONDITION DIDN'T
- 13 EXIST, BUT TO GET A VOTE OR TO RUIN SOMEBODY'S
- 14 REPUTATION, YOU USE IT, IT'S AIN'T OVER.
- 15 THANKS MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 17 I ONLY WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT THAT
- 18 I THINK IT'S A SHAME THAT SOMETHING THAT
- 19 STARTED OUT WHERE THIS BOARD WAS TRYING TO HELP
- 20 GET A PERMIT THROUGH AND TRY TO FIND A WAY TO
- 21 MAKE IT GOT INTO SUCH AN UGLY SITUATION.
- 22 I'M SURE THAT THERE IS BLAME LOTS
- 23 OF PLACES, BUT TO FINALLY REACH THE LEVEL WHERE
- 24 A BOARD MEMBER'S CREDIBILITY AND ETHICS ARE
- 25 QUESTIONED, WHERE WE BECOME GETTING LETTERS

- 1 DEMANDING THAT THIS BOARD BE INVESTIGATED, I
- 2 THINK WE HAVE LOWERED OURSELVES TO A LEVEL THAT
- 3 WE DID NOT NEED TO REACH.
- 4 AND I'M SORRY THAT IT GOT TO THAT,
- 5 WHEN THIS BOARD, ALL SIX MEMBERS OF THIS BOARD,
- 6 TRY SO HARD TO MAKE SURE THAT THE RIGHT THINGS
- 7 ARE DONE FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL CALIFORNIANS.
- 8 I WOULD HOPE THAT WE NEVER REACH THIS KIND OF
- 9 LEVEL AGAIN.
- 10 WE NEED TO GO INTO A CLOSED
- 11 SESSION ABOUT PERSONNEL, BUT YOU HAVE TO LEAVE
- 12 AT 2:00, AND I HAVE GOT TO LEAVE NOW.
- 13 ARE YOU GOING TO BE BACK THIS
- 14 AFTERNOON?
- 15 MEMBER EATON: I'LL BE BACK PROBABLY
- 16 3:30, QUARTER TO 4:00.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CAN WE SET IT
- 18 FOR 4:00 THEN?
- 19 MEMBER JONES: 4:00 WORKS FOR ME.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WE WILL ADJOURN
- 21 UNTIL 4:00 O'CLOCK WHEN WE GO INTO A CLOSED
- 22 SESSION. WE WILL RECESS UNTIL 4:00 O'CLOCK
- 23 WHEN WE GO INTO A CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS A
- 24 PERSONNEL MATTER.
- 25 [ADJOURNED.]

1	000
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING
4	PROCEEDINGS WERE TAKEN AT THE TIME AND PLACE
5	HEREIN NAMED, REPORTED BY ME, A DULY CERTIFIED
6	SHORTHAND REPORTER AND A DISINTERESTED PERSON
7	TO THE BEST OF MY SKILL AND ABILITY AND WERE
8	THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED UNDER MY DIRECTION INTO
9	TYPEWRITING.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT
11	OF COUNSEL OR ATTORNEY FOR ANY OF THE PARTIES
12	? TO THE ABOVE PROCEEDINGS, NOR IN ANY WAY
13	B INTERESTED IN THE OUTCOME OF THE CAUSE NAMED
14	IN SAID CAPTION.
15	DATED DECEMBER 8, 1998.
16	5
17	4
18	
19	CERTIFICATE NO. 7236 STATE OF CALIFORNIA
20	
21	-
22	2
23	3
24	Į.
25	